Search for Dark Matter: Dark Matter Direct Detection



Jong-Chul Park



Saga-Yonsei Joint Workshop, November 07 (2024)

Message from Cosmology: Dark Matter (DM)



More Observational Evidence of DM

- ✓ Galaxy rotation curve
- \checkmark Coma cluster
- ✓ Gravitational lensing
- \checkmark Bullet cluster
- ✓ Structure formation
- ✓ Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR)
- \checkmark Sky surveys
- ✓ Type Ia supervovae
- ✓ Baryonic acoustic oscillation (BAO)



✓ ...





Conventional DM Search Strategies



DM Direct Detection: Target Particle Recoil



DM Wind



DM Direct Detection





- ♦ DM: all around us! \rightarrow recoil of DM-nucleus scattering from *E* & *p* conservation!
- ♦ What is measure: *E* of recoiling nucleus ~ 1-100 keV for $m_{\rm DM}$ ~ 1-100 GeV ($E_{\rm k} \sim mv^2$ with $v/c\sim 10^{-3}$)
- **♦** Challenges: very small *E*, small event rate, large backgrounds

neutrino

Z

boson

nuclea

Beginning of DM Direct Detection



10

Detection Techniques



DM Direct Detection: Basics



$$\Phi_{\chi} = n_{\chi} v_{\rm rel} \& n_{\chi} = \rho_{\chi}/m_{\chi}$$





$$f_{\text{Earth}}(\vec{v},t) = f_{\text{Galaxy}}(\vec{v} + \vec{v}_{\odot} + \vec{v}_{\oplus}(t))$$

DM Local Density



Mapping Dark Matter in the Milky Way using Normalizing Flows and Gaia DR3

Sung Hak Lim, Eric Putney, Matthew R. Buckley, and David Shih NHETC, Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

We present a novel, data-driven analysis of Galactic dynamics, using unsupervised machine learning – in the form of density estimation with normalizing flows – to learn the underlying phase space distribution of 6 million nearby stars from the *Gaia* DR3 catalog. Solving the collisionless Boltzmann equation with the assumption of approximate equilibrium, we calculate – for the first time ever – a model-free, unbinned, fully 3D map of the local acceleration and mass density fields within a 3 kpc sphere around the Sun. As our approach makes no assumptions about symmetries, we can test for signs of disequilibrium in our results. We find our results are consistent with equilibrium at the 10% level, limited by the current precision of the normalizing flows. After subtracting the known contribution of stars and gas from the calculated mass density, we find clear evidence for dark matter throughout the analyzed volume. Assuming spherical symmetry and averaging mass density measurements, we find a local dark matter density of 0.47 ± 0.05 GeV/cm³. We fit our results to a generalized NFW, and find a profile broadly consistent with other recent analyses.

[arXiv: 2305.13358]

- $\boldsymbol{\ast}$ Two main approaches to measuring ρ_{DM}
 - \succ Global measures: inter/extrapolating ρ_{DM} from the rotation curve
 - ➤ Local measures: the vertical kinematics of stars in the local Milky Way → 'tracers'
 - > There have been attempts to bridge two scales.
- * Recently, analysis of Galactic dynamics, even using machine-learning approaches.

DM Velocity Distribution





Event Spectrum



Information of DM Models

* Mass & interaction strength of DM

✓ Differential recoil rate:

events on Ge / keV [arb. Units]

o L_{th}

T. Schwetz, PPC11 CERN

10

20

30

E_ [keV]

Amplitude → Interaction strength Curvature (~distribution) → Mass



Check!: Inelastic Scattering of DM



Why Deep Underground?



Event Discrimination



G. Deuter, Eurograd Workshop, Sept. 2010

Event Discrimination



Annual Modulation

- > As the Earth orbits the Sun, v of the detector relative to the DM halo varies.
- > DAMA has detected an annual modulation in the event rate (12.9 σ significance).
- \succ 14 annual cycles, modulation amplitude: 0.0103 ± 0.0008 in the (2-6) keV
- Phase: 145 ± 5 days (cf. June 2nd), Period: 0.999 ± 0.001 yr



DAMA [arXiv: 1805.10486]

WIMP Wind

Current Status of Annual Modulation

> COSINE-100 w/ 106 kg NaI & 6.4 years: No annual modulation signal

COSINE-100 [arXiv: 2409.13226]



Current Status of DM Direct Detection: Elastic







New Technologies for Light Dark Matter

Light DM (LDM) Direct Detection

1. Energy threshold

 \checkmark Need lower E thresholds (eV-scale or less)

 \checkmark R&D to push towards meV-scale E thresholds

✓ **Electron** is preferred as a target.

