TopoAna: A generic tool for the event type analysis of inclusive Monte-Carlo samples in high energy physics experiments

Xingyu Zhou^{a,*}, Shuxian Du^b, Gang Li^c, Chengping Shen^{d,*}

^aSchool of Physics and Electronic Technology, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China
 ^bSchool of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, China
 ^cInstitute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
 ^dKey Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, China

Abstract

Inclusive Monte-Carlo samples are indispensable for signal selection and background suppression in many high energy physics experiments. A clear knowledge of the physics processes 2 involved in the samples, including the types of processes and the number of processes in each 3 type, is a great help to investigating signals and backgrounds. To help analysts obtain the physics process information from the truth information of the samples, we develop a physics process 5 analysis program, TopoAna, with C++, ROOT, and LaTeX. The program implements the functionalities of component analysis and signal identification with many kinds of fine, customizable classification and matching algorithms. It tags physics processes in individual events accurately 8 in the output root files, and exports the physics process information at the sample level clearly 9 to the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Independent of specific software frameworks, 10 the program is applicable to many experiments. At present, it has come into use in three e^+e^- 11 colliding experiments: the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. The use of the program in 12 other similar experiments is also prospective. 13

Keywords: event type; component analysis; signal identification; inclusive Monte-Carlo
 samples; high energy physics experiments

16 1. Introduction

One of the most important tasks in the data analysis of high energy physics experiments is to select signals, or in other words, to suppress backgrounds. As for the task, inclusive/generic Monte-Carlo (MC) samples are extremely useful, in that they provide basic, though not perfect, descriptions of the signals and/or backgrounds involved. However, due to the similarities between signals and some backgrounds, it usually takes efforts to establish a set of selection criteria that retain a high signal efficiency and meanwhile keep a low background level. Further

The program is now available at https://github.com/buaazhouxingyu/topoana. Preprint submitted to Computer Physics Communications

May 27, 2021

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail address: zhouxy@lnnu.edu.cn, shencp@fudan.edu.cn

optimization of preliminary criteria is often needed in the process. Under the circumstances, a 23 comprehensive understanding of the samples is required. In particular, a clear knowledge of the 24 physics processes, namely the event types, involved in the samples is quite helpful. To be spe-25 cific, the physics process information includes the types of processes and the number of processes 26 in each type, involved both in the entire samples and in the individual events. Here, the physics 27 process could be a complete production and decay process involved in an event, or merely a part 28 of it, such as the decay of an intermediate resonance. With the information, one can figure out 29 the main backgrounds (especially the peaking ones), and optimize the selection criteria further 30 31 by analyzing the differences between the main backgrounds and the signals. Even if it is difficult to further suppress these backgrounds, the knowledge of their types is beneficial to estimate the 32 systematic uncertainties associated with them. 33

The analysis of the physics process information described above is a sort of component anal-34 35 ysis. It is complex since it has to classify physics processes actively and finely. Another sort of physics process analysis often required in practice is signal identification, which only aims 36 to search for certain processes of interests. It is relatively simple because its core technique is 37 merely pattern matching. Mostly, signal and background events coexist in inclusive MC samples. 38 It is useful to differentiate them in such cases. The identified signal events can be used to make 39 up a signal sample in the absence of specialized signal samples, or they can be removed to avoid 40 repetition in the presence of specialized signal samples. Occasionally, we have to pick out some 41 decay branches in order to re-weight them according to new theoretical predictions or updated 42

43 experimental measurements. Signal identification also plays a part in this occasion.



Figure 1: Topology diagrams of (a) $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$, $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+\pi^-$, $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and (b) $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S)$, $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0\bar{B}^0$, $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi$, $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- D^{*+}\nu_\mu$, $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0\pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+K^-$, $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. As if trees grow, the diagrams are plotted from bottom to top.

Processes in high energy physics can be visualized with topology diagrams. As an example, Fig. 1 shows the topology diagrams of two typical physics processes occurring at e^+e^- colliders. From the figure, the hierarchies of the processes and the relationships among the particles are clearly illustrated with the diagrams. Though the complexities of topology diagrams vary with physics processes, there is only one diagram corresponding to each process. For this reason, we refer to the physics process information/analysis mentioned thereinbefore as topology informa-2 tion/analysis hereinafter. The component analysis and signal identification introduced above are
 exactly the two categories of topology analysis that will be discussed in this paper.

Since the raw topology truth information of inclusive MC samples is counter-intuitive, di-52 verse, and overwhelming, it is difficult for analysts to check the topology information of the 53 samples directly. To help them do the checks quickly and easily, a topology analysis program 54 called TopoAna is developed with C++, ROOT [1], and LaTeX. Here, C++ is the programming 55 language, ROOT is the C++ based data analysis software universally used in modern high energy 56 physics experiments, and LaTeX is used for generating pdf documents containing the obtained 57 58 topology information. The program implements the functionalities of component analysis and signal identification based on accurate pattern matching. To meet a variety of practical require-59 ments, many kinds of fine, customizable classification and matching algorithms are implemented 60 in the program. Generally, the program recognizes, categorizes, and counts physics processes in 61 62 each event in the samples, and tags them in the corresponding entry of the output root (TFile [2]) files. After processing the events, the program exports the obtained topology information at the 63 sample level to the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. 64

The program is applicable to inclusive MC samples at any data analysis stage of associated 65 high energy physics experiments. In the overwhelming majority of situations, it is run over the 66 samples which have undergone some selections, in order to examine the signals and backgrounds 67 in the selected samples as well as the effect of the imposed selections. In such situations, the 68 results of topology analysis are usually used together with other quantities for physics analysis. 69 In spite of this, applying the program to the samples without undergoing any selection facilitates 70 us to validate the generators and decay cards that produce the samples and helps novices get 71 familiar with the topology information of the samples. 72

The program has a history of more than ten years. It has already gone through a series of 73 major upgrades. Prior to its development, analysts usually wrote some private codes to match 74 few signals and/or backgrounds for their own studies. The limited functions of these codes 75 do not satisfy the increasing demand for topology analysis. This motivates us to develop a 76 generic, powerful, and easy-to-use program. At first, the program was developed for the BESIII 77 experiment, an experiment in the τ -Charm energy region with abundant research topics under 78 study [3, 4]. Later, it was extended substantially for the Belle II experiment, which is primarily 79 dedicated to search for physics beyond the Standard Model in the flavor sector and has already 80 started data taking in the recent three years [5]. Besides, the program has also been tried and 81 used in the Belle experiment, the predecessor of the Belle II experiment, where some physics 82 studies are still ongoing [6]. Not relying on any specific software frameworks, the program now 83 applies to many high energy physics experiments. 84

This user guide gives a detailed description of TopoAna. It proceeds as follows: Section 2 85 introduces the basics of the program; Sections 3 and 4 expatiate the two categories of function-86 alities of the program — component analysis and signal identification, respectively; Sections 5 87 and 6 present some common settings and auxiliary facilities for the executing of the program, 88 respectively; Section 7 summarizes the user guide. It is worth mentioning here that, aside from 89 the detailed description in the user guide, an essential description of the program has been writ-90 ten into a paper, which has already been published by Computer Physics Communications. One 91 can find this paper and the preprint corresponding to it in the links Comput. Phys. Commun. 92 258 (2021) 107540 and arXiv:2001.04016, respectively. For your convenience, we provide the 93 latest version of the paper draft "paper_draft_v3.1.pdf", as well as a quick-start tutorial "quick-94 start_tutorial_v*.pdf", under the directory "share" of the package. If the tool really helps your 95 researches, we would appreciate it very much if you could cite the paper in your publications. 96

97 2. Basics of the program

This section introduces the basics of the program, including the package, input, algorithm, 98 execution, performance, output, and validation of the program. The package implements the 99 program via a C++ class called "topoana" and a main function invoking the class. Compiling 100 the package creates the executable file of the program, that is, "topoana.exe". To execute the 101 program, we have to first obtain the input data of the program, namely the raw topology truth 102 information of the inclusive MC samples, with some interfaces to the program in the software 103 systems of the corresponding experiments. Normally, the input data contain all the topology 104 information of the samples. With the data, all kinds of the topology analysis presented in the 105 user guide can be performed. 106

To carry out the topology analysis desired in our work, we have to provide some neces-107 sary input, functionality, and output information to the program. The information is required to 108 be filled in the setting items designed and implemented in the program, and the items have to 109 be put in a plain text file named with a suffix ".card". With the card file, one can execute the 110 program with the command line: "topoana.exe cardFileName", where the argument "cardFile-111 Name" is optional and its default value is "topoana.card". After the execution of the program, 112 we can examine the results of topology analysis in the output files and use them to analyze other 113 experimental quantities. The results help us gain a better understanding of the signals and back-114 grounds and are conducive to carrying our work forward. Besides the package, input, execution, 115 and output of the program mentioned above, the algorithm, performance, and validation of the 116 program will also be discussed in this section, because they are also essential aspects of the pro-117 gram. In the next seven subsections, we will present the package, input, algorithm, execution, 118 performance, output, and validation of the program in detail, with each part in one subsection. 119

120 2.1. Package of the program

The package consists of six directories — "include", "src", "bin", "share", "examples", and "utilities" — and five files — "LICENSE", "README.md", "Configure", "Makefile", and "Setup". While the directory "include" only includes one header file "topoana.h", the directory "src" contains 68 source files "*.cpp" as well as a script file "topoana.C". At present, only one class, namely "topoana", is defined in the program for all of its functionalities. The class is declared in "topoana.h", implemented in "*.cpp" files, and invoked in "topoana.C".

The file "template_topoana.card" under the directory "share" saves all the items which are de-127 veloped for users to specify information for the execution of the program. One can refer to the file 128 when filling in the cards for their own needs. Some plain text files "pid_3pchrg_txtpnm_texpnm 129 _iccp.dat_*" are also included in the directory "share". They store the basic information of the 130 particles used in the program. The suffixes of their names indicate the experiments they apply 131 to. One of them will be copied to "pid_3pchrg_txtpnm_texpnm_iccp.dat" when we set up the 132 program. Besides, the directory "share" also contains three LaTeX style files " geometry.sty", 133 "ifxetex.sty", and "makecell.sty", which are invoked by the program for generating pdf files. The 134 directory "examples" includes plenty of detailed examples. Particularly, all the examples 135 involved in this user guide are under its sub-directory "in_the_user_guide". The directory 136 "utilities" contains some useful bash scripts. 137

The program is released under MIT license [7]. The file "README.md" briefly introduces how to install and use the program. To set up the program, one should first set the package path with the command "./Configure". Standard outputs of the command are the guidelines for manually adding the absolute path of "topoana.exe" to the environment variable "PATH", in order to execute it without any path. The second step is executing the command "make". This command compiles the header, source, and script files into the executable file "topoana.exe" under the directory "bin", according to the rules specified in the "Makefile". The last step is specifying the experiment name with the command line "./Setup experimentName". Currently, the supported experiment names are "BESIII", "Belle", and "Belle_II". Besides, "./Setup Example" is required for the execution of the user guide

¹⁴⁷ required for the execution of the examples in the user guide.

148 2.2. Input of the program

The input of the program is one or more root files including a TTree [8] object which contains raw topology truth information of the inclusive MC samples under study. To be specific, the information in each entry of the TTree object consists of the following three ingredients associated with the particles produced in an event of the samples: the number of particles, PDG [9] codes of particles, and mother indices of particles. Notably, the particles do not include the initial state particles (e^+ and e^- in e^+e^- colliding experiments), which are default and thus omitted. Besides, the indices of particles are integers starting from zero (included) to the number of particles (excluded); they are obvious and hence not taken as an input ingredient for topology analysis. Equation (1) shows an example of the input data.

Number of particles PDG codes of particles	:	63 300553, -511, 511, -433, 421, 211, 22, -413, 111, 111, 113, 211, 421, 22, 222, 212, 421, 211, 22, 22, 22	
		211, -451, 22, -525, 215, -421, -211, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 211, -211, 333, 11, -12, 22, -311, -211, 211, 111, 221, 331, 321, -321, 310, 22, 22, 111, 111, 221, 231, 321, -321, 310, 22, 22, 111, 111, 221, 231, 221, 231, 23	
		111, 111, 111, 221, 111, 111, 22, 22, 22	
		22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22, 22,	(1)
Mother indices of particles	:	-1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4, 7, 7, 8, 8, 9, 9	
		2, 3, 3, 4, 4, 7, 7, 8, 8, 9, 9, 10, 10, 12, 12, 12, 12, 14, 14, 15,	
		15, 16, 16, 24, 24, 28, 51, 51, 52, 52, 32, 32, 33, 33, 36, 36, 39, 39, 40, 40,	
		41, 41, 42, 42, 43, 43, 44, 44, 45, 45, 46, 46	

¹⁴⁹ The complete physics process contained in the data is displayed as follows.

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$4 \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \qquad 2 \qquad 13 \eta' \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta \qquad 8$	
	(2)
5 $D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$ 2 14 $\bar{K}^0 \to K^0_S$ 10	
6 $D^0 \to \rho^+ K^{*-}$ 3 15 $\phi \to K^+ K^-$ 11	
$7 D_s^{*-} \to D_s^- \gamma \qquad \qquad 3 \qquad \qquad 16 \eta \to \gamma \gamma \qquad \qquad 13$	
$8 \bar{D}^0 \to \eta \eta' \qquad 5 \qquad 17 K^0_S \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \qquad 14$	

¹⁵⁰ Here, the decay branches in the process are placed into two blocks in order to make full use of ¹⁵¹ the page space. In both blocks, the first, second, and third columns are the indices, symbolic

expressions, and mother indices of the decay branches. Notably, all the decay branches of $\pi^0 \rightarrow$

 $\gamma \gamma$ are omitted in Eq. (2) in order to make the process look more concise. Since the topology diagram of such a process looks like a tree, we refer to the complete processes as decay trees. Obviously, the input data do not show the structure automatically. Thus, we need the program to

¹⁵⁶ do the topology analysis work.

