## **Inverse Power-law Potential with Inverse Non-minimal Coupling to Unify** Inflation and Late-time Acceleration

### Sang Chul Hyun (Yonsei U.)

2024. 11. 07. (Thur.)

In collaboration with

Seong Chan Park (Yonsei U. & KIAS)

arXiv:2411.XXXX)



Saga-Yonsei Joint Workshop XXI





- issues on the Standard Big Bang Cosmology.
  - problem, ...)
  - Origin of Density Perturbations
- acceleration. (late-time acceleration).

Cosmic Inflation is one of popular mechanism to cure following

• Fine-tuning on Parameters (e.g. Flatness problem, Horizon

Various observations tell us that our current Universe is in favor of

Supernova Search Team collaboration (1998). Supernova Cosmology Project collaboration (1999). S.F. Daniel et al. (2008).



- fields are not necessarily equal.
- What about a case where the early time inflation and late
  - $\longrightarrow$  Quintessence Inflation (QI)

• Both mechanisms introduces a scalar field (named inflaton, and quintessence) beyond the Standard Model such that the slow-roll assumption is satisfied. In general, those two

time acceleration is governed by a "same" scalar field  $\varphi$ ?



- In order to successfully realize cosmic inflation and late time field limit and large field limit) must be flat.
  - during early-time inflation.
  - To ensure late-time accelera

 $\frac{\ddot{a}_0}{a_0} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\right)$ where  $w_{eff,0} \simeq w_{s,0}\Omega_{s,0}$ .

acceleration, potential  $V(\varphi)$  at two certain regimes (e.g. small

• To ensure fine-tuning problem (e.g. horizon, flatness problem)



# Setup & Motivations



### **Our Setup**

In our work, we considered following setup :  

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\xi}{\varphi^{n/2}} \right) R - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \varphi \partial^{\nu} \varphi - \frac{V_0}{\varphi^n} \right] \begin{cases} \text{i. Flat potential in a la limit in a minimal way}} \\ \text{ii. Attractor Behavior} \\ \frac{1}{\Omega^2(\varphi) \text{ : non-minimal coupling}} \end{cases}$$

amical 3 Lsymmetry breaking

> *I. Affleck et al. (1985).* **P. Binetruy (1999).**

For simplicity, we will replace the exponential factor :  $n \rightarrow 2n$ .







### Weyl Transformation

Applying Weyl Transformation

$$g_{\mu\nu} \Longrightarrow g$$

$$S_E = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g_E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} R_E - \frac{1}{2} (\partial s_E)^2 - V_E \right], \quad V_E \equiv \frac{V_J(s(\varphi))}{\Omega^4(s(\varphi))}$$

where canonical field *S* and Jordan frame field  $\varphi$  are related by following equation.

$$\frac{ds}{d\varphi} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\Omega^2} + \frac{3(\Omega^2_{,\varphi})^2}{2\Omega^4}} \equiv F(\varphi)$$

# $g_{E,\mu\nu} = \Omega^2(\varphi)g_{\mu\nu}$

### results in an Einstein-frame action with a canonical field S



## Why NM Coupling with Inverse Power-Law?

- In a small field limit ( $\varphi^n \ll \xi$ ), the Einstein-frame potential is approximated by

$$V_E = \frac{V_0}{(\varphi^n + \xi)^2} \simeq \frac{V_0}{\xi^2} \left(1 - 2\frac{\varphi^n}{\xi}\right)$$

• In a large field limit ( $\varphi^n \gg \xi$ ), the Einstein-frame potential is approximated by

$$V_E = \frac{V_0}{(\varphi^n + \xi)^2} \simeq \frac{V_0}{\varphi^{2n}}$$



### Why NM Coupling with Inverse Power-Law?







### **Slow-Roll Parameters**



For general n and  $\xi$ , there always exists  $\xi_{crit}$  such that there is no solution of equation max{ $\epsilon, |\eta|$ } = 1 for  $\xi \geq \xi_{crit}$ . We will only focus on a case where  $\xi < \xi_{crit}$ .



