QCD effective potential in strong magnetic fields

Sho Ozaki (Yonsei Univ.)

arXiv: 1311.3137

Saga-Yonsei workshop, Jan.13, Yonsei University

Introduction

Fundamental interactions and theories

● Electromagnetic interaction

Quantum Electrodynamics (QED)

● Weak interaction

Unified with $QED \longrightarrow$ Glashow-Salam-Weinberg theory

● Strong interaction

Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)

● Gravitational interaction

General Relativity \longrightarrow Quantum theory?

Introduction

General Relativity \longrightarrow Quantum theory?

Interaction strengths of QCD and QED in low energy region

QED QCD $e(\mu)$ \blacktriangleright Perturbative approach is valid. 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 µ [GeV] $\overline{0}$ 1 2 3 4 5 Couplings 6 7 8 $g_{s}(\mu)$ $e(\mu)$ Running couplings of QCD and QED \triangleright The coupling is small. $\alpha_{em} =$ *e*2 $4\pi^2$ = 1 $\frac{1}{137} \ll 1$ $\alpha_s =$ $\frac{g_s^2}{4\pi^2}\gtrsim 1$

- \blacktriangleright The coupling is strong.
- **Non-perturbative dynamics is important.**

Non-linear QED

Electron propagator in the presence of the strong EM fields

 $e\underline{B}\gtrsim m_e^2$ For instance, strong B field case:

▶ We have to take into account all order contributions.

 \triangleright Perturbative expansion is no longer valid.

Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian

$$
= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^3} e^{-im_e^2 s} \left\{ (es)^2 |\mathcal{G}| \cot \left[es(\sqrt{\mathcal{F}^2 + \mathcal{G}^2} + \mathcal{F})^{1/2} \right] \right\}
$$

$$
\times \coth\left[es(\sqrt{\mathcal{F}^2+\mathcal{G}^2}-\mathcal{F})^{1/2}\right]+\frac{2}{3}(es)^2\mathcal{F}-1\bigg\}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}
$$

Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian is an effective Lagrangian of QED in the presence of strong electromagnetic fields.

Minimum of the QED effective potential appears at the origin.

 \rightarrow No spontaneous generation of the EM fields.

• Minimum of the QCD effective potential appears away from the origin.

 \rightarrow Color fields are spontaneously generated in QCD.

We investigate QCD in strong magnetic fields.

In particular, $eB_{ext} \ge \langle gH_c \rangle$

What's happened in QCD vacuum?

Fig. 3. Suppose the *FR reproduced the experiments* for *maximum* $\frac{1}{2}$. For $\frac{1}{2}$. For $\frac{1}{2}$ maaron masses from Lattice compared to experiment Hadron masses from Lattice compared to experiments

Lattice QCD simulation with strong magnetic fields Lattice QCD simulation with strong mag lattice of the gauge configuration with a configuration with a configuration with a configuration with a confi τ magnetic fields The charged "-meson mass shows a nontrivial depen-

We can test our ideas by using lattice QCD simulation with magnetic fields. **Example 15. The black dashed line is a l** F_{max} (by using lattice \cap \cap aims) deas by using lattice QCD simi \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{M} are plot the continuum extraportion extraportion extraportion extraportion extraportion extraportion of \mathbf{M} vve can test our ideas by usir lattice QCD simulation with h

Strong magnetic fields in heavy ion collisions

Extremely strong magnetic fields are generated in non-central HIC.

$$
eB \sim m_{\pi}^2 \gg m_e^2, m_q^2
$$

We can expect several interesting phenomena with such strong magnetic fields in HIC.

Next Hattori-san's talk

In QCD under the strong magnetic field...

two kinds of strong dynamics coexist.

Strongly interacting quark and gluon dynamics

Non-linear QED dynamics with strong B field

Gluon couples to quark and gluon itself.

Magnetic field (photon) does not couple to gluon directly but interacts with quarks.

Using quark loop non-linearly interacting with gluon and photon, one can calculate effective Lagrangian for QCD+QED.

 eE

 \mathbb{F}_p in the present statistical accuracy, the same magnitude of the same magnitude. The same magnitude \mathbb{F}_p

Recent Lattice simulation GS Bali et al IHFP 1304(2013) 130 lattice sites. Therefore, *S^g* is readily decomposed into planar components and, therefore, into squared Recent Lattice simulation G. S. Bali et al, JHEP 1304(2013) 130