From the first branch in Eq. (2), only one particle $\Upsilon(4S)$ is produced after the e^+e^- annihilation. Thus, $\Upsilon(4S)$ can be referred to as the root particle of the decay tree. Similarly, many other resonances with the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$, such as J/ψ , can be solely produced at other proper energy points. Besides the cases with only one root particle, the program can deal with the cases with multiple root particles. For example, the program can recognize the following raw topology truth information

Number of particles	:	25	
PDG codes of particles	:	433,	
		-321, 223, 211, -413, 431, 111, 211, -211, 111, -411,	
		111, 321, 113, 22, 22, 22, 22, 321, -211, -211,	
		22, 22, 211, -211	(3)
Mother indices of particles	:	-1,	
-		-1, -1, -1, -1, 0, 0, 2, 2, 2, 4,	
		4, 5, 5, 6, 6, 9, 9, 10, 10, 10,	
		11, 11, 13, 13	

as the following process

Here, the particles $\pi^+ \omega K^- D_s^{*+}$ in the first branch arise from hadronization processes, in which quark pairs produced from initial state particles turn into hadrons. The processes with hadronization ignored have a tree structure and thus are easy to resolve. On the other hand, some hadronization processes, particularly those in high energy regions, contain complicated loop structures that are difficult to resolve without sophisticated algorithms. Resolving these intricate hadronization processes is not involved in the program at present.

It is recommended to save the input data in the TTree object together with other quantities for 163 physics analyses, in order to facilitate the examination of the distributions of these quantities with 164 the topology information. The input data can be stored in several types. Normally, the number of 165 particles can be simply stored in a TBranch [10] object as a scalar integer, while the PDG codes 166 of particles, as well as the mother indices of particles, can be stored in a TBranch object as an 167 array of integers, in a TBranch object as a vector of integers, or in a group of TBranch objects as 168 multiple scalar integers. In the analysis software of the Belle/Belle II experiment, float/double-169 precision variables are used uniformly to store all the quantities involved in the experiment, and 170 TBranch objects are not recommended to store arrays and vectors in order to use other tools 171 such as NumPy [11] and pandas [12]. In the Belle/Belle II context, we have to store the number 172 of particles in a TBranch object as a scalar float/double-precision number, and store the PDG 173 codes of particles, as well as the mother indices of particles, in a group of TBranch objects as 174 multiple scalar float/double-precision numbers. Summing up the above, we have mentioned five 175 storage types of the input information. For the sake of simplification, we refer to them with the 176 following acronyms: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, and MSD, which are short for array of integers, 177 vector of integers, multiple scalar integers, multiple scalar float numbers, and multiple scalar 178

double-precision numbers, respectively. All of the storage types are supported by the program,
 and their acronyms will be used in the related item of the card file (see Section 2.4 for details).

It is easy to get the input of the program within the software framework of high energy physics experiments. To facilitate its use, we have developed the interfaces of the program to the software systems of the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. Similar interfaces for other experiments can also be implemented with ease. Beyond the scope of the user guide, we will not discuss the details of the interfaces here.

186 2.3. Algorithm of the program

The program resolves physics processes from the input data introduced above. Considering the diversity of the data, the program first sorts them before translating them into physics processes. Here, the diversity means that the data representing a process may have multiple permutations. For example, the data for the decay $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ have the following two permutations.

Number of particles	:	3
PDG codes of particles	:	113, 211, -211 or 113, -211, 211
Mother indices of particles	:	-1, 0, 0

A decay tree can consist of many decay branches. As a consequence, the diversity issue is 187 complex. To avoid the different permutations of one group of data are identified as different pro-188 cesses, the program first sorts the input data to adjust all the possible permutations to a unique 189 order, according to the PDG codes and electronic charges of the involved particles, and the num-190 bers of their daughter particles in the case of identical particles present in the same decay branch. 191 For example, the two permutations above will be finally sorted into the first permutation (113, 192 211, -211) in the program. The sorting algorithm is implemented in the source file "sortPs.cpp", 193 where some other settings are also involved. One can see the reference file "sortPs.cpp_core" for 194 the core of the sorting algorithm. After the sorting, the program can get the decay tree from the 195 sorted data into a vector of the type "vector< list<int> >" with the function implemented in the 196 source file "getDcyTr.cpp". 197

As mentioned in the previous section, the program has two categories of functionalities: sig-198 nal identification and component analysis. In this subsection, we introduce the basic algorithms 199 for signal identification and component analysis by taking the cases of decay trees as examples. 200 Figures 2 and 3 show the flow charts of these algorithms in detail. Dozens of lines of code, in-201 cluding some using the ROOT classes TChain [13], TFile [2], and TTree [8], are involved in the 202 charts in order to express the algorithms explicitly. The flow chart of the signal identification for 203 decay trees is depicted in Fig. 2. Firstly, the program reads in the signal decay trees specified in 204 the user card file. Then, for each entry of the input root file, the program obtains the decay tree 205 from the sorted input data, matches the decay tree to the signal decay trees, records the index of 206 the matched signal decay tree, and increases the number of the matched signal decay tree. At 207 last, the program outputs the statistics of the signal decay trees. 208

The flow chart of the component analysis over decay trees is illustrated in Fig. 3. Despite 209 the similarity in their frameworks, the flow chart has significant differences from that of the 210 signal identification for decay trees in Fig. 2. In the signal identification algorithm, the signal 211 decay trees to be identified are specified beforehand in the user card file. On the contrary, in 212 the component analysis algorithm, the program has to classify decay trees by itself from scratch. 213 In the signal identification algorithm, the decay trees are matched by directly comparing the 214 vectors storing them. Since the number of specified signal decay trees is fixed and usually small, 215 the processing rate of the program is high and usually in constant. However, in the component 216

analysis algorithm, the number of decay tree types found in a sample can be quite large and tends 217 to grow with the number of processed entries. On this occasion, if we still match the decay trees 218 by comparing the vectors storing them, the processing rate of the program will decrease with 219 the increase of the number of processed entries. To improve the processing rate, the unordered 220 map [14], a kind of container template introduced since the C++ 11 standard, is employed for the 221 fast matching of decay trees. Internally, the elements in the unordered maps are organized into 222 buckets depending on their hash values, to allow for fast access to individual elements directly by 223 their key values with a constant average time complexity [14]. This constant feature in average 224 225 time complexity will be examined in Section 2.5.

2.4. Execution of the program 226

To execute the program, we have to first configure some necessary setting items in a card file, 227 and then run the program with the command line: "topoana.exe cardFileName". This subsection 228 introduces the essential items for the input, basic functionality, and output of the program. More 229 items that can be set in the card file will be described in the following three sections. Sections 3 230 and 4 expatiate the available items for the functionalities of the program, and Section 5 presents 231 the optional items for the common settings to control the execution of the program. 232

233 An example of the card file containing the essential items is shown as follows. 234 235 # The following six items set the input of the program. 236 237 % Names of input root files 238 239 { ../input/jpsi1.root 240 241 ../input/jpsi2.root 242 1 243 % TTree name 244 245 { 246 evt 247 } 248 % Storage type of input raw topology truth information (Five options: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, and MSD. Default: 249 250 AOI) 251 ł 252 AOI 253 254 % TBranch name of the number of particles (Default: nMCGen) 255 256 257 Nmcps } 258 259 % TBranch name of the PDG codes of particles (Default: MCGenPDG) 260 261 { Pid 262 263 } 264 % TBranch name of the mother indices of particles (Default: MCGenMothIndex) 265 266 Midx 267 268 } 269 8



Figure 2: Basic flow chart of the signal identification for decay trees. The vectors "vSigDcyTr" and "vNSigDcyTr" are used to store the signal decay trees specified in the user card file and the numbers of these decay trees found in the input root file, respectively. The TBranch "iSigDcyTr" in the output root file is used to record the index of the signal decay tree involved in each entry of the input root file.



Figure 3: Basic flow chart of the component analysis over decay trees. The TBranch "iDcyTr" in the output root file is used to record the index of the decay tree involved in each entry of the input root file. The vectors "vDcyTr", "vIDcyTr", and "vNDcyTr" are used to store the decay trees found in the input root file, their individual indices, and their individual numbers, respectively. In addition, the unordered_map "uomDcyTr" is used for the fast matching of decay trees. Its key and value are the string "strDcyTr" and the index "iDcyTr", respectively. Here, the string "strDcyTr" is constructed from the vector "dcyTr"; there is a one-to-one correspondence between them.

```
# The following item sets the basic functionality of the program.
270
271
          % Component analysis — decay trees
272
273
          {
             Y
274
          }
275
276
          # The following item sets the output of the program.
277
278
          % Common name of output files (Default: Name of the card file)
279
280
          {
             jpsi_ta
281
          }
282
283
```

In the card file, "#", "%", and the pair of "{" and "}", are used for commenting, prompting, and grouping, respectively. The first six, seventh, and last items are set for the input, basic functionality, and output of the program, respectively.

The first item sets the names of the input root files. The names ought to be input one per 287 line without tailing characters, such as comma, semicolon, and period. In the names, both the 288 absolute and relative paths are allowed and wildcards "[]?*" are supported, just like those in the 289 root file names input to the method Add() of the class TChain [13]. The second item specifies 290 the TTree name. The third item tells the program the storage type of the input raw topology truth 291 information, and the input should be one of the following five acronyms: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, 292 and MSD, as we introduce in the previous subsection. The following three items set the TBranch 293 names of the three ingredients of the input raw topology truth information. Of the first six items, 294 the former two are indispensable, whereas the latter four can be removed or left empty if the 295 input values are identical to the default values indicated in their prompts. Besides, the latter four 296 items can be moved to the underlying card file, which is developed for frequently used items and 297 will be introduced in Section 6.1, because the input values are usually fixed for a user or a group 298 of users, though they might be different from the default values. 299

The seventh item sets the basic functionality of the program, namely the component analysis over decay trees. The item can be replaced or co-exist with other functionality items expatiated in Sections 3 and 4. Here, we note that at least one functionality item has to be specified explicitly in the card file, otherwise the program will terminate soon after its start because no topology analysis to be performed is set up.

The last item specifies the common name of the output files. Though in different formats, the files are denominated with the same name for the sake of uniformity. They will be introduced in detail in the next subsection. This item is also optional, with the name of the card file as its default input value. It is a good practice to first denominate the card file with the desired common name of the output files and then remove this item or leave it empty.

To provide a complete description, we list and explain all the essential items in the paragraphs above. However, in practical uses, we suggest removing the optional items if the input values are identical to the default ones, or moving them to the underlying card file if the input values are fixed for most of your use cases. In this way, the contents of the card file will become much more concise, making the use of the program easier and quicker. For example, unless otherwise stated, only the following two items are used to set the essential information in Sections 3, 4, and 5.

319 320 321

317

```
320 ../input/mixed1.root
```

{

```
../input/mixed2.root
```

^{318 %} Names of input root files

322 }
323
324 % TTree name
325 {
326 evt
327 }
328

Besides, all the items in the program, also including those to be introduced in the following sections, are not required to be filled in the card files in a certain order. Nonetheless, we recommend filling them in a logical order for clearness.

During the execution of the program, some standard output and error messages are printed to 332 the screen to provide some information on the input, progress, and output of the program, as well 333 as the possible problems and proposed solutions to them. The standard output messages include 334 the following four parts: (1) the values of the items with active inputs; (2) the total number of 335 entries contained in the input root files and the progress of the program to process these entries; 336 (3) the information output by the pdflatex command when it compiles the tex source file to 337 get the pdf file; (4) and the hints on the output of the program. The standard error messages 338 are prompted with "Error:" and "Infor:" in order to differentiate themselves from the standard 339 output massages. The messages started with "Error:" point out the problems encountered by the 340 program directly, while those started with "Infor:" give more information on the problems as 341 well as some guidelines on the solutions. 342

343 2.5. Performance of the program

Besides the performance of the used computing systems, the processing rate of the program is 344 largely related to the characteristics of the samples, particularly the average number of generated 345 particles in each event. Figure 4 shows the performance study of the program with the J/ψ sample 346 used in the example of this section as well as the $\tau^+\tau^-$, $d\bar{d}$, $u\bar{u}$, $s\bar{s}$, $c\bar{c}$, B^+B^- , and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ samples 347 generated at the peak energy of the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance. Each of the used samples consists of one 348 hundred thousand events. From the left plot in the figure, for all the samples, the number of 349 elapsed seconds grows linearly with the number of processed entries. This linear pattern is a nice 350 feature. It guarantees the program has a high rate even in the case of processing huge samples. 351 For example, the program can process one hundred thousand J/ψ events within five seconds. 352 Here, we note that the linear pattern is the result of fast searches with unordered maps [14], as 353 we discuss in Section 2.3. On the other hand, the processing rate of the program varies with 354 the processed samples. The right plot in Fig. 4 shows the relationship between the total number 355 of elapsed seconds over the whole sample and the average number of generated particles in an 356 event. Clearly, a linear pattern is also observed in the plot. To be specific, with the average 357 number of generated particles in an event increasing by one, the total number of elapsed seconds 358 over the whole sample increases by about 0.56. 359

360 2.6. Output of the program

The program gains the topology information from input data and saves it to output files. As mentioned in Section 1, the information includes the types of physics processes and the number of processes in each type, involved both in entire samples and in individual events. We refer to the information at the sample level as topology maps. In the topology maps, we assign an integer to each type of physics processes as its index. We term the indices of processes as well as the numbers of processes involved in each type in the individual events as topology tags.



Figure 4: Performance study of the program with the J/ψ sample as well as the $\tau^+\tau^-$, $d\bar{d}$, $u\bar{u}$, $s\bar{s}$, $c\bar{c}$, B^+B^- , and $B^0\bar{B}^0$ samples generated at the peak energy of the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance. The left plot demonstrates the changing trends of the number of elapsed seconds with respect to the number of processed entries. The right plot illustrates the relationship between the total number of elapsed seconds over the whole sample and the average number of generated particles in an event. In both plots, the dots show the timing data from the standard output of the program, and the lines display the results of fitting linear functions to the data.