# **Early Time Inflation**



## **End of Inflation**

- results in following scaling behavior :  $\varphi_{end} \simeq \left(\sqrt{3}\xi/2\right)^{1/n}$ .
- From this fact, we can roughly divide whole evolution of the scalar field into two regimes :

• Small field limit ( $\varphi \ll \xi^{1/n}$ ) during inflation.

• Large field limit ( $\varphi \gg \xi^{1/n}$ ) during late-time acceleration.

 $\left(\sqrt{3}/2\right)^{1/n} \simeq 1$  for every natural number *n*.



### **Main Results**



**PLANCK Collaboration (2020). BICEP, Keck collaboration (2021).** 

 Observational Constraints by Planck results and BICEP/Keck (BK) data are drawn respectively.

 $\circ 1\sigma$ -bound colored with green.

 $\circ 2\sigma$ -bound colored with yellow.

• We picked  $N_e = 60$ , where  $N_e$  denotes an e-folds between pivot scale and an event where inflation terminates.











### Independence of Observables with Free Parameters

• In a small field limit ( $\varphi \ll \xi^{1/n}$ ), CMB observables are approximated by

$$n_{s} = 1 - 6\epsilon_{*} + 2\eta_{*} \simeq 1 - \frac{8}{3} \frac{\varphi_{*}^{n}}{\xi} - 8\left(\frac{\varphi_{*}^{n}}{\xi}\right)^{2} \simeq 1 - \frac{2}{N_{e}} - \frac{9}{N_{e}^{2}}, \quad r \simeq \frac{64}{3} \left(\frac{\varphi_{*}^{n}}{\xi}\right)^{2} \simeq \frac{12}{N_{e}^{2}},$$

where  $\varphi_* \simeq (3\xi/4N_e)^{1/n}$ .

by \*):  $\ln(10^{10}A_{c}) = 3.044 \pm 0.014$  (TT,TE,EE+lowE+lensing).

$$\frac{V_0}{\xi^2} \simeq \frac{18\pi^2 A_s}{N_e^2} \simeq (1.0)$$

**PLANCK Collaboration (2020).** 

• The last degree of freedom  $V_0$  is *fixed* by normalization condition, which constrains the scalar amplitude at the pivot scale (denoted Relation between  $V_0$  and non-minimal coupling is given by :

 $(01 - 1.05) \times 10^{-9} \times \left(\frac{N_e}{60}\right)^{-2}$ .









Late Time Acceleration



### Late Time Acceleration

- In order to check whether our model is compatible with observational results regarding late-time acceleration, we've checked following items.
  - 1. Hubble parameter at current Universe :  $\longrightarrow H_0 \simeq 67.36 \pm 0.54 \text{km} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{Mpc}^{-1} \simeq 10^{-51} M_{Pl}$ PLANCK Collaboration (2020).
  - 2. The equation-of-state (EoS) parameter of the quintessence  $\longrightarrow w_{DE,0} \leq -0.9$ PLANCK Collaboration (2015), S. Alam et al. (2017), Y. Wang et al. (2017).
  - 3. After last scattering event, matter-dominated Universe ensues, and finally dark-energy dominated one.









### **Classical Equation of Motions**

We solved classical equation of motions for quintessence field

$$H^{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{6}F^{2}\varphi_{,N}^{2}\right) = \frac{V_{E}}{3} + H_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega_{m,0}}{\exp(3N)} + \frac{\Omega_{r,0}}{\exp(4N)}\right)$$
$$H^{2}\varphi_{,NN} + \left(3H^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(H^{2})_{,N}\right)\varphi_{,N} + H^{2}\frac{F_{,\varphi}}{F}\varphi_{,N}^{2} + \frac{V_{E,\varphi}}{F^{2}} = 0$$

- with suitable two input initial conditions  $\varphi(N = \Lambda)$
- In our work, we set  $N_i = 0$  (today) and tuned  $\alpha \equiv \varphi(N = 0)$ and  $\beta \equiv \varphi_N(N=0)$ .