2. Exposure (target mass + runtime)

 \checkmark Not as important as for WIMP searches

3. Backgrounds

✓ Complicated, non-radiogenic backgrounds at lower energies

$$v_{\min}(E_{\mathrm{R}}) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{m_T E_{\mathrm{R}}}{2\mu_T^2}} = \frac{q}{2\mu_T}$$

$$\Phi_{\chi} = n_{\chi} v_{\rm rel} = \frac{\rho_{\chi}}{m_{\chi}} v_{\rm rel}$$

Light DM (LDM) Direct Detection



***** Detectors searching for ionization

- ✓ S2-only searches in TPCs: XENON, DarkSide-50
- ✓ CCD: DAMIC, SENSEI
- ✓ Neganov–Trofimov Luke (NTL) effect:
 SuperCDMS-HVeV, EDELWEISS

Daniel Baxter, IDM 2024

S2-Only Search



CCD



Daniel Baxter, IDM 2024

Interaction with silicon produces free charge carriers...

- ...which are drifted across fully-depleted region...
 - *no loss of charge* ...and collected in 15 micron square pixels...
 - exceptional position resolution

 ...to be stored until a user-defined readout time after many hours.



Pros: low dark rates, few eV threshold, <u>10 micron position resolution</u>, 1-10 dru backgrounds **Cons**: silicon target only, lack of timing, no discrimination below 10 keV

0

Current Status of Light DM Direct Detection



Super-Light DM Direct Detection



Super-Light DM Direct Search



Dark Matter Limit Plotter

Super-Light DM Direct Search Status



DM-Electron Scattering

1. Single atom liquid/gas

- \checkmark Noble gas
- \checkmark Electron binding E of the target atom: ionization E

2. Solid

- ✓ Single atom: Ge, Si, C
- ✓ Composite: GaAs, NaI, Al2O3
- \checkmark Electron band structure



Inelastic Nuclear Scattering

Why inelastic channel?





➤ Signatures

- ✓ **Elastic**: low energy nuclear recoil
- ✓ **Inelastic**: γ cascade ($\Delta E \leq 10$ MeV),
 - γ cascade + nucleons ($\Delta E\gtrsim 10$ MeV)

Motivation

- ✓ A new channel to study
- ✓ Larger energy $\sim O(1 10)$ MeV
- ✓ Better S/B ratio

Inelastic Nuclear Scattering: Neutrino

♦ Inelastic *ν***-**Nucleus Scattering (I*ν*NS)





TESTING THE STRUCTURE OF WEAK NEUTRAL CURRENTS BY INELASTIC NEUTRINO SCATTERING FROM NUCLEI*

T.W. DONNELLY^{*} and R.D. PECCEI Institute of Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

Received 13 June 1976 Revised manuscript received 17 September 1976

By using selected nuclear transitions specific pieces of the weak neutral current may be greatly enhanced, leading to widely different results for different models of the weak neutral current. Predictions for low-energy inelastic neutrino scattering from ${}^{12}C$ are examined within the framework of a variety of SU(2)_W × U(1) gauge theory models, PLB (1976)

First observation of the neutral current nuclear excitation ${}^{12}C(v, v'){}^{12}C^*(1^+, 1)$

KARMEN Collaboration

The neutral current nuclear excitation ${}^{12}C(v, v'){}^{12}C^*$ (1⁺, 1; 15.1 MeV) has been observed for the first time. For v_e and \bar{v}_{μ} from μ^+ -decay at rest the flux averaged cross section was determined to be $\langle \sigma_{NC}(v_e + \bar{v}_{\mu}) \rangle = [10.8 \pm 5.1 (\text{stat.}) \pm 1.1 (\text{syst.})] \times 10^{-42} \text{ cm}^2$.

➤ Recent

✓ Inclusion of multiple excited states

improvements Consistent handling of hadronic currents

Dutta, Newstead et al., [2206.08590] ✓ Exclusive cross sections for each state

PLB (1991)

Inelastic Nuclear Scattering: DM @ Direct Exps.