The program outputs topology maps to three different files: one plain text file, one tex source 367 file, and one pdf file, with the same name specified in the card file. For instance, the three files 368 are "jpsi_ta.txt", "jpsi_ta.tex", and "jpsi_ta.pdf" in the example. Although in different formats, 369 the three files have the same information. The pdf file is the easiest to read. It is converted from 370 the tex source file with the command pdflatex. The tex source file is convenient to us if we want 371 to change the style of the pdf file to our taste and when we need to copy and paste (parts of) the 372 topology maps to our slides, papers, and so on. For example, all of the tables displaying topology 373 maps in this user guide are taken from associated tex source files. The plain text file has its own 374 advantage, because the topology maps in it can be checked with text processing commands as 375 well as text editors, and can be used on some occasions as input to the functionality items (see 376 Sections 3 and 4 for details) of another card file. 377

In addition to the three files for topology maps, one or more root files are output to save 378 topology tags. The root files only include one TTree object, which is entirely the same as that in 379 the input root files, except for the topology tags inserted in all of its entries. The number of root 380 files depends on the size of output data. The program switches to one new root file whenever the 381 size of the TTree object in memory exceeds 3 GB. In the case of the size less than 3 GB, only 382 one root file is output. While the sole or first root file has the same name as the three files above, 383 more possible root files are denominated with the suffix "_n" (n=1, 2, 3, and so on) appended to 384 the name. In the example, the first root file is "jpsi_ta.root", and more possible root files would 385 be "jpsi_ta_1.root", "jpsi_ta_2.root", "jpsi_ta_3.root", and so on. 386

In the example of the previous subsection, the program conducts its basic functionality, 387 namely the component analysis over decay trees. From the 100000 events of the input sample, 388 the program recognizes 17424 decay trees and outputs all of them to the plain text, tex source, 389 and pdf files. Table 1 only shows the top ten decay trees and their respective final states listed in 390 the output pdf file. With the help of the symbolic expressions, the components of the sample are 391 clearly displayed in the table, which brings great convenience to us in examining the signals and 392 backgrounds involved in the sample. In the table, "rowNo", "iDcyTr", "nEtr", and "nCEtr" are 393 abbreviations for the row number, index of decay tree, number of entries of decay tree, and num-394

ber of the cumulative entries from the first to the current decay trees, respectively. The values of 395 "iDcyTr" are assigned from small to large in the program but listed according to the values of 396 "nEtr" from large to small in the table. This is the reason why they are not in natural order like 397 the values of "rowNo". Since J/ψ is the only root particle for the J/ψ sample, the production 398 branch $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ is omitted to save page space. Similar rules also apply to other samples with 399 only one root particle. Considering π^0 has a very large production rate and approximatively 99% 400 of it decays to $\gamma\gamma$, the program is designed to discard the decay $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ by default at the early 401 phase of processing the input data (see Section 5.1.2 for the setting item to alter the behavior). 402 As a result, $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ does not show itself in the table. Besides, the superscripts "f" and "F" in 403 γ^{f} and γ^{F} indicate the final state radiation effect (see Section 5.1.3 for their difference). 404

rowNo	decay tree	decay final state	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$J/\psi ightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$\mu^+\mu^-$	6	5269	5269
2	$J/\psi ightarrow e^+e^-$	$e^{+}e^{-}$	4	4513	9782
3	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	0	2850	12632
4	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	2	1895	14527
5	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$	$\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-K^+K^-$	20	1698	16225
6	$\begin{split} J/\psi &\to \rho^+ \rho^- \omega, \rho^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^+, \\ \rho^- &\to \pi^0 \pi^-, \omega \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \end{split}$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	19	1453	17678
7	$J/\psi ightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma^f$	$e^+e^-\gamma^f$	70	1222	18900
8	$J/\psi \to \pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	127	1161	20061
9	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	234	836	20897
10	$J/\psi ightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma^F$	$\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-\gamma^F$	43	792	21689

Table 1: Top ten decay trees and their respective final states.

In the table, "iDcyTr" is the topology tag for decay trees. Thus, it is also saved in the TTree 405 objects of the output root file, together with other quantities for physics analysis. Therefore, it 406 can be used to pick out the entries of specific decay trees and then examine the distributions of 407 the other quantities over the decay trees. In the example, besides the raw topology truth informa-408 tion, only a random variable following the standardized normal distribution, namely X, is stored 409 in the input root files and thus copied by default to the output root file. Though not a genuine 410 variable for physics analysis, X is quite good to illustrate the usage of the topology tag. Figure 5 411 shows the distribution of X accumulated over the top ten decay trees. The figure is drawn with 412 the root script 413

- 414
- 415 416

examples/in_the_user_guide/ex_for_tb_01/draw_X/v2/draw_X.C,

417 where, for example, a statement equivalent to

420

418

is used to import X over the decay tree $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ from the output root file to the histogram named h0. With such a figure, we can clearly see the contribution of each decay tree. Particularly, we can get to know whether a decay tree has a peak contribution or a contribution mainly distributed in a different region. Based on these distributions, we can get a better understand-

⁴²⁵ ing of our signals and backgrounds, and thus optimize event selection criteria by applying new

requirements on the displayed quantities.



Figure 5: Distribution of X accumulated over the top ten decay trees. In the legend entry " $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^- \omega$, ...", the dots "..." represent the secondary decay branches: $\rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+$, $\rho^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^-$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$.

427 2.7. Validation of the program

The decay trees displayed in Table 1 are relatively simple, and we can check their correctness 428 by examining the input data directly. To validate the program generally, we need to do input and 429 output checks, where some arbitrary physics processes are generated as the input of the program. 430 The output has to be consistent with the input; otherwise, there must be some bugs in the program 431 and we have to fix them. A large number of such checks have been performed in the develop-432 ment and application of the program, and some of them can be found under the sub-directory 433 "examples/validation" of the package. These checks are divided into two groups: standalone and 434 combined. In the standalone checks, forty exclusive J/ψ and $\Upsilon(4S)$ decays modeled with the 435 EvtGen [15] generator are used to test the functionality of resolving decay trees. In the com-436 bined checks, randomly combined samples of these exclusive decays are used for verifying the 437 functionalities of counting and tagging decay trees. The output agrees with the input in all the 438 checks, which indicates the correctness of the program. 439

3. Component analysis

Component analysis is the primary functionality of the program. It is developed mainly for 441 the background analysis involved in our physics studies. We perform it over decay trees in the 442 previous example. Also, it can be carried out as follows: over decay initial-final states; with 443 specified particles to check their decay branches, production branches, mothers, cascade decay 444 branches, and decay final states; with specified inclusive decay branches to examine their exclu-445 sive components; and with specified intermediate-resonance-allowed (IRA) decay branches to 446 investigate their inner structures. This section introduces the nine (five for specified particles) 447 kinds of component analysis, with each in a subsection. For each kind of component analysis, 448

one item is designed and implemented in the program to set related parameters. In each subsec-449 tion, we take an example to demonstrate the corresponding setting item and show the resulting 450 topology map. For easy exposition, all of the essential topology tags involved in the component 451 analysis functionalities are presented in another separate subsection, namely the last subsection. 452 Similar to the case over decay trees, to perform the component analysis over decay initial-453 final states, we only need to input a positive option "Y" to the corresponding item. Different 454 from the former two kinds, to carry out the latter seven kinds of component analysis, we have to 455 explicitly specify one or more desired particles, inclusive decay branches, or IRA decay branches 456 in the associated items. In the following examples, two particles or decay branches are set to 457 illustrate the use of these items, but only the topology map related to one of them is shown to 458

459 save space in the paper.

In addition to the indispensable parameters, two sorts of common optional parameters can be 460 set in the items. The first sort is designed for all the nine kinds of component analysis to restrict 461 the maximum number of components output to the plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Without 462 the optional parameters, all components will be output. This is fine if the number of components 463 is not massive. In cases of too many (around ten thousand or more) components, it takes a long 464 time for the program to output the components to the plain text and tex source files as well as 465 to get the pdf file from the tex source file. In such cases, it also takes up a large disk space to 466 save these components in the output files. Considering further that the posterior components are 467 generally unimportant and our time and energy to examine them are limited, it is better to set a 468 maximum to the number of output components. To save space in the paper, we set the maximum 469 number to five in the following examples. 470

The second sort of optional parameters are developed for the latter seven kinds of component analysis to assign meaningful aliases to the specified particles, inclusive decay branches, and IRA decay branches. By default, the indices 0, 1, 2, and so on are used to tag the particles and decay branches in the names of the TBranch objects appended in the TTree object of the output root files. This is fine, but it is significative to replace the indices with meaningful aliases, particularly in cases of many specified particles or decay branches.

477 *3.1. Decay trees*

Component analysis over decay trees is the basic kind of topology analysis. It is quite useful 478 to study the backgrounds involved in our research works where the signals are the complete decay 479 trees fully reconstructed from final state particles. It has already been widely performed in the 480 BESIII experiment, as illustrated in the previous section with the J/ψ example. This subsection 481 introduces it further with the available optional settings using the $\Upsilon(4S)$ sample. The following 482 example shows the associated item with the maximum number of output components set to five. 483 In the item, a third parameter is also filled and set to "Y". With the setting, the decay final states 484 in the output pdf file are put under their respective decay trees, rather than in a column next to 485 that for decay trees. It is recommended to use this optional parameter in cases there are too many 486 (about ten or more) particles in some final states. Here, we note that the symbol "-" can be used 487 as a placeholder for the maximum number of output components, if only the third parameter is 488 desired. 489

490 491

 492
 % Component analysis — decay trees

 493
 {

 494
 Y 5 Y

 495
 }

⁴⁹⁷ Component analysis over decay trees is one kind of the most time-consuming topology anal-⁴⁹⁸ ysis tasks. To check further the efficiency of the program, the progress of running this example, ⁴⁹⁹ in addition to the example in Section 2.4, is illustrated in the plots of Fig. 4 as well. In these plots, ⁵⁰⁰ the timing data from this example are marked with the legend entry " $B^0\bar{B}^0$ ". Since the decay of ⁵⁰¹ the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance is more complex than that of the J/ψ resonance, it takes more than twenty ⁵⁰² seconds for the program to process one hundred thousand events in this example. Nonetheless, ⁵⁰³ the program still has a high processing rate.

Table 2 shows the decay trees. In the table, while the first five decay trees are listed exclu-504 sively in the main part, the rest decay trees are only summarized inclusively at the bottom row. 505 Here, we note that the events are not densely populated over the first five decay trees because the 506 inclusive $\Upsilon(4S)$ sample used here is not selected beforehand with any requirements. In the sym-507 bolic expressions of decay initial-final states, the dashed right arrow $(-\rightarrow)$ instead of the plain 508 right arrow (\rightarrow) is used, in order to reflect that the initial states do not necessarily decay to the 509 final states in a direct way. Similarly, it is also used in the symbolic expressions of IRA decay 510 branches, which will be introduced in Section 3.9. 511

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$ \begin{split} & \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ & D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^- \\ & (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F) \end{split} $	20870	3	3
2	$\begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \rho^- D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ &\rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-, D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-) \end{split}$	3648	2	5
3	$\begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \rho^- D^-, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, \rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-, \\ &D^- \to \pi^- \pi^- K^+, D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \to K^0_L \pi^+ \pi^- \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 K^0_L \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+) \end{split}$	5295	2	7
4	$ \begin{split} & \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^+, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ & D^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \bar{K}^*, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- K_S^0, \bar{K}^* \to \pi^0 \bar{K}^0, K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-, \bar{K}^0 \to K_L^0 \\ & (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-) \end{split} $	11954	2	9
5	$\begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- \omega D^+, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ &\omega \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-, D^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \pi^+ K^-, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+ \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ e^+ \nu_e \nu_e \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-) \end{split}$	14345	2	11
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (99980 in total) ($\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)		99989	100000

Table 2: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

512 3.2. Decay initial-final states

On some occasions, we need to investigate the decay initial-final states of backgrounds for 513 some sophisticated physics analyses. Particularly, it is necessary to differentiate the following 514 two fundamental types of backgrounds: the one with the same initial-final states as the signal, 515 and the other with different initial-final states from the signal. While the latter type of back-516 grounds needs to be suppressed as much as possible, the former type usually needs to be kept to 517 study more physical effects, for example, the interference effect. Besides, examining the decay 518 initial-final states of backgrounds sheds light on the misjudgment of final state particles at the 519 level of signal candidates. Below is an example demonstrating the related item with the maxi-520 521 mum number of output components set to five.

522 523

496

% Component analysis — decay initial-final states

524 Y 5 525 526 527 The decay initial-final states are displayed in Table 3. The layout of the table is similar to that of 528 Table 2, which shows the decay trees.

529

Table 3: Decay initial-final states.

rowNo	decay initial-final states	iDcyIFSts	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	41	18	18
2	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-K^+K^-$	887	18	36
3	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	3350	18	54
4	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 K^0_L \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^-$	1207	17	71
5	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	1215	17	88
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow $ others (78208 in total)	—	99912	100000

3.3. Decay branches of particles 530

The invariant mass constraint is one of the most frequently used event selection requirements 531 in high energy physics experiments. With the requirement applied to certain particle, the main 532 backgrounds (especially the peaking ones) to its signal decay mode are very likely to be its other 533 decay modes. In this case, it is significant to examine the decay branches of the particle. The 534 following example shows the associated item with the two particles D^{*+} and J/ψ set as research 535 objects. In the item, each row holds the information of a specified particle, and the first, sec-536 ond and third columns are the textual expressions, aliases, and maximum numbers of output components, respectively. As we introduce at the beginning part of this section, the aliases and maximum numbers of output components are both optional. Here, we note that the symbol "–" 537 538 539 can be used as a placeholder for an unassigned alias, if only the maximum number of output 540 components is desired. 541 542

```
% Component analysis - decay branches of particles
543
544
            D*+
                   Dsp
                          5
545
546
            J/psi
                   Jpsi
                         5
          }
547
548
```

Table 4 shows the decay branches of D^{*+} . From the table, only four decay branches of D^{*+} are 549 found in the input inclusive MC sample. Since there is likely one or more cases of D^{*+} decays in 550 one input entry, "nCase" and "nCCase", instead of "nEtr" and "nCEtr", are used in the table in 551 order to accurately indicate what we are counting are the numbers of D^{*+} decays, rather than the 552 numbers of entries involving the D^{*+} decays. 553

rowNo	decay branch of D^{*+}	iDcyBrP	nCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$	0	31180	31180
2	$D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+$	1	13978	45158
3	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+ \gamma$	2	700	45858
4	$D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0 \gamma^F$	3	28	45886

Table 4: Decay branches of D^{*+} .

554 3.4. Production branches of particles

In some cases, we have interest in the production branches of certain particles. Below is an example demonstrating the related item also by taking the two particles D^{*+} and J/ψ as objects of study. The input to this item is the same as that to the above item.

```
% Component analysis — production branches of particles
60 {
561 D*+ Dsp 5
562 J/psi Jpsi 5
563 }
```

The production branches of D^{*+} are displayed in Table 5. In the production branches, D^{*+} is marked in blue so as to make it noticeable. From the table, the number of production branches of D^{*+} found in the input sample is 3277, much bigger than 4, which is the number of its decay branches.

Table 5: Production branches of D^{*+} .

rowNo	production branch of D^{*+}	iProdBrP	nCase	nCCase
1	$\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$	9	4154	4154
2	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+}$	7	2886	7040
3	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} D_s^{*-}$	4	1691	8731
4	$\bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+} \gamma^F$	10	1623	10354
5	$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- D^{*+}$	40	1429	11783
rest	others (3272 in total)	—	34103	45886

569 3.5. Mothers of particles

Occasionally, we may want to check the mothers of certain particles. The following example shows the associated item also with the two particles D^{*+} and J/ψ set as research objects. The input to this item is identical to those to the two items above.

- 574 % Component analysis mothers of particles 575 {
- 576 D*+ Dsp 5 577 J/psi Jpsi 5
- 578 }
- 579

Table 6 shows the mothers of D^{*+} . Notably, the PDG codes of the mother particles, instead of

⁵⁸¹ additional indices, are listed in the table, since they are sufficient to tag the mother particles.

From the table, six sources of D^{*+} are found in the input sample and the dominant one is the \bar{B}^0

583 decay.

Table 6: Mothers of D^{*+} .

rowNo	mother of D^{*+}	PDGMoth	nCase	nCCase
1	\bar{B}^0	-511	41751	41751
2	B^0	511	2983	44734
3	$D_{1}^{\prime +}$	20413	455	45189
4	D_1^+	10413	368	45557
5	D_{2}^{*+}	415	247	45804
rest	others (1 in total)	—	82	45886
		10		

584 3.6. Cascade decay branches of particles

Sometimes, the invariant mass constraint is applied to certain particle and the signal pro-585 cess is its cascade decay branch. In this case, it is necessary to investigate the cascade decay 586 branches of the particle, rather than its first decay branches, so as to analyze the backgrounds 587 effectively. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two particles B^0 588 and D^0 as objects of study. While the first three columns of the input to this item have the same 589 meanings as those to the three items above, the additional fourth column sets the maximum hier-590 archy of decay branches to be examined. Here, the hierarchy reflects the rank of a decay branch 591 in a cascade decay branches to be examined. Here, the intraction references the fails of a decay branch in a cascade decay branch of one specific particle. For instance, in the following cascade de-cay branch of B^0 : $B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \rho^0 \pi^+ D^{*-}$, $\rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$, $D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$, $\bar{D}^0 \to \eta \eta'$, $\eta \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$, $\eta' \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \eta$, $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$, the hierarchies of the seven individual decay branches are 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 592 593 594 and 5, respectively. In the example, the maximum hierarchy of decay branches is set to two for 595 both B^0 and D^0 , and hence only the first two hierarchies of branches in their cascade decays will 596 be investigated. Without such settings, all the branches in their cascade decays will be examined. 597 598 % Component analysis - cascade decay branches of particles 599

```
{

B0 B0 5 2

D0 D0 5 2

}
```

600

601

602 603

604

⁶⁰⁵ The cascade decay branches of B^0 are displayed in Table 7.

Table 7: Cascade decay branches of B^0 (only the first two hierarchies are involved).

rowNo	cascade decay branch of B^0	iCascDcyBrsP	nCase	nCCase
1	$B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	12	2912	2912
2	$B^0 \to e^+ v_e D^{*-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	6	1991	4903
3	$B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^0 D^-$	70	1283	6186
4	$B^0 \rightarrow e^+ v_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	18	1132	7318
5	$B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-}D^{*+}_s, D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \overline{D}^0, D^{*+}_s \rightarrow D^+_s \gamma$	20	1119	8437
rest	$B^0 \rightarrow$ others (42074 in total)		91594	100031

606 3.7. Decay final states of particles

When the invariant mass constraint is applied to certain particle reconstructed directly from 607 a specific final state, it is significant to examine the decay final states of the particle, rather than 608 its first or cascade decay branches, in order to study the backgrounds effectively. The following 609 example shows the associated item also with the two particles B^0 and D^0 set as research objects. 610 The format of the input to the item is the same as that to the above item, but the fourth parameters 611 here are designed to restrict the numbers of final state particles. Without the fourth parameters, 612 all the decay final states of the specified particles will be investigated. In the example, the pa-613 rameters are set to three for both B^0 and D^0 , and thus only the three-body decay final states of 614 them will be examined. 615

616 % Component analysis - decay final states of particles 617 618 { B0**B**0 5 619 3 D0D0 5 3 620 621 } 622

Table 8 shows the three-body decay final states of D^0 . In the table, π^0 only decays to $\gamma\gamma$; otherwise, it will be replaced with its decay products, resulting in different decay final states of D^0 .

Table 8: Decay final states of D^0 (only three-body final states are involved).

rowNo	decay final state of D^0	iDcyFStP	nCase	nCCase
1	$D^0 \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$	2	6258	6258
2	$D^0 \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu K^-$	5	1487	7745
3	$D^0 \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	1	1162	8907
4	$D^0 \dashrightarrow K^0_L \pi^+ \pi^-$	3	1158	10065
5	$D^0 \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e K^-$	11	1148	11213
rest	$D^0 \dashrightarrow$ others (24 in total)	_	2407	13620

625 3.8. Inclusive decay branches

In a few physics studies, we take inclusive decay branches as signals. In such cases, it is essential to have a basic knowledge of the exclusive components of these inclusive decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by investigating the exclusive components of the two inclusive decay branches $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} + anything$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 + anything$. In the item, each row holds the information of an inclusive decay branch, and the first, second, and third columns separated with the symbol "&" are the textual expressions, aliases, and maximum numbers of output components, respectively. As we introduce at the beginning part of this section, the aliases and maximum numbers of output components are both optional. Here, we note that the symbol "–" can be used as a placeholder for an unassigned alias, if only the maximum number of output components is desired.

```
636
637 % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches
638 {
639 B0 --> D*+ & B2Dsp & 5
640 B0 --> K_S0 & B2Ks & 5
641 }
```

The exclusive components of $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 + anything$ are displayed in Table 9. From the table, ten exclusive components of the inclusive decay branch are found in the input sample, and the particles denoted with *anything* are mainly the traditional charmonium states.

rowNo	exclusive component of $B^0 \to K_S^0 + anything$	iDcyBrIncDcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$B^0 \to K^0_S J/\psi$	0	45	45
2	$B^0 o K^0_S \eta_c$	1	40	85
3	$B^0 \to K^0_S \psi'$	3	33	118
4	$B^0 \to K_S^0 \chi_{c1}$	2	20	138
5	$B^0 \to K^0_S \chi_{c0}$	4	6	144
rest	$B^0 \to K_S^0$ + others (5 in total)		9	153

Table 9: Exclusive components of $B^0 \to K_S^0 + anything$.

646 3.9. Intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches

In many research works, we take multi-body decay branches as signals. On such occasions, it is fundamental to investigate the intermediate resonances involved in these decay branches. In other words, we need to examine the exclusive components of these IRA decay branches. The following example shows the associated item with the two IRA decay branches $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ set as objects of study. Since IRA decay branches look like inclusive decay branches, the format of the input to the item for IRA decay branches is identical to that for inclusive decay branches, which is introduced in the previous subsection.

```
    655 % Component analysis — intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches
    656 {
    657 D*+ --> K- pi+ pi+ pi0 & Dsp2K3Pi & 5
    658 J/psi --> pi+ pi- pi0 & Jpsi23Pi & 5
    659 }
    660
```

Table 10 shows the exclusive components of $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$. From the table, two intermediate particles D^0 and D^+ are found in the IRA decay branch, and they decay to $\pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ and

663 $\pi^+\pi^+K^-$, respectively.

Table 10: Exclusive components of $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$.

rowNo	exclusive component of $D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	iDcyBrIRADcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$	0	3869	3869
2	$D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^+, D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	1	1102	4971

664 3.10. Essential topology tags

Table 11: Essential	topology tag	s involved in eacl	h kind of com	ponent analysis.

Component type	Topology tag	Interpretation
Decay trees	iDcyTr	index of decay tree
Decay initial-final states	iDcyIFSts	index of decay initial-final states
Decay branches of particles	nPDcyBr_i	number of particleis (or its decay branches)
	iDcyBrP_i_j	index of decay branch of the j th particle _i
Production branches of particles	nPProdBr_i	number of particleis (or its production branches)
	iProdBrP_i_j	index of production branch of the jth particlei
Mothers of particles	nPMoth_i	number of particleis (or its mothers)
	PDGMothP_i_j	PDG code of mother of the jth particle _i
Cascade decay branches of particles	nPCascDcyBr_i	number of particleis (or its cascade decay branches)
	iCascDcyBrP_i_j	index of cascade decay branch of the j th particle _i
Decay final states of particles	nPDcyFSt_i	number of particleis (or its decay final states)
	iDcyFStP_i_j	index of decay final state of the j th particle _i
Inclusive decay branches	nIncDcyBr_i	number of inclusive decay branchies
	iDcyBrIncDcyBr_i_j	index of decay branch of the jth inclusive decay branchi
IRA decay branches	nIRADcyBr_i	number of IRA decay branchies
	iDcyBrIRADcyBr_i_j	index of decay branch of the j^{th} IRA decay branch_i

Table 11 lists and interprets all of the essential topology tags involved in the component 665 analysis functionalities. The topology tag for the component analysis over decay initial-final 666 states is iDcyIFSts. It has a similar interpretation as iDcyTr and is shown in the third column 667 of Table 3. For the latter seven kinds of component analysis, there are two sorts of topology 668 tags. The first sort, such as nPDcyBr_i, records the number of instances of the ith specified 669 particle or decay branch found in each event. The second sort, for example, iDcyBrP_i_j, keeps 670 the associated index of the jth found instance of the ith specified particle or decay branch. The 671 indices and the decays they stand for can be found in Tables 4 - 10. 672

In the topology tags, "i" in "_i" is the default index of the specified particle or decay branch, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the number of specified particles or decay branches (excluded). If the alias of the particle or decay branch is also specified, the index "i" will be replaced with the alias. For example, since "Dsp" and "Jpsi" are set as the aliases of D^{*+} and J/ψ in the component analysis over their decay branches, the specialized topology tags nPDcyBr_Dsp and nPDcyBr_Jpsi, instead of the default ones nPDcyBr_0 and nPDcyBr_1, are used to store the numbers of D^{*+} and J/ψ found in each event.

In addition, "j" in "_j" is the default index of the found instance of certain particle or decay 680 branch in an event, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the sample-level maximum of the number 681 of the particles or decay branches found in each event (excluded). For example, the maximum of 682 the number of D^{*+} found in each event is two for the whole sample, and thus two topology tags 683 iDcyBrP_Dsp_0 and iDcyBrP_Dsp_1 are employed to store the indices of D^{*+} decay branches. 684 These indices range from 0 (included) to the number of the types of D^{*+} decay branches found 685 in the samples (excluded). In the events with only one D^{*+} , iDcyBrP_Dsp_1 is assigned with 686 the default value -1; in the events that have no D^{*+} , the default value -1 is assigned to both 687 iDcyBrP_Dsp_0 and iDcyBrP_Dsp_1. We note that different from all other indices, PDGMoth_i_j 688 has the default value 0, instead of -1. 689

690 **4. Signal identification**

Signal identification is the other functionality of the program. Though relatively simple, it 691 can help us identify the "signals" we desire directly, quickly, and easily. Here, the "signals" 692 are not confined to the authentic signals in our research works but can be any physics processes 693 of interests, particularly some important backgrounds we concern. At present, the following 694 eight kinds of signals can be identified with the program: (1) decay trees, (2) decay initial-final 695 states, (3) particles, (4) (regular) decay branches, (5) cascade decay branches, (6) inclusive decay 696 branches, (7) inclusive cascade decay branches, and (8) IRA decay branches. For each kind of 697 signals, one item is developed to specify related parameters. This section introduces the eight 698 kinds of signal identification, with each in a subsection. In each subsection, we take an example 699 to demonstrate the related setting item and show the obtained topology map. For easy exposition, 700 all of the essential topology tags involved in the signal identification functionalities are presented 701 in another separate subsection, that is, the last subsection. 702

Similar to the cases of the latter seven kinds of component analysis, one or more signals can be specified in each of the signal identification items, and two signals are set in the following examples to illustrate the use of the items. Besides, meaning aliases can also be optionally assigned to the specified signals so as to better tag them in the names of the TBranch objects appended in the TTree object of the output root files.

708 4.1. Decay trees

Sometimes, we need to identify certain decay trees. The following example shows the asso-709 ciated item with the first two decay trees listed in Table 2 set as signals. In the item, each row 710 holds a decay branch in the decay trees, and the first, second, and third columns separated with 711 the symbol "&" are the indices, textual expressions, and mother indices of the decay branches, 712 respectively. The decay branches with index 0 indicate the beginning of new decay trees, and 713 their mother indices are equal to -1, suggesting they have no mother branches because they are 714 the first decay branches of the decay trees. Besides, the name of each decay tree can be option-715 ally filled in the fourth column of its first decay branch. Similar to the third parameter in the item 716 for the component analysis over decay trees (see Section 3.1), a "Y" can be optionally filled in 717 the fifth column of the first decay branch of the first decay tree, to adjust the positions of decay 718 final states in the output pdf file. 719

720 721

% Signal identification — decay trees

```
722
        {
          0
                 Upsilon(4S) --> B0 anti-B0 & -1
                                                        1stDcyTrInTb2 & Y
             &
                                                    &
723
724
          1
             &
                 B0 --> e+ nu_e D*- gamma &
                                                0
                 anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu_mu D*+
                                                     0
          2
             &
                                                 &
725
          3
                 D*- --> pi- anti-D0 & 1
726
             &
                 D^{*+} \longrightarrow pi+ D0 \& 2
          4
             &
727
                 anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+ &
          5
             &
                                             3
728
                 D0 --> pi0 pi+ K- &
          6
                                        4
729
             &
730
          0
             &
                 Upsilon(4S) --> B0 anti-B0 & -1 & 2ndDcyTrInTb2
731
                 B0 --> mu+ nu_mu D*- &
                                             0
732
          1
             &
          2
                 anti-B0 --> rho- D*+ & 0
             &
733
                 D*- --> pi- anti-D0 & 1
          3
734
             &
                 rho- --> pi0 pi- & 2
          4
             &
735
          5
             &
                 D*+ --> pi0 D+ & 2
736
                 anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+ &
          6
                                             3
737
             &
                 D+ --> pi+ pi+ K- &
          7
             &
                                         5
738
739
        }
740
```

Table 12 shows the resulting topology map. The results are the same as those displayed in the first two rows of Table 2.

Table 12: Signal decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

rowNo	signal decay tree (signal decay initial-final states)	iSigDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$ \begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ &D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^- \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F) \end{split} $	0	3	3
2	$\begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^- D^{*+}, D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ &\rho^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^-, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^+, \bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) - \rightarrow \mu^+ \gamma_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-) \end{split}$	1	2	5

743 4.2. Decay initial-final states

Table 13: Signal decay initial-final states.

rowNo	signal decay initial-final states	iSigDcyIFSts2	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	0	18	18
2	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	1	18	36

In a few cases, we have an interest in some decay initial-final states. Below is an example 744 demonstrating the related item by taking the first two decay initial-final states listed in Table 3 745 as signals. Similar to IRA decay branches, decay initial-final states look like inclusive decay 746 branches. Hence, except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input 747 to the item for decay initial-final states is identical to that for the component analysis over inclu-748 sive decay branches, which is introduced in Section 3.8. As we can see from the example, the 749 numbers of identical particles are supported to be written in front of their textual names in order 750 to simplify the textual expressions of the final states. The obtained topology map is displayed in Table 13. The results are identical to those shown in the first two rows of Table 3. 751 752

753

% Signal identification — decay initial-final states

754 755 756

Y(4S) --> mu+ nu_mu 3 pi0 3 pi+ 4 pi- K+ K- & 1stDcyIFStsInTb3

757 Y(4S) --> 5 pi0 5 pi+ 5 pi- K+ K- & 2ndDcyIFStsInTb3

758

759 4.3. Particles

}

Occasionally, we may want to identify some particles. The following example shows the associated item with the two particles D^{*+} and J/ψ set as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over decay branches of particles, which is introduced in Section 3.3.

764
765 % Signal identification — particles
766 {
767 D*+ Dsp
768 J/psi Jpsi
769 }

Table 14 shows the resulting topology map. As a cross-check, the number of D^{*+} s in the table equals those in Tables 4, 5, and 6.

Table 14: Signal particles.

rowNo	signal particle	iSigP	nCase	nCCase
1	D^{*+}	0	45886	45886
2	J/ψ	1	2654	48540

773 4.4. Decay branches

On some occasions, we have to identify certain regular decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two decay branches $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi$ as signals. Since regular decay branches also look like inclusive decay branches, except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item for regular decay branches is identical to that for the component analysis over inclusive decay branches, which is introduced in Section 3.8.

780

```
781
782
783
```

```
% Signal identification — decay branches
{
anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu_mu D*+ & B2munuDsp
B0 --> K_S0 J/psi & B2KsJpsi
```

```
784
785
786
```

The obtained topology map is displayed Table 15. For cross-checks, we note that the number of \bar{R}^{88} $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+} (B^0 \to K^0_S J/\psi)$ in the table is equal to that in the first row of Table 5 (9).

Table 15: Signal decay branches.

rowNo	signal decay branch	iSigDcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$	0	4154	4154
2	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi$	1	45	4199

789 4.5. Cascade decay branches

Sometimes, we are interested in certain cascade decay branches. The following example shows the associated item with the two cascade decay branches $B^0 \to D^{*-}D_s^{*+}$, $D^{*-} \to \pi^-\bar{D}^0$, $D_s^{*+} \to D_s^+\gamma$ and $B^0 \to D^{*-}D_s^{*+}$, $D^{*-} \to \pi^-\bar{D}^0$ set as signals. While the first cascade decay ⁷⁹³ branch is identical to the fifth one in Table 7, the second is only part of it, which demonstrates that the cascade decay branches supported in the item are not necessarily fully specified at the level of certain hierarchy. Similar to decay trees, cascade decay branches are made up of regular decay branches. Hence, the format of the input to the item for cascade decay branches is identical to that for decay trees, which is introduced in Section 4.1.

```
% Signal identification — cascade decay branches
799
800
801
          0
             &
                 B0 --> D*- D_s*+ & -1
                 D*- --> pi- anti-D0 & 0
             &
          1
802
                 D_s^* + --> D_s + gamma &
                                              0
803
          2
             &
804
                 B0 --> D*- D_s*+ & −1
          0
805
             &
                 D*- --> pi- anti-D0 & 0
             &
806
          1
807
808
```

Table 16 shows the resulting topology map. As a cross-check, the number of cases of the first cascade decay branch in the table equals that of the fifth cascade decay branch in Table 7.

Table 16: Signal cascade decay branches.

rowNo	signal cascade decay branch	iSigCascDcyBrs	nCase	nCCase
1	$B^0 \to D^{*-}D^{*+}_s, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, D^{*+}_s \to D^+_s \gamma$	0	1119	1119
2	$B^0 \to D^{*-}D^{*+}_s, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	1	1180	2299

811 4.6. Inclusive decay branches

823

In a few cases, we have to identify some inclusive decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two inclusive decay branches $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} + anything$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 + anything$ as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over inclusive decay branches, which is introduced in Section 3.8.

```
        818
        % Signal identification — inclusive decay branches

        819
        {

        820
        anti-B0 --> D*+ & B2Dsp

        821
        B0 --> K_S0 & B2Ks

        822
        }
```

The obtained topology map is displayed in Table 17. As a cross-check, the number of $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 + anything$ in the table equals that in Table 9.

Table 17: Signal inclusive decay branches.

rowNo	signal inclusive decay branch	iSigIncDcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} + anything$	0	41751	41751
2	$B^0 \to K_S^0 + anything$	1	153	41904

826 4.7. Inclusive cascade decay branches

Occasionally, we may have an interest in certain inclusive cascade decay branches. The following example shows the associated item with the two inclusive cascade decay branches $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} + anything, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$ and $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S J/\psi, K^0_S \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-, J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ + anything$ set as signals. Similar to decay trees and cascade decay branches, inclusive cascade decay branches
 are made up of regular decay branches. Hence, the format of the input to the item for inclusive
 cascade decay branches is also identical to that for decay trees, which is introduced in Section
 4.1. and the independent textual name "*" denotes anything.

```
% Signal identification — inclusive cascade decay branches
835
836
        {
          0
             & anti-B0 --> D*+ * & -1
837
838
          1
             &
                D*+ --> pi+ D0 & 0
839
             & B0 --> K_S0 J/psi & -1
840
          0
                 K_S0 --> pi+ pi- & 0
841
          1
             &
             & J/psi --> mu+ * & 0
842
          2
843
        }
```

834

844

845

Table 18 shows the resulting topology map.

Table 18: Signal inclusive cascade decay branches.

rowNo	signal inclusive cascade decay branch	iSigIncCascDcyBrs	nCase	nCCase
1	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} + anything, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$	0	28367	28367
2	$B^0 \to K^0_S J/\psi, K^0_S \to \pi^+\pi^-, J/\psi \to \mu^+ + anything$	1	1	28368

846 4.8. Intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches

On some occasions, we need to identify certain IRA decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two IRA decay branches $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over IRA decay branches, which is introduced in Section 3.9. Signal identification — intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches

The obtained topology map is displayed in Table 19. For the purpose of cross-checks, we note that the number of $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ in the table is equal to that in Table 10.

Table 19: Signal IRA decay branches.

rowNo	signal IRA decay branch	iSigIRADcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	0	4971	4971
2	$J/\psi \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	1	59	5030

4.9. Essential topology tags

Table 20 summarizes and explains all of the essential topology tags involved in the signal identification functionalities. For signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states, there are two sorts of topology tags. The first sort of tags, iSigDcyTr and iSigDcyIFSts, record the default indices of the specified signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states. They have similar interpretations as iDcyTr and iDcyIFSts, and are shown in the third columns of Tables 12 and 13. The second sort of tags, nameSigDcyTr and nameSigDcyIFSts, save the specified aliases of
 the signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states. In cases the aliases are not specified,
 empty strings will be stored.

For the latter six kinds of signal identification, there is only one sort of topology tags, which records the number of instances of certain specified particle or decay branch found in each event. Similar to the cases in the latter seven kinds of component analysis, in the topology tags, "i" in "_i" is the default index of the specified particle or decay branch, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the number of specified particles or decay branches (excluded). If the alias of the particle or decay branch is also specified, the index "i" will be replaced with the alias.

Signal type	Topology tag	Interpretation
Decay trees	iSigDcyTr	index of signal decay tree
	nameSigDcyTr	name of signal decay tree
Decay initial-final states	iSigDcyIFSts	index of signal decay initial-final states
	nameSigDcyIFSts	name of signal decay initial-final states
Particles	nSigP_i	number of signal particleis
Decay branches	nSigDcyBr_i	number of signal decay branchies
Cascade decay branches	nSigCascDcyBr_i	number of signal cascade decay branchies
Inclusive decay branches	nSigIncDcyBr_i	number of signal inclusive decay branchies
Inclusive cascade decay branches	nSigIncCascDcyBr_i	number of signal inclusive cascade decay branchies
IRA decay branches	nSigIRADcvBr i	number of signal IRA decay branchies

Table 20: Essential topology tags involved in each kind of signal identification.

876 5. Common settings

From Sections 3 and 4, the optional parameters of the functionality items give us more 877 choices and thus help us do our jobs quicker and better. In addition to these parameters, many 878 optional items are designed and implemented to control the execution of the program in order to 879 meet practical needs. Unlike the optional parameters, which only affect the individual function-880 alities to which they belong, the optional items have an impact on all of the functionalities, or at 881 least most of the functionalities. The current version of the program contains 25 commonly used 882 items, which can be divided into the following three groups: items on the input of the program, 883 items on the functionalities of the program, and items on the output of the program. This section 884 introduces these items in the three groups, with each group in one subsection. 885

Here, we note that, in addition to these optional items, two kinds of special optional parameters of some functionality items are also introduced in this section. To be specific, they are presented in the last two paragraphs of Section 5.1.3 and the whole text of Section 5.2.3.

⁸⁸⁹ 5.1. Settings on the input of the program

890 5.1.1. Input entries

The program normally processes all of the entries in the input samples, but sometimes only a part of the entries are needed to be (first) processed. Running the program over a big sample usually takes a long time. In such a case, it is a good habit to run the program first over a small part of the sample to check possible exceptions, and then over the whole sample if no exceptions are found or after the found exceptions are handled. Besides, a small number of entries is usually sufficient to do tests in the development of the program. For these reasons, an item is developed to set up the maximum number of entries to be processed. Below is an example showing the item ⁸⁹⁸ with the maximum number set at two thousand.

899 900 % Maximum number of entries to be processed 901 { 2000 902 903 } 904 On some occasions, especially in the course of optimizing selection criteria, we need to run 905 the program only over entries satisfying certain requirements. For this purpose, an item is devel-906 oped to select entries. The following example shows the item with X set in the range (-1, 1). 907 908 % Cut to select entries 909 { 910 (X > -1) && (X < 1)911 912 913 Notably, in the old versions prior to 02-07-03, only a single-line selection requirement is sup-914 ported in the item, like the cases in the methods Draw() [16] and GetEntries() [17] of the class 915 TTree. Though such a requirement is able to express any condition with the help of the paren-916 theses "()" as well as the logical symbols "&&", "||", and "!", it looks clumsy when it is used to 917 express a complicated condition. Starting from the version 02-07-03, the cuts supported in the 918 item are also allowed to be divided into two or more lines in order to make them clearer. 919 Occasionally, array variables are involved in the requirement. Under the circumstances, users 920 have to tell the program how to determine the total logical value with the individual logical val-921 ues. At present, two criteria are provided: (1) the total result is true as long as the result for 922 one instance is true; (2) the total result is false as long as the result for one instance is false. By default, the second criterion is used in the program. One can alter it to the first one with the 923 924 following item. 925 926 % Method to apply cut to array variables (Two options: T and F. Default: T) 927 { 928 F 929 } 930 931

In the item, "T" and "F" stand for the first and second criteria, respectively. Notably, the default option for the item is altered from "F" back to "T" since the version 02-08-05, so as to keep consistent with the ROOT system.

935 5.1.2. Input decay branches

Table 21: Decay trees and the	eir respective initial-final states.
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\begin{array}{l} \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0 \\ (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0) \end{array}$	0	81057	81057
2	$\begin{array}{l} \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 B^0 \\ (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow B^0 B^0) \end{array}$	1	9487	90544
3	$\begin{array}{l} \Upsilon(4S) \to \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0 \\ (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0) \end{array}$	2	9456	100000

Normally, the program deals with all of the decay branches in every decay tree. However, examining all the branches is not always required in practice. Sometimes, we only concern the first *n* hierarchies of the branches. Similar to that in cascade decay branches of particles (as we introduce in Section 3.6), the hierarchy here reflects the rank of a decay branch in a decay tree. For example, in the decay tree $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0$, $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ v_e D^{*-} \gamma^F$, $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$, $D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0$, $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$, $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$, the hierarchies of the seven individual branches are 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, and 4, respectively. The program provides an item to set the maximum hierarchy. Below is an example showing the item with the maximum hierarchy set at one.

% Maximum hierarchy of heading decay branches to be processed in each event {

946 947

}

948

945

949

With the setting, the decay branches with hierarchy larger than one will be ignored by the program. For the component analysis over the decay trees of the $\Upsilon(4S)$ sample, only the first hierarchy of $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay branches are analyzed, and the result is shown in Table 21. From the table, not only $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0$ but also $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 B^0$ and $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0$ are seen because of $B^0-\bar{B}^0$ mixing. Similarly, in the case of the maximum hierarchy set at two, we could get the result of the component analysis over the first two hierarchies of $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay branches, as displayed in Table 22.