Euler-Heisenberg effective action **Euler-Heisenberg** effective action interpolation and continuum extrapolation to obtain the *a* → 0 limit. The results, together with the

$$
S_{\text{eff}}^{(2,2)}(\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu};B) = -\frac{V_4}{180\pi^2}\frac{(qB)^2}{m^4}\left[3\mathop{\mathrm{tr}}\nolimits\mathcal{B}_{\parallel}^2 + \mathop{\mathrm{tr}}\nolimits\mathcal{B}_{\perp}^2 + \mathop{\mathrm{tr}}\nolimits\mathcal{E}_{\perp}^2 - \frac{5}{2}\mathop{\mathrm{tr}}\nolimits\mathcal{E}_{\parallel}^2\right]
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}$ and the contract charge from $\frac{1}{2}$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are contracted from $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are current case this expansion obvior Caution: Euler-Heisenberg effective action is basically the expansion of Thus, in perturbation theory for constant fields *[|]qB|, |Fµ*ν*[|]* # *^m*² the chromo-electric field parallel e*field/m, and in the current case this expansion obviously breaks down. *A*(*E*), whereas the chromo-magnetic sector shows the opposite effect, giving a negative *A*(*B*). This $\frac{1}{2}$ is basically the expansion of the anisotropy, see the anisotropy, see the anisotropy, see the generalized vertex of the generalized vertex of the generalized vertex of the generalized vertex of the generalized v Eulis expansion obviously breaks down.
Cordination in approximation to this calculation, this calculation, this calculation, this calculation, this c Caution :

parallel *B*-fields are favored. This is in qualitative agreement with our non-perturbative findings that

 \longrightarrow Full order calculation with respect to the fields is needed. chromo-magnetic field reduces the action. This means that parallel is means that parallel in the action of the

Fig. are distance and the action of the with respect to the fields is needed. In $\frac{1}{2}$ tr *^B*² ! reduces the action, and is favored. This implies *^A*(*E*) *>* ⁰ and *^A*(*B*) *<* ⁰, as we have found. QCD Lagrangian with electromagnetic fields

$$
\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu} + \bar{q}(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - M_q)q
$$

Covariant derivative

$$
D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig A_\mu^a T^a - ie Q a_\mu
$$

Field strengths

$$
F_{\mu\nu}^{a} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu}^{a} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}^{a} + gf^{abc}A_{\mu}^{b}A_{\nu}^{c}
$$

$$
f^{\mu\nu} = \partial^{\mu}a^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu}a^{\mu}
$$

$$
\overline{\partial f = 0 : \text{constant fields}}
$$

Charge and mass matrices

 $Q = diag(Q_{q_1}, Q_{q_2}, \cdots, Q_{q_f}), \quad M_q = diag(m_{q_1}, m_{q_2}, \cdots, m_{q_f})$

Background field method

$$
A^a = \underline{\hat{A}^a} + \underline{A^a}
$$

I. Batalin et al, Sov. J. Nucl.Phys. 26 (1977)214

M. Gyulassy and A. Iwazaki, Phys. Lett. B 165(1985) 157 N. Tanji and K. Itakura, Phys. Lett. B 713(2012) 117

 $\hat A^{\bm{a}}\;$: Slowly varying classical background field

 $\mathcal{A}^{a}\;:$ Quantum fluctuation

We apply the Covariantly-constant field as the background field.

$$
\hat{D}^{ab}_{\rho}\hat{F}^{b}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \qquad \hat{D}^{ab}_{\rho} = \partial \delta^{ab} + gf^{acb}\hat{A}^c
$$

 \hat{F} is varying very slowly $(\partial \hat{F} = 0)$

$$
\hat{F}^a_{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu}\hat{n}^a \qquad \hat{n}^2 = 1
$$

$$
\hat{A}^a_\mu = A_\mu \hat{n}^a \qquad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu
$$

Gauge fixing (background gauge)

$$
\hat{D}_{\mu}^{ab}\mathcal{A}^{b\mu}=0
$$

$$
\text{exp}\left[iS_{eff}(\hat{A}_{\mu})\right] = \int \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\mathcal{D}c\mathcal{D}\bar{c}\mathcal{D}q\mathcal{D}\bar{q} \exp\left\{i\int d^{4}x\left[-\frac{1}{4}\left(\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}^{a}+(\hat{D}_{\mu}^{ab}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{b}-\hat{D}_{\nu}^{ab}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{b})+gf^{abc}\mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{b}\mathcal{A}_{\nu}^{c}\right)^{2}\right.\right.\left.\left.-\frac{1}{2\xi}(\hat{D}_{\mu}^{ab}\mathcal{A}^{b\mu})^{2}-\bar{c}^{a}(\hat{D}_{\mu}D^{\mu})^{ac}c^{c}+\bar{q}(i\gamma_{\mu}\hat{D}^{\mu}-M_{q})q+\bar{q}(ig\gamma_{\mu}\mathcal{A}^{a\mu}\cdot T^{a})q-\frac{1}{4}f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu}\right]\right\}
$$

Functional integral for second order fluctuations with $\xi = 1$

▶ We apply the Schwinger's proper time method to evaluate the effective potential.