E-folds  $N \equiv \ln(a/a_0)$ 

$$V_i), \quad \varphi_{N}(N=N_i).$$



## Setting Adequate $(\alpha, \beta)$

• Two initial conditions  $(\alpha, \beta)$  are uniquely fixed by applying slowroll conditions and a large field limit as follows :

$$\alpha \simeq \left(\frac{V_0}{3H_0^2\Omega_{s,0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2n}},$$

 Inputting above initial conditions, we have tried to numerically calculate the evolution of energy density of the quintessence with respect to an e-fold, as well as an EoS(Equation-of-State) parameter of that field, especially in a regime where  $N \in [-15,5]$ .

 $\beta \simeq 2n\Omega_{s,0}$ .





The grey regime corresponds to  $N < N_{CMB} \simeq -6.97$ , in which our numerical results become unreliable.

 $(N_{CMB}$  denotes an event where last-scattering happens.)



## Indistinguishability between s and $\varphi$

- Dominance of quintessence field comparing with other components happens in a large-field regime :  $\varphi^n \gg \xi$ .
- The classical equation of motion with respect to the Jordan*frame* field is approximated by  $\varphi$

 $\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi}$ 

In other words, the Jordan-frame field  $\varphi$  in our setup behaves like one in a single-field scenario with no non-minimal coupling. In that sense, s and  $\varphi$  are indistinguishable each other. :  $S \simeq \varphi$ .

$$F(\varphi) \equiv \frac{ds}{d\varphi} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \xi\varphi^{-n}} + \frac{3n^2\xi^2\varphi^{-2n}}{2\varphi^2\left(1 + \xi\varphi^{-n}\right)^2}} \simeq 1 \text{ on a large field li}$$

$$p + V_{E,\varphi} \simeq 0$$





### **Explanations of Shift of Dominance of Quintessence**

• Suppose we backward quintessence from N = 0 to N = -dN, with infinitesimal dN. The energy loss due to evolution of quintessence field by Hubble drag is given by

$$\Delta E_{loss}(N =$$

 On the other hand, the potential difference by the evolution of quintessence field is given by

$$|\Delta V(N=0)| = (V_0/\alpha^{2n})$$

• One important fact is that for decently small  $n \geq O(1)$ ,

$$\Delta E_{loss}(N =$$

e.g., when  $(n,\xi) = (4,0.01), \alpha \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{13}).$ 

 $= 0)| = -3H_0^2\beta^2 dN$ 

 $(2n\beta dN/\alpha) \simeq 3H_0^2(2n\beta/\alpha)dN$ 

 $= 0) \mid \gg \mid \Delta V(N = 0) \mid$ 







### Explanations

infinitesimal dN.

$$|\Delta E_{loss}(N=0)| = -3H_0^2\beta^2 dN$$

- potential energy unchanged.
- Therefore, when we increase  $\beta$  with other parameters fixed, the same physical system of low  $\beta$ .

Recall that  $\beta \simeq 2n\Omega_{s,0}$ .

### • Suppose we backward quintessence from N = 0 to N = -dN, with

• Hereafter, the most of energy increase  $|\Delta E_{loss}(N=0)|$  by backwarding quintessence contributes to the increase of kinetic energy, with

kinetic energy of quintessence overtakes potential energy faster than









# Conclusions



### Conclusions

- We have tried a setup which a scalar field is non-minimally coupled with gravity (especially with an inverse non-minimal coupling) with inverse power-law potential.
- CMB observables during inflation are well in accordance with recent bounds, with most of parameter sets :  $(n, \xi, V_0)$ .
- This setup also realizes late-time acceleration for some kinds of parameter spaces, and compatible with successful Big Bang scenario, when n < 10.







### **Backup Slides**







### **Backup Slides**







### **Backup Slides**