Table 22: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\begin{split} \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} \\ (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-}) \end{split}$	936	136	136
2	$\begin{split} \Upsilon(4S) &\to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} \\ (\Upsilon(4S) & \to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-}) \end{split}$	1188	112	248
3	$\begin{split} \Upsilon(4S) &\to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+} \\ (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-}) \end{split}$	268	110	358
4	$\begin{split} \Upsilon(4S) &\to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to D^{*-} D^{*+}_s, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} \\ (\Upsilon(4S) & \dashrightarrow \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-} D^{*+}_s) \end{split}$	2063	72	430
5	$\begin{split} \Upsilon(4S) &\to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+} \\ (\Upsilon(4S) & \dashrightarrow e^+ e^- \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+} D^{*-}) \end{split}$	95	71	501
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (81609 in total) ($\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)	_	99499	100000

Sometimes, we do not care about the decay of some particles. One can make the program ignore their decay branches with the following item. With the setting in the example, the decay of B^0 and \overline{B}^0 will be ignored by the program.

% Ignore the decay of the following particles { B0 anti-B0 } At some other times, we have interest in

At some other times, we have interest in the decay of some particles but not in the decay of their daughters. To handle this case, the following item is developed to make the program ignore the decay of their daughters. In the following example, the decay of the daughters of B^0 and \bar{B}^0 will be ignored by the program.

971 972

960

961 962

963

964 965 966

% Ignore the decay of the daughters of the following particles

```
973
         {
            B0
974
975
            anti-B0
976
977
     The two settings above have the same effects as those in the previous paragraph which set the
978
     maximum hierarchy at one and two, and hence the corresponding results are identical to those
979
     shown in Tables 21 and 22.
980
         As mentioned in Section 2.6, the decay \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma is ignored by default. On the occasions
981
     when we need to identify the signals involving the decay, we can make the program retain the decay with the item below set to "Y".
982
983
984
         % Retain the decay of pi0 to gamma gamma (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
985
986
         {
            Y
987
         }
988
989
     Besides, if needed, one can make the program ignore other final decay branches, such as \eta \to \gamma \gamma
990
     and K_{\rm S}^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-, with the following item.
991
992
993
```

```
993 % Ignore the following final decay branches
994 {
995 eta --> gamma gamma
996 K_SO --> pi+ pi-
997 }
```

1006

1007 1008

1009

1011 1012

1013 1014

1015 1016

1017

⁹⁹⁸ 5.1.3. Initial and final state radiation photons

Initial state radiation (ISR) and final state radiation (FSR) are inevitable physical effects in e^+e^- colliding experiments. Therefore, ISR and FSR photons are often involved in inclusive MC samples. The program processes them together with other particles in the default case. To distinguish them from other photons, the program tries to label them in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Sometimes, these photons are marked out beforehand with special PDG codes according to particle status information from generators. One can inform the program of these PDG codes by the following two items.

```
% PDG code of ISR photons (Default: 222222222)
{
    222222222
}
% PDG code of FSR photons (Default: -22)
{
    -22
}
```

In this case, the program is able to label the ISR and FSR photons as γ^i (gammai) and γ^f (gammai) in the output pdf (plain text) files, respectively.

On other occasions, ISR and FSR photons are not marked out in advance due to some reasons. In such cases, the program has to identify them by itself according to the following rules: photons who have no mothers recorded in the arrays of the PDG codes and mother indices are considered as generalized ISR photons, while other photons who have at least one e^{\pm} , μ^{\pm} , π^{\pm} , K^{\pm} , p, or \bar{p} sister are taken as generalized FSR photons. Here, the modifier "generalized" is used because the rules can not determine the types of the photons in absolute accuracy. For example, photons from radiative decays might be mistaken as FSR photons. Despite this, generalized ISR and FSR photons are good concepts, particularly in cases where the sources of the photons are not required to be distinguished clearly. The program will label the generalized ISR and FSR photons as γ^{I} (gammaI) and γ^{F} (gammaF) in the output pdf (plain text) files, respectively.

Notably, we are not concerned about these ISR and FSR photons in many cases, particularly when we want to identify our signals from some samples. If they have already been marked out beforehand, one can make the program ignore them accurately by setting the following two items to "Ys".

1034 1035 % Ignore ISR photons (Three options: Ys, Yg and N. Default: N) 1036 { Ys 1037 1038 } 1039 1040 % Ignore FSR photons (Three options: Ys, Yg and N. Default: N) 1041 1042 Ys 1043 } 1044 1045

1058

In cases that these photons are not marked in advance, the option "Yg" can be used to ignore the generalized ISR and FSR photons. In "Ys" and "Yg", "s" and "g" are the initials of the words "strict" and "generalized", respectively.

Sometimes, it matters to us whether there are or how many ISR or FSR photons in the decay 1049 branches we are concerned with. To obtain the exclusive components of these decay branches 1050 with respect to ISR or FSR photons, one can employ the functionality of component analysis 1051 over inclusive decay branches with the unspecified particles constrained to ISR or FSR photons. 1052 To be specific, an additional fourth, optional parameter in the corresponding item can be set at "Is", "Ig", "Fs", or "Fg" in order to restrict the remaining particles to strict ISR, generalized ISR, 1053 1054 strict FSR, or generalized FSR photons, respectively. The following example shows the setting 1055 item for investigating the generalized FSR photons in the decay branches of $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and 1056 $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}.$ 1057

```
      1059
      % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches

      1060
      {

      1061
      J/psi --> e+ e- & Jpsi2ee & - & Fg

      1062
      anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu_mu D*+ & B2munuDsp & - & Fg

      1063
      }
```

Table 23: Exclusive components of $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} + n\gamma^F$.

rowNo	exclusive component of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+} + n \gamma^F$	iDcyBrIncDcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$\bar{B}^0 o \mu^- \bar{ u}_\mu D^{*+}$	0	4154	4154
2	$\bar{B}^0 o \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} \gamma^F$	1	740	4894
3	$ar{B}^0 o \mu^- ar{ u}_\mu D^{*+} \gamma^F \gamma^F$	2	86	4980
4	$\bar{B}^0 o \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} \gamma^F \gamma^F \gamma^F$	3	1	4981

Table 23 shows the obtained exclusive components of $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+} + n\gamma^F$. As shown in the table, the values of the topology tag "iDcyBrIncDcyBr" are exactly equal to the numbers of generalized FSR photons in the corresponding exclusive decay branches. According to this point, to identify the decay branch $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}$ with and without generalized photons, we simply need to require "iDcyBrIncDcyBr_B2munuDsp_i >0" and "iDcyBrIncDcyBr_B2munuDsp_i == 0". As we mentioned before, "i" in "_i" here is the default index of the found instance of the decay branch in an event, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the sample-level maximum of the number of instances of the decay branch found in each event (excluded).

The decay branches discussed above are regular decay branches where the particles on the left sides decay directly to the particles on the right sides. On some other occasions, we need to consider the IRA decay branches in the context above. One can make the program handle the IRA decay branches by simply appending a suffix "–IRA" to the fourth parameter "Is", "Ig", "Fs", or "Fg". Here, the suffix "–IRA" is used to notify the program that the specified decay branch is IRA. The example below shows the setting item which examines the generalized FSR photons in the IRA decay branches of $D^{*+} \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$.

```
1079
1080 % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches
1081 {
1082 D*+ --> K- pi+ pi+ pi0 & Dsp2K3Pi & - & Fg-IRA
1083 J/psi --> pi+ pi- pi0 & Jpsi23Pi & - & Fg-IRA
1084 }
```

The resulting exclusive components of $D^{*+} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 + n\gamma^F$ are displayed in Table 24. Similar to those in Table 23, the values of the topology tag "iDcyBrIncDcyBr" are exactly equal to the numbers of generalized FSR photons in the corresponding exclusive IRA decay branches. Here, we note that, unlike the plain right arrow (\rightarrow) in Table 23, the dashed right arrow ($-\rightarrow$) is used in this table in order to indicate that the decay branches in the table are IRA.

Table 24: Exclusive components of $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- + n \gamma^F$.

rowNo	exclusive component of $D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- + n \gamma^F$	iDcyBrIncDcyBr	nCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	0	4971	4971
2	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F$	1	625	5596
3	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F \gamma^F$	2	51	5647
4	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F \gamma^F \gamma^F$	3	2	5649

¹⁰⁹¹ 5.2. Settings on the functionalities of the program

1092 5.2.1. Candidate based analysis

According to the number of signal candidates in an event that are selected and retained to 1093 extract physics results, data analysis in high energy experiments can be divided into the following 1094 two categories: event based analysis and candidate based analysis. While at most one candidate 1095 in an event is kept in event based analysis, one or more candidates in an event can be retained in 1096 candidate based analysis. Generally, the quantities related to a candidate are stored in an entry of 1097 the TTree objects in the root files. Thus, one or more entries relate to an event in candidate based 1098 analysis, while only one entry corresponds to an event in event based analysis. Normally, the 1099 indices of candidates within an event are stored in the corresponding entries in candidate based 1100 analysis. 1101

¹¹⁰² By default, the program analyzes the input entries one by one. In this case, the events with ¹¹⁰³ multiple candidates will be processed repeatedly. Particularly, the number of physics processes at ¹¹⁰⁴ the sample level will be overcounted. One can make the program avoid the problem by inputting ¹¹⁰⁵ "Y" to the following item.

{ Y

}

1106

1107

1110

[%] Avoid over counting for candidate based analysis (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)

¹¹⁰⁸

¹¹⁰⁹

Also, the indices of candidates within an event are required. We can tell the program the related TBranch name with the following item.

% TBranch name of the indices of candidates in an event (Default: __candidate__)
{
 iCandidate
 }
With the settings, the program will process the first entry of each event in a normal way, including

With the settings, the program will process the first entry of each event in a normal way, including obtaining and storing the topology tags; it will not analyze the other entries of the same event, but only store the same topology tags to them.

¹¹²³ 5.2.2. Charge conjugation

Charge conjugation is an important concept in high energy physics. By default, charge conjugate objects (particles and decays) are processed separately in the program. However, we need to handle them together in many physics studies because of the sameness between them. One can have the program process them together with the item below set to "Y".

1128 1129 1130

1111

1114

1115 1116

1117 1118

1119

% Process charge conjugate objects together (Two options: Y and N. Default: N) {

1131 1132

Y

}

1133

1134

Performing topology analysis with this setting inserts new topology tags in the output root files and adds new counters to topology maps in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Tables 25 and 26 list and interpret all of the topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in the component analysis and signal identification functionalities, respectively.

As an example, we perform the component analysis over decay trees with the charge con-1139 jugate item. Table 27 shows the obtained topology map. Besides the columns in Table 2, two 1140 additional columns with the headers "nCcEtr" and "nAllEtr" are inserted in the table. Here, "nC-1141 cEtr" represents the number of entries involving the charge conjugate decay trees, and "nAllEtr" 1142 is the sum of "nEtr" and "nCcEtr". In addition to "iDcyTr", "iCcDcyTr" is also inserted in the 1143 output root files as a topology tag. It is short for charge conjugate index of decay tree. For self-1144 charge-conjugate decay trees, it has the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate decay trees, it has 1145 the value 1 or -1: while 1 tags the decay trees listed in the topology maps, -1 indicates their 1146 charge conjugate decay trees. Whereas the equal values of "iDcyTr" for each decay tree and its 1147 charge conjugate decay tree indicate their sameness, the opposite values of "iCcDcyTr" for them 1148 reflect their difference. 1149

As another example, we carry out the component analysis over the decay branches of D^{*+} 1150 and J/ψ . The resulting topology map of D^{*+} is displayed in Table 28. Compared with Ta-1151 ble 4, two new columns are added to the table, and their headers "nCcCase" and "nAllCase" 1152 have similar meanings as "nCcEtr" and "nAllEtr" in Table 27. For a specified particle, what we 1153 want to further record with topology tags are as follows: (1) whether it is self-charge-conjugate; 1154 (2) whether its decay branches are self-charge-conjugate, if it is self-charge-conjugate; (3) the 1155 number and the indices of the decay branches of its charge-conjugate particle, if it is not self-1156 charge-conjugate. Hence, in addition to "nPDcyBr_i" and "iDcyBrP_i_j", the following topology 1157

tags are also inserted in the output root files: "iCcPDcyBr_i" for all specified particles; "iCcDcyBrP_i_j" for self-charge-conjugate particles only; and "nCcPDcyBr_i", "iDcyBrCcP_i_j", and "nAllPDcyBr_i" for non-self-charge-conjugate particles only. Here, "iCcPDcyBr_i" tags whether the ith particle is self-charge-conjugate. For self-charge-conjugate particles, it has the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate particles, it has the value 1.

Table 25: Topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in each kind of component analysis. For the latter seven kinds of component analysis, the topology tags in the (1) and (2) groups are only designed for the self-charge-conjugate and non-self-charge-conjugate particles and decay branches, respectively. The acronyms "cc" and index_{cc} are short for "charge conjugate" and "charge conjugate index", respectively. For self-charge-conjugate objects (particles or decays), the charge conjugate indices have the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate objects, they have the value 1 or -1: while 1 tags the objects presented in the topology maps, -1 indicates their charge conjugate objects.