 \blacktriangleright Performing the proper time integral, we derive the analytic expression of the effective potential of quark part.

In order to focus on the chromo-magnetic field, we employ the pure chromo-magnetic background for the gluon field.

Effective potential for quark part

$$
V_q = V_q^{fin} + V_q^{div}
$$

$$
H_c = \sqrt{\vec{H}_c^2}, \quad B = \sqrt{\vec{B}^2}
$$

$$
V_q^{fin} = \sum_{a=1}^{N_c} \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} \left\{ -\frac{a_{a,i}^2}{24\pi^2} \left(\log(2a_{a,i}) + 12\zeta'(-1, \frac{m_{q_i}^2}{2a_{a,i}}) - 1 \right) \right. \\
\left. + \frac{m_{q_i}^2 a_{a,i}}{8\pi^2} \log \left(\frac{2a_{a,i}}{m_{q_i}^2} \right) - \frac{m_{q_i}^4}{16\pi^4} \left(\log \left(\frac{2a_{a,i}}{m_{q_i}^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
V_q^{div} = \frac{N_f}{48\pi^2} (gH_c)^2 \log \Lambda^2 + \frac{N_c}{24\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} Q_{q_i}^2 \right)^2 (eB)^2 \log \Lambda^2
$$

$$
a_{a,i} = \sqrt{\left(g w_a \vec{H_c} + e Q_{q_i} \vec{B}\right)^2} = \sqrt{g^2 w_a^2 H_c^2 + e^2 Q_{q_i}^2 B^2 + 2g w_a e Q_{q_i} H_c B \cos \theta_{HB}}
$$

 ${\bf Color}$ $SU(2)$ case with $Q_q=1$

Color $SU(2)$ case with $Q_q = 1$

Gluon $+$ ghost part effective potential

Color charges

$$
SU(2)
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_1 = +1, \lambda_2 = -1
$$

\n
$$
SU(3)
$$

\n
$$
\lambda_1^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \cos \left(2\Theta - \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \right], \lambda_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \cos \left(2\Theta + \frac{\pi}{3} \right) \right], \lambda_3^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos \left(2\Theta \right) \right]
$$

Imaginary part

G. C. Nayak and P. Nieuwenhuizen, PRD71 (2005)

$$
Im V_g = -\frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} (gH_c)^2
$$
: Nilesen-Olesen instability

Logarithmic divergences and renormalization

$$
V^{div} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right] \right\} (gH_c)^2 \log \Lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{N_c}{12\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} Q_{q_i}^2 \right) (eB)^2 \log \Lambda^2
$$

replacing the couplings and field in the potential by bare couplings g_0, e_0 and fields H_0, B_0

$$
V_{eff} = \frac{H_0^2}{2} + \frac{B_0^2}{2} + V_0^{div} + V_0^{fin}
$$

and rescale the couplings and fields as

$$
H_0 = Z_H^{1/2} H_c \, , \qquad B_0 = Z_B^{1/2} B
$$

$$
g = Z_H^{1/2} g_0 \quad , \qquad e = Z_B^{1/2} e_0
$$

The rescale factors are given by

Using renormalized couplings g, e and fields H_c, B we can write the effective potential as

$$
V_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}Z_H H_c^2 + \frac{1}{2}Z_B B^2 + V^{div} + V^{fin}
$$

Introducing the counterterms so that log divergences cancel

$$
\delta_H = \frac{g^2}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right] \log \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \right)
$$

$$
\delta_B = -\frac{N_c e^2}{12\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} Q_{q_i}^2 \right) \log \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\mu^2} \right)
$$

we finally get renormalized effective potential

$$
V_{eff} = \frac{H_c^2}{2} + \frac{B^2}{2} + V^{fin}
$$

Furthermore, we can calculate the beta functions of QCD and QED

$$
\beta_{QCD} = \mu \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{2} g \mu \frac{\partial Z_H}{\partial \mu} = -\frac{g^3}{(4\pi)^2} \left[\frac{11}{3} N_c - \frac{2}{3} N_f \right]
$$
\n
$$
\beta_{QED} = \mu \frac{\partial e}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{2} e \mu \frac{\partial Z_B}{\partial \mu} = +\frac{N_c e^3}{12\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} Q_{q_i}^2 \right)
$$
\nloop β functions

We investigate the magnetic field dependence of the QCD effective potential.

In this study, we consider the color $SU(3)$ case with the three flavor (u,d,s).

We use the following parameters

$$
\blacktriangleright \ Q_u = +\frac{2}{3} \ , \ \ Q_d = Q_s = -\frac{1}{3}
$$

 $m_u = m_d = 5 \,\, \mathrm{MeV}\, , \;\; m_s = 140 \,\, \mathrm{MeV}$

$$
\bullet \ \ \alpha_s = 1 \,, \ \ \alpha_{EM} = \frac{1}{137} \,, \quad \mu = 1 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}
$$

Chromo-magnetic fields prefer to be parallel (or anti-paralell) to the external magnetic field, which is consistent with recent lattice results.