Inelastic DM-Nucleus Scattering



RATES FOR INELASTIC NUCLEAR EXCITATION BY DARK MATTER PARTICLES

John ELLIS^{a)}, R.A. FLORES^{a,1} and J.D. LEWIN^b

CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, OX11 0QX, UK

Received 29 June 1988

PLB (1988)

We calculate rates for the inelastic scattering of dark matter particles X on nuclei to produce low-lying excited nuclear states. Assuming a maxwellian velocity distribution for the dark matter particles X, the inelastic two-body phase space suppresses all rates by factors > 10 for $m_X \le 100$ GeV unless the excitation energy $\Delta E < 100$ keV. We catalogue all stable nuclei with excited states in this range, and we estimate inelastic scattering matrix elements by relating them to Ml transitions, after correcting for internal conversions. Our calculated rates are typically $\le 10^{-4}$ events/kg·day, with the least unfavourable rates being for ${}^{169}_{69}$ Tm and ${}^{187}_{75}$ Os. Problems of natural radioactivity and expense disfavour these and many other materials, leaving ${}^{127}_{53}$ I, ${}^{183}_{74}$ W and ${}^{201}_{80}$ Hg as the least unpromising isotopes.

39.6 keV **de-excitation** γ from ¹²⁹Xe 10 Cross-section [cm²] 10 10 10^{-39} XENON1T [2011.10431] 10^{2} 10^{3} 104 WIMP mass $[GeV/c^2]$ [cm²] Upper limit at 90% CL section 1σ (Sensitivity) 2 σ (Sensitivity) 10 ---- ELEGANTS V (1994) Cross 10⁻³³ 57.6 keV **de-excitation** γ from ¹²⁷I P-proton 10⁻³⁴ 10⁻³⁵ MIM 10-36 nelastic 10-3 COSINE-100 [2307.09814] 10³ 10^{2} WIMP mass [GeV/c²

Inelastic Nuclear Scattering: DM @ v Exps.



B. Dutta, W.C. Huang, D. Kim, J. Newstead, JCP, I. Shaukat Ali PRL (2024)

- Inelastic (solid) better than elastic (dashed)
- * LZ nuclear recoil data; others projected/attainable; NR DD (gray) standard direct detection

Summary

- DM: a clear sign of new physics (particle) beyond the Standard Model
- ▷ DM wind → Searching for scattering between DM & SM particles
- > So far most of Exps. focusing on WIMP, but no firm positive result yet
- > New approaches (especially for light DM) by adapting new ideas & technologies



Supplemental

GLIMPSE Graphene-based Light Invisible Matter Particle SEarch

[Kim, JCP, Lee, Fong, 2002.07821 & in progress]



We proposed a new super-light DM direct detection experiment, adopting the Graphene-based Josephson Junction* (GJJ) microwave single photon detector.

 \ast A "state-of-the-art" technology:

much lower $E_{th} \sim O(0.1 \text{ meV})$

Our Super-light DM Search Strategy with GJJ

* GJJ microwave bolometer



- Achieved fundamental limit of sensitivity: NEP= 0.7 aW/Hz^{1/2}
- ✓ Corresponding Energy Threshold: $\Delta E=0.1 \text{ meV}$

[G.-H.Lee, D.K.E., W.C.Jung et al., Nature (2020)]



- I. DM scatters off (π -bond) free electrons, transferring some fraction of its incoming E_k .
- **II.** The recoiling e heats up & thermalizes with nearby e's rapidly via e-e interactions.

III.The JJ is triggered: the temperature rise switches the zero-voltage (non-resistive) of JJ to a non-zero-

voltage (resistive) state.

★ $E_k \sim mv^2 \sim 1$ meV for $m_{DM} = 1$ keV

→ GJJ detector: sensitivity to the signal even by sub-keV DM.

Our Super-light DM Search Strategy with GJJ



✓ **Scattering**: DM traveling in 3D & <u>electrons</u> living in 3D but <u>confined in 2D</u> graphene layer.

→ Constrained elastic scattering!

- ✓ Prediction: angular dependence of the signal rate → applicable to background rejection.
- ✓ **2D detector unit**: a single switched GJJ by **DM interaction** allows the series resistance.