Component type	Topology tag	Interpretation
Decay trees	iCcDcyTr	index _{cc} of decay tree
Decay initial-final states	iCcDcyIFSts	index _{cc} of decay initial-final states
	iCcPDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of particle _i
	(1) iCcDcyBrP_i_j	index _{cc} of decay branch of the j th particle _i
Decay branches of particles	(2) nCcPDcyBr_i	number of cc particle _i s (decay branches)
	(2) iDcyBrCcP_i_j	index of decay branch of the j th cc particle _i
	(2) nAllPDcyBr_i	number of all particleis (decay branches)
	iCcPProdBr_i	index _{cc} of particle _i
	(1) iCcProdBrP_i_j	index _{cc} of production branch of the j th particle _i
Production branches of particles	(2) nCcPProdBr_i	number of cc particle _i s (production branches)
	(2) iProdBrCcP_i_j	index of production branch of the jth cc particlei
	(2) nAllPProdBr_i	number of all particle _i s (production branches)
	iCcPMoth_i	index _{cc} of particle _i
	(1) iCcMothP_i_j	index _{cc} of mother of the j th particle _i
Mothers of particles	(2) nCcPMoth_i	number of cc particleis (mothers)
	(2) PDGMothCcP_i_j	PDG code of mother of the j th cc particle _i
	(2) nAllPMoth_i	number of all particleis (mothers)
	iCcPCascDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of particle _i
	(1) iCcCascDcyBrP_i_j	index _{cc} of cascade decay branch of the j th particle _i
Cascade decay branches of particles	(2) nCcPCascDcyBr_i	number of cc particleis (cascade decay branches)
	(2) iCascDcyBrCcP_i_j	index of cascade decay branch of the jth cc particlei
	(2) nAllPCascDcyBr_i	number of all particleis (cascade decay branches)
	iCcPDcyFSt_i	index _{cc} of particle _i
	(1) iCcDcyFStP_i_j	$index_{cc}$ of decay final state of the j th particle _i
Decay final states of particles	(2) nCcPDcyFSt_i	number of cc particle _i s (decay final states)
	(2) iDcyFStCcP_i_j	index of decay final state of the j th cc particle _i
	(2) nAllPDcyFSt_i	number of all particle _i s (decay final states)
	iCcIncDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of inclusive decay branch _i
	(1) iCcDcyBrIncDcyBr_i_j	$index_{cc}$ of decay branch of the j^{th} inclusive decay branch _i
Inclusive decay branches	(2) nCcIncDcyBr_i	number of cc inclusive decay branchies
	(2) iDcyBrCcIncDcyBr_i_j	index of decay branch of the j^{th} cc inclusive decay branch _i
	(2) nAllIncDcyBr_i	number of all inclusive decay branchies
	iCcIRADcyBr_i	index _{cc} of IRA decay branch _i
ID A deservitaren eta e	(1) iCcDcyBrIRADcyBr_i_j	$index_{cc}$ of decay branch of the j th IRA decay branch _i
IKA decay branches	(2) nCcIRADcyBr_i	number of cc IRA decay branchies
	(2) iDcyBrCcIRADcyBr_i_j	index of decay branch of the j^{th} cc IRA decay branch _i
	(2) nAllIRADcyBr_i	number of all IRA decay branchies

The topology tag "iCcDcyBrP_i_j" records the charge conjugation property of the decay 1163 branch of the jth instance of the ith particle. It is to "iDcyBrP_i_j" what "iCcDcyTr" is to "iD-1164 cyTr". The topology tag "iDcyBrCcP_i_j" is designed for the charge conjugate particle of the ith 1165 particle (for D^{*-} in this example). It has a similar meaning as "iDcyBrP_i_j". Particularly, the 1166 values of "iDcyBrP_i_j" and "iDcyBrCcP_i_j" tagging charge conjugate decay branches are equal 1167 to each other. The topology tag "nCcPDcyBr_i" stands for the number of the charge conjugate 1168 ith particles (or their decay branches) found in each event, and "nAllPDcyBr_i" is the sum of 1169 "nPDcyBr_i" and "nCcPDcyBr_i". 1170

Table 26: Topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in each kind of signal identification. For the latter six kinds of signal identification, the topology tags in the (*) groups are only designed for the non-self-charge-conjugate particles and decay branches. The acronyms "cc" and index_{cc} are short for "charge conjugate" and "charge conjugate index", respectively. For self-charge-conjugate objects (particles or decays), the charge conjugate indices have the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate objects, they have the value 1 or -1: while 1 tags the objects presented in the topology maps, -1 indicates their charge conjugate objects.

Signal type	Topology tag	Interpretation
Decay trees	iCcSigDcyTr	index _{cc} of signal decay tree
Decay initial-final states	iCcSigDcyIFSts	index _{cc} of signal decay initial-final states
	iCcSigP_i	index _{cc} of signal particle _i
Particles	(*) nCcSigP_i	number of cc signal particleis
	(*) nAllSigP_i	number of all signal particleis
	iCcSigDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of signal decay branch _i
Decay branches	(*) nCcSigDcyBr_i	number of cc signal decay branchies
	(*) nAllSigDcyBr_i	number of all signal decay branchies
	iCcSigCascDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of signal cascade decay branch _i
Cascade decay branches	(*) nCcSigCascDcyBr_i	number of cc signal cascade decay branchies
	(*) nAllSigCascDcyBr_i	number of all signal cascade decay branchies
	iCcSigIncDcyBr_i	indexcc of signal inclusive decay branchi
Inclusive decay branches	(*) nCcSigIncDcyBr_i	number of cc signal inclusive decay branchies
	(*) nAllSigIncDcyBr_i	number of all signal inclusive decay branchies
	iCcSigIncCascDcyBr_i	index _{cc} of signal inclusive cascade decay branch _i
Inclusive cascade decay branches	(*) nCcSigIncCascDcyBr_i	number of cc signal inclusive cascade decay branchies
	(*) nAllSigIncCascDcyBr_i	number of all signal inclusive cascade decay branchies
	iCcSigIRADcyBr_i	index _{cc} of signal IRA decay branch _i
IRA decay branches	(*) nCcSigIRADcyBr_i	number of cc signal IRA decay branchies
	(*) nAllSigIRADcyBr_i	number of all signal IRA decay branchies

Table 27: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states (with the charge conjugation setting).

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCcEtr	nAllEtr	nCEtr
1	$ \begin{split} & \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ & D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^- \\ & (\Upsilon(4S) - \to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F) \end{split} $	20870	3	0	3	3
2	$ \begin{split} & \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \rho^- D^{*+}, D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0, \\ & \rho^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^-, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^+, \bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \\ & (\Upsilon(4S) - \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-) \end{split} $	3648	2	0	2	5
3	$ \begin{split} & \Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^-, \bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+}, D^- \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e \pi^- K^+, \\ & D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^- \\ & (\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^- e^- \bar{\nu}_e \bar{\nu}_e \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-) \end{split} $	3722	1	1	2	7

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCcEtr	nAllEtr	nCEtr
4	$ \begin{array}{l} \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \rho^- D^-, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, \rho^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^-, \\ D^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- K^+, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \rightarrow K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \\ (\Upsilon(4S) - \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+) \end{array} $	5295	2	0	2	9
5	$ \begin{split} &\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- D^{*+}, \\ &D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^0 D^-, D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0, D^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^- K^+, D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ K^- \\ &(\Upsilon(4S) - \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^- K^- \gamma^F) \end{split} $	10206	1	1	2	11
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (99969 in total) ($\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)	_	_	_	99989	100000

Table 28: Decay branches of D^{*+} (with the charge conjugation setting).

rowNo	decay branch of D^{*+}	iDcyBrP	nCase	nCcCase	nAllCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$	0	31180	31291	62471	62471
2	$D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+$	1	13978	14166	28144	90615
3	$D^{*+} \rightarrow D^+ \gamma$	2	700	721	1421	92036
4	$D^{*+} ightarrow \pi^+ D^0 \gamma^F$	3	28	36	64	92100
5	$D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+ \gamma$	4	0	1	1	92101

1171 5.2.3. Reconstruction restrictions on truth particles

So far, the five kinds of component analysis with user specified particles, which we introduce 1172 in Sections 3.3–3.7, are performed indiscriminatingly over all the truth instances of the same 1173 specified particles in the same events. Yet, this is not what analysts desire in many cases of data 1174 analysis. In these cases, rather than all of the truth instances of the specified particles, they are 1175 more concerned about the truth instances that are successfully reconstructed in the step afterward. 1176 For example, in the physics studies with $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-$ samples, due to the limited 1177 detection efficiencies, only in a small fraction of events can we reconstruct both B^+ and B^- 1178 mesons; in other events, we can only reconstruct at most one B^+/B^- meson. On such occasions, 1179 analysts usually pay more attention to the reconstructed B^+/B^- mesons and less attention to the 1180 unreconstructed ones. 1181

In practice, we often use the following kinds of reconstruction information to restrict the truth 1182 instances of user specified particles. The most common kind is the charge of the reconstructed 1183 candidate. It is used to differentiate two charged conjugate particles from each other. Similarly, 1184 a neutral tag with two possible values 1 and -1 can be used to distinguish two neutral conjugate 1185 1186 particles. Obviously, for such purposes, the PDG code of the reconstructed candidate applies to both the charged and neutral conjugate particles. The charge, neutral tag, and PDG code 1187 are all appropriate for truth-reconstruction matching on the occasion where only a pair of the 1188 charge conjugate particles under study is produced in an event. However, in the cases of three 1189 or more charge conjugate particles existing in an event, they are not equally effective because 1190 two or more truth instances may match one reconstructed candidate. In such cases, the index 1191 of the truth instance matched with the reconstructed candidate, obtained with the algorithms or 1192 modules within the software system of the experiment in question, is perfect to be used in this 1193 program. 1194

The reconstruction information is required to be stored in the input TTree object for analysts to check the topology information of the truth instances of the specified particles matched to their reconstructed candidates. In the candidate based analysis, the information is often stored in a scalar TBranch object. In the event based analysis, it is usually held in an array TBranch object,
 and meanwhile the number of reconstructed candidates in an event is kept in a scalar TBranch
 object as the length of the array.

With the reconstruction information, one can obtain the topology information of the desired 1201 truth instances of the specified particles. One method to achieve this is using the setting item 1202 with the prompt "% Cut to select entries". For example, to check the decay branches of the 1203 reconstructed B^+ , one can require that the charge of the reconstructed candidate is equal to +1. 1204 However, the method is awkward in the following three contexts. (1) It is not handy to process 1205 charge conjugate particles together. On the one hand, if the charge conjugation item is turned on 1206 in the example above, the unreconstructed B^+ in the events containing the reconstructed B^- will 1207 contaminate the reconstructed B^+ . On the other hand, processing charge conjugate particles sep-1208 arately requires running the program twice with two input card files, and the obtained results are 1209 not merged organically and automatically. (2) Similarly, it is not convenient to process multiple 1210 specified particles together. (3) Also, it does not work when the reconstruction quantity is the 1211 index of the truth instance matched with the reconstructed candidate. 1212

To handily impose reconstruction restrictions on truth particles in the context of charge conjugation setting, we design and implement an optional parameter in the setting items presented in Sections 3.3–3.7. The parameter for each specified particle can be filled in as the fourth parameter in the corresponding line. In the items for cascade decay branches and decay final states, if the fourth place is already occupied, the parameter should be typed in the fifth place. An example using the parameter in the candidate based analysis is presented as follows.

1219 1220 % Component analysis — decay branches of particles 1221 { 1222 D*+ Dsp 5 c:Dsp_charge_s 1223 } 1224

Here, "c" is the prompt denoting charge, "Dsp_charge_s" is the name of the scalar TBranch which stores the charge of the reconstructed candidate of D^{*+} and D^{*-} , and the colon ":" is used as the separator between "c" and "Dsp_charge_s".

Below is an example demonstrating the use of the parameter in the event based analysis. It is quite similar to the example above.

% Component analysis — decay branches of particles { D*+ Dsp 5 C:Dsp_charge_a:Dsp_nRec

1231 1232

1233 1234

Table 29: Decay branches of D^{*+} (with the settings of charge conjugation and reconstruction restriction).

rowNo	decay branch of D^{*+}	iDcyBrP	nCase	nCcCase	nAllCase	nCCase
1	$D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$	0	5175	5078	10253	10253
2	$D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+$	1	2323	2346	4669	14922
3	$D^{*+} \to D^+ \gamma$	2	146	138	284	15206
4	$D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0 \gamma^F$	3	3	2	5	15211

Notably, instead of the lowercase letter "c" used in the candidate based analysis, the uppercase letter "C" is designed as the prompt denoting charge in the event based analysis. In addition, "Dsp_charge_a" is the name of the array TBranch storing the charges of the reconstructed candidates of D^{*+} and D^{*-} , and "Dsp_nRec" is the name of the scalar TBranch storing the number of their reconstructed candidates in an event. The topology map obtained with this item plus the charge conjugation item is displayed in Table 29.

¹²⁴¹ Constrained with the charges of their reconstructed candidates, the number of truth instances of D^{*+} and D^{*-} listed in this table is significantly less than that recorded in Table 28. Here, it is ¹²⁴² worth noting that the number,15211, is larger than the number of reconstructed candidates of D^{*+} and D^{*-} , 13808. This is because two or more truth instances of D^{*+} or D^{*-} can match the ¹²⁴⁴ charge of one reconstruction candidate, as we remark at the end of the second paragraph in this ¹²⁴⁵ subsubsection.

Table 30 summarizes the formats of the optional parameter associated with five kinds of re-1247 construction information. In the candidate based analysis, the lowercase substring "c", "n", "!n", 1248 "p", or "i" is used as the prompt of the parameter, and the prompt is followed by the name of the 1249 scalar TBranch which stores the related reconstruction quantity. In the event based analysis, the 1250 uppercase substring "C", "N", "!N" "P", and "I" is used as the prompt of the parameter, and the 1251 prompt is followed by the two names of the array TBranch storing the associated reconstruction 1252 quantity and the scalar TBranch holding the number of reconstructed candidates in an event. As 1253 mentioned previously, the neutral tag with two possible values 1 and -1 can be used to differ-1254 entiate two neutral conjugate particles from each other. Internally, the program compares the 1255 neutral tag of a specified particle with its charge conjugate index listed in the fifth column of 1256 the file "pid_3pchrg_txtpnm_texpnm_iccp.dat" under the "share" directory. Obviously, there is 1257 a possibility that the assignment convention of the neutral tag is opposite to that of the charge 1258 conjugate index. In this case, please add an exclamation mark "!" in front of "n" or "N" to make 1259 the program use the opposite values of the neutral tag for comparisons. 1260

Reconstruction quantity	Analysis type	Prompt	Format of the parameter	
Charge	Candidate based	с	c:charge_s	
	Event based	С	C:charge_a:nRec	
Neutral tag	Candidate based	n	n:neutralTag_s	
	Event based	Ν	N:neutralTag_a:nRec	
Reversed neutral tag	Candidate based	!n	!n:neutralTag_s	
	Event based	!N	!N:neutralTag_a:nRec	
PDG code	Candidate based	р	p:PDGCode_s	
	Event based	Р	P:PDGCode_a:nRec	
Index	Candidate based	i	i:index_s	
	Event based	Ι	I:index_a:nRec	

Table 30: Formats of the optional parameter used for imposing restrictions on the truth instances of the specified particles with their respective reconstruction information.

1261 5.2.4. Settings only on signal identification

Normally, the signals specified in the signal identification functionality items are both tagged and counted by executing the program one time. In the case of a huge sample that will take a long time, it is a good idea to first tag the signals with multiple jobs each running on one machine, and then count the tagged signals together. One can make the program carry out the idea by setting the following item to "T" and "C" in the first and second steps, respectively. Here, "T" and "C" stand for tagging and counting, respectively.

Т

}

212

¹²⁶⁸ 1269

[%] Analysis tasks for signal identifications (Three options: TC, T and C. Default: TC)

¹²⁷⁰

¹²⁷¹ 1272

By default, the signals set in the signal identification functionality items are listed in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files in the sequence they are specified. In cases of plenty of signals, there is probably a need to sort them according to the number of cases found in the input samples. One can have the program do the sorting by inputting "Y" to the item below.

% Sort the signals in the topology maps related to signal identifications (Two options: Y and N. Default: N) { Y

1281 1282

}

1279 1280

1288

1289 1290

1291

1293

1299

1300 1301

1302 1303

1304

1283 5.3. Settings on the output of the program

1284 5.3.1. Output txt/tex/pdf files

By default, decay objects (trees, initial-final states, and branches) are left-aligned in the output pdf files. If one likes it, he/she can request the program to center them by setting the following item to "Y".

% Center decay objects in output pdf files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
{
Y
}
In all of the previous examples, the program is applied to the inclusive MC samples in e^+e^-

¹²⁹⁴ In all of the previous examples, the program is applied to the inclusive MC samples in e^+e^- ¹²⁹⁵ colliding experiments. Besides, the program can also be used in other types of high energy ex-¹²⁹⁶ periments, for example, the PANDA experiment [18], a $p\bar{p}$ annihilation experiment under con-¹²⁹⁷ struction at Darmstadt, Germany. On these occasions, we have to specify the right initial state ¹²⁹⁸ particles with the following item to obtain the proper topology maps.

```
% Initial state particles (Default: e– e+)
{
anti-p– p+
}
```

With the setting, the default initial state e^+e^- is replaced by $p\bar{p}$, as shown in Table 31, which displays the results of a component analysis over decay trees of a small $p\bar{p}$ annihilation sample.

rowNo	decay tree	decay final state	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$	$p\bar{p}$	1	232	232
2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- p\bar{p}$	$\pi^+\pi^-p\bar{p}$	24	53	285
3	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$	$\pi^0 p \bar{p}$	5	35	320
4	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- p\bar{p}$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- p \bar{p}$	0	33	353
5	$p\bar{p}\rightarrow\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	39	31	384
rest	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \text{others} (184 \text{ in total})$	corresponding to others	_	616	1000

Table 31: Decay trees and their respective final states ($p\bar{p}$ annihilation).

1307 5.3.2. Output root files

As mentioned in Section 2.6, after the execution of the program, one or more root files will be output to save topology tags. By default, the program switches to a new output file whenever the size of the TTree object in memory exceeds 3 GB. In addition to this, the program provides an item to control the switch of output files by setting the maximum number of entries to be saved in a single output file. The following example shows the item with the maximum number set to 1 million.

```
% Maximum number of entries to be saved in a single output root file
1315
1316
            1000000
1317
1318
         1319
     Besides, one can have the program generate one output file by one input file with the following
1320
     item set to "Y".
1321
1322
          % One output root file by one input root file (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1323
1324
         {
            Y
1325
1326
         3
1327
     Notably, with the setting, the output root files will not be denominated according to the default or
1328
     specially specified common name of the output files. Instead, they will be named after the input
1329
     root files and with "_ta_n.root" (n=1, 2, 3 ...) as suffixex. Here, "ta" is short for topology analysis
1330
     and "n" is the corresponding file number. For example, with this setting, the names of the output
1331
     root files in the first example of the user guide will be "jpsi1_ta_1.root" and "jpsi2_ta_2.root".
1332
```

In default cases, flat TBranch objects are used to store topology tags in the output root files. This is necessary for the Belle II experiment, as array TBranch objects are not recommended to use in physics analyses in order to use other tools such as NumPy [11] and pandas [12]. However, since array TBranch objects are elegant and efficient in organizing and storing homogeneous data, sometimes it is better to use them than flat TBranch objects in other experiments, such as the BESIII experiment. One can make the program use array TBranch objects to store topology tags by inputting "Y" to the item below.

- % Use array tbranches to store topology tags in output root files when possible (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
- 1341 1342 1343

Y

}

{

Y

Y

3

1340

1314

1344 1345

By default, to facilitate the validation of topology analysis results, the input TBranch objects are copied to the output root files along with other TBranch objects for physics analyses. However, they often occupy too much disk space and are useless for following physics analyses. In the case of being flat, a massive amount of these TBranch objects also looks awkward. Thus, after the validation with a small sample, it would be better to remove these TBranch objects from the output root files. One can request the program to perform this removal operation before it terminates by setting the following item to "Y".

% Remove the input tbranches from output root files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)

1354 1355 1356

1357 1358

1359

1360

1361 1362

1353

If one does not want to remove the MSI/MSF/MSD input TBranch objects entirely but still want to make them easier to be examined with the Show method of the TTree class, he/she can demand the program convert them into AOI TBranch objects with the following setting item.

% Convert MSI/MSF/MSD input tbranches into AOI output tbranches (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
{

- 1363 1364 1365
- 1366
- 1367

¹³⁶⁸ In the type conversion, the undesired values of the TBranch objects are removed. Accordingly, a

scalar TBranch object storing the number of the remaining particles and an array TBranch object holding the raw indices of the remaining particles are inserted into the output root files.

On some occasions, besides the TTree object containing the raw topology truth information, we may also want to clone some other TTree objects from the input root files to the output root files. One can set the names of these TTree objects in the following item, with each in one line.

```
% Other TTree names
1375
1376
           abc
1377
1378
           xyz
         }
1379
1380
     In the example, the two TTree names "abc" and "xyz" are specified. Notably, with such a setting,
1381
     the other TTree objects will only be cloned to the first output root file in cases that multiple output
1382
     root files are produced but they have no explicit one-by-one relationship to the input root files.
1383
         Sometimes, we may only desire the topology maps. Under these circumstances, it would be
1384
     better to suppress the output root files, particularly in cases that they are large in file sizes. With
1385
     the item below, one can make the program do this automatically by first generating empty output
1386
```

1387 1388

1389 1390

1391

1392

```
% Suppress output root files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
{
Y
}
```

1393 **6. Auxiliary facilities**

This section introduces some auxiliary facilities for the use of the program, including a card file to preset frequently used items; some additional command line arguments to reset the names of input root files, the common name of output files, and the maximum number of entries to be processed; and two commands implemented in tex source files. Different from that presented in the previous four sections, the content presented in this section is not the essential part of the program. However, with these auxiliary facilities, we can make the program do our jobs better and quicker on some occasions.

root files and then removing them after the corresponding entries are processed.

1401 6.1. The underlying card file

A card file, namely "underlying_topoana.card" under the directory "share", to preset fre-1402 quently used items is developed to assist the card file specified by the first argument of the 1403 command "topoana.exe". Here, we refer to the former and latter card files as underlying and 1404 primary, respectively. In general, the primary card file is sufficient to set items for the execution 1405 of the program. However, considering some items are frequently used with constant inputs by a 1406 user or a group of users, it is better to move the items from the primary card file to the underlying 1407 card file, in order to make the primary card file more concise and make us more focused on the 1408 items specially set for the dedicated topology analysis. 1409

One can decide whether to set an item in the underlying card file according to his/her own needs. Here, we introduce some frequently used items that are suitable to be put in the underlying card file as follows. As mentioned in Section 2.4, the items related to the storage type and TBranches names of the input data are usually fixed for a user or a group of users. Thus, it is quite appropriate to move them to the underlying card file. We have to process charge conjugation particles and decays together in many physics studies. In such studies, it is also a good practice to put the item on charge conjugation in the underlying card file.

The program first reads the items in the underlying card file and then reads those in the primary card file. The items set in the underlying card file can be reset in the primary card file. In such a case, the inputs in the underlying card file will be replaced by their counterparts in the primary card file.

1421 6.2. Additional command line arguments

Normally, only the "cardFileName" is required to be passed as an argument of the command 1422 "topoana.exe", and all of the necessary information can be configured via the setting items filled 1423 in the card file. On some occasions, we need to run the program over multiple samples separately, 1424 with identical settings except for the names of input root files and the common name of output 1425 files. A regular approach to do such a job requires multiple card files, each corresponding to 1426 one sample. This approach appears a bit tedious in cases of many samples. To avoid this, two 1427 additional command line arguments are designed and implemented to reset the names of input 1428 root files and the common name of output files. Similarly, two additional arguments are also 1429 developed for the input TTree name and the maximum number of entries to be processed. 1430

These optional arguments should be typed with prompts, which are listed and explained as follows.

- -i: The names of input root files should be provided after the prompt. One or more names are allowed here. They will replace those set in the card file.
- -t: The TTree name should be provided after the prompt. It will replace the one set in the card file.
- -o: The common name of output files should be provided after the prompt. It will replace the one set in the card file or the default one, that is, the name of the card file.
- -n: The maximum number of entries to be processed should be provided after the prompt. It will replace that set in the card file.
- Besides, one can execute "topoana.exe help" for the help documention of "topoana.exe".

1442 6.3. Commands implemented in tex source files

The output pdf files can be checked after the execution of the program. If their styles are not to our taste, we can edit the corresponding tex source files to get the desired styles, according to the regular LaTeX rules. Besides the rules, two commands are implemented in the tex source files to help us edit the files quickly and easily for two common desired styles.

By default, topology tags are listed along with topology maps in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. However, only the topology maps are needed on some occasions, especially in presentations. In such cases, one can suppress the topology tags in the output tex source and pdf files by simply changing the definition of the cmtTopoTags command from the nominal one

1452 1453

\newcommand{\topoTags}[1]{#1}

to the alternative one

\newcommand{\topoTags}[1]{}

in the preamble of the text source files. Here, "#1" is the formal parameter of the string for the topology tags. With the nominal definition, "\topoTags{#1}" returns the string exactly, while with the alternative definition it only returns an empty string. That is why the definition below is able to suppress the topology tags.

After the revision of the tex source files, one can re-compile them with the pdflatex command. Usually, the pdflatex command has to be executed two or three times for a fully compiled pdf file, and many undesired files in other formats are generated during the compilation. To execute the pdflatex command and remove the undesired files at one stroke, we develop a bash script, namely "getPdfFromTex.sh" under the directory "utilities". The script should be executed with the following command line: getPdfFlFromTexFl.sh texFileName. Compiling the tex source files with the script is recommended.

1470 7. Summary

1456

1457 1458

We develop a program, namely TopoAna, with C++, ROOT, and LaTeX for the event type 1471 analysis of inclusive MC samples in high energy physics experiments. This user guide provides 1472 a detailed description of the program, including a basic introduction to it, two categories of its 1473 functionalities — component analysis and signal identification, and some common settings and 1474 auxiliary facilities for its execution. The program has rich functionalities and aims to solve all 1475 kinds of event type analysis tasks. Meanwhile, it is easy to use and has a high processing rate. 1476 These features make the program a powerful tool to analyze the backgrounds involved in our 1477 research works and to identify the physics processes of interests from the inclusive MC samples. 1478

Since it does not rely on any specific software frameworks, the program applies to many high 1479 energy physics experiments. Up to now, it has been put into use in three experiments at e^+e^- 1480 colliders: the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. Besides these experiments, it can also be 1481 used in other types of experiments, such as the PANDA experiment, a $p\bar{p}$ annihilation experi-1482 ment. Also, the program is applicable to the future e^+e^- colliding experiments under research 1483 and development, such as the circular electron-positron collider (CEPC) [19, 20] experiment in 1484 China, the super Charm- τ factory (SCTF) experiment [21] in Russia, and the super τ -Charm fac-1485 tory (STCF) experiment [22] in China. These experiments offer wide space for the application 1486 of the program. 1487

On the other hand, we note that the application of the program to some other experiments is limited. For example, thousands of particles can be produced from dozens of pp collisions in an event of the ATLAS [23] and CMS [24] experiments at the LHC [25]; in such cases, there is little point in performing the event type analysis of corresponding MC samples. Nonetheless, the application scope of the program is still broad. In particular, it applies to the e^+e^- colliding experiments where at most tens of particles are produced from the annihilation of a pair of $e^+e^$ in an event. With more user needs coming out in the future, we will further extend and perfect it to make it more powerful and well-rounded.

1496 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 11575017, 11661141008, 11761141009, 11875262, 11975076] and the CAS Center for

44

Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP). In addition, we would like to thank all of the people 1499 who have helped us in the development of the program. We first thank Prof. Changzheng Yuan, 1500 Bo Xin, and Haixuan Chen for their help at the early stage of developing the program. We are 1501 particularly grateful to Prof. Xingtao Huang for his comments on the principles and styles of the 1502 program, to Remco de Boer for his suggestions on the tex output and the use of GitHub, and to 1503 Xi Chen for his discussions on the core algorithms. We are especially indebted to Prof. Xiqing 1504 Hao, Longke Li, Xiaoping Qin, Ilya Komarov, Yubo Li, Guanda Gong, Suxian Li, Junhao Yin, 1505 Prof. Xiaolong Wang, Yeqi Chen, Hannah Wakeling, Hongrong Qi, Hui Li, Ning Cao, San-1506 jeeda Bharati Das, Kazuki Kojima, Tingting Han, Fang Yan, Lin Wang, Meiru An, and Noreen 1507 Rauls for their advice in extending and perfecting the program. Also, we thank Xi'an Xiong, 1508 Runqiu Ma, Wencheng Yan, Sen Jia, Lu Cao, Dong Liu, Hongpeng Wang, Jiawei Zhang, Jiajun 1509 Liu, Maoqiang Jing, Yi Zhang, Wei Shan, and Yadi Wang for their efforts in helping us test the 1510 program. 1511

1512 References

- 1513 [1] ROOT User's Guide, Available online: https://root.cern/root/htmldoc/guides/users-guide/ROOTUsersGuide.html.
- 1514 [2] Documentation of the TFile class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TFile.html.
- 1515 [3] K.T. Chao, Y.F. Wang, et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24 (2009) S1-794.
- ¹⁵¹⁶ [4] M. Ablikim, et al. (BESIII Collaboration), Chin. Phys. C 44 (2020) 040001.
- 1517 [5] E. Kou, et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019 (2019) 123C01.
- 1518 [6] J. Brodzicka, T. Browder, P. Chang, et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012 (2012) 04D001.
- ¹⁵