 $H_{c||}$ > H_{c}

Chromo-magnetic fields prefer to be parallel (or anti-paralell) to the external magnetic field, which is consistent with recent lattice results.

 $H_{c\parallel} > H_{c\perp}$

QCD effective potential at $B=0$

The one-loop YM effective potential $H_c^2/2+V_{YM}$ has a minimum away from the origin, which corresponds to the dynamical generation of the chromomagnetic condensate.

 \rightarrow This result is qualitatively in agreement with LQCD and FRG analyses.

J. Amebjorn, V. K. Mitrjushkin and A. M. Zadorozhnyi, PLB 245 (1990) 575

A. Eichhorn, H. Gies and J. M. Pawlowski, PRD83 (2011) 045014

▶ Quark loop contributions attenuate the gluonic contributions.

 \rightarrow How the condensate behaves in the presence of the magnetic field?

QCD effective potential with finite magnetic fields

As the magnetic field increases, the minimum shift to the right hand side.

 \rightarrow The chromo-magnetic condensate increases with an increasing magnetic field.

This behavior is quite similar to the recent observed gluonic magnetic catalysis in lattice QCD.

In the mass less limit of the quark $m_q \rightarrow 0$, one can obtain the analytic $\mathsf{expression\ of}\ (gH_c)^2_{min}$ with $\ eB=0$:

$$
(gH_c)_{min,0}^2 = \mu^4 \exp\left\{-\frac{8\pi}{b_0\alpha_s} - 1 + \frac{2}{b_0} \left(\frac{11N_c}{3}c_g - \frac{2N_f}{3}c_q\right)\right\}, \quad b_0 = \frac{11N_c}{3} - \frac{2N_f}{3}
$$

where *c^g* and *c^q* are some constants.

In the small eB region, $(gH_c)_{min,0} >> eB$, we find

$$
(gH_c)_{min}^2 = (gH_c)_{min,0}^2 + \frac{(4\pi)^2}{b_0} \frac{N_c}{12\pi^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} Q_{q_i}^2\right) (eB)^2
$$

Note that the coefficient of the second term is the ratio of the coefficients of β_{QCD} and β_{QED} .

In the large eB region, $eB > (gH_c)_{min}$, $(gH_c)_{min}^2$ still monotonically increases as the magnetic field increases. *min*

In our results, quark loop contributions should be important, since only V_q has B-dependence.

To see the importance, we define the following quantity

$$
\Delta \bar{V}(H_c, B) = \bar{V}(H_c, B) - \bar{V}(H_c, 0)
$$

= $V_q(H_c, B) - V_q(0, B) - V_q(H_c, 0)$
= $\frac{i}{\int d^4x} \log \left[\frac{\det(i\hat{p}(H_c, B) - M_q)}{\det(i\hat{p}(H_c, 0) - M_q) \det(i\hat{p}(0, B) - M_q)} \right]$

 \blacktriangleright $\Delta \bar{V}$ is negative in the whole region of gHc-eB plane.

.

 \blacktriangleright $\Delta \bar{V}$ is monotonically decreasing as either gH_c or eB increases.

Using the definition of $\Delta \overline{V}$, we can rewrite the normalized effective potential

$$
\bar{V}(H_c, B) = \bar{V}(H_c, 0) + \Delta \bar{V}(H_c, B)
$$

= $\frac{H_c^2}{2} + V_{YM} + [V_q(H_c, 0) + \Delta \bar{V}(H_c, B)]$

 $V_q(H_c,0)$: B-independent part of quark loop which attenuates the gluonic contributions. $\overline{}$

Completely opposite roles

 $\Delta\bar{V}(H_c,B)$: B-dependent part of quark loop which enhances the gluonic contributions.

▶ Thanks to the property of the B-dep. part of the quark loop, $(gH_c)_{min}^2$ monotonically increases with an increasing magnetic field. *min*

 \blacktriangleright This property of the quark loop supports the gluonic magnetic catalysis at zero temperature, observed in current lattice data.

Summary

▶ We derive the analytic expression of the one-lop QCD effective potential with the magnetic field.

- After the renormalization of couplings and fields, we obtain the correct β-functions of both QCD and QED.
- ▶ Our result shows that the chromo-magnetic field prefers to be parallel (or anti-parallel) to the external magnetic field, which is consistent with recent lattice results.
- ▶ Quark loop contributions with magnetic fields enhance the gluonic contributions and thus the chromo-magnetic condensate monotonically increase with an increasing magnetic field.

 \longrightarrow This result supports the gluonic magnetic catalysis at zero temperature, observed in current lattice data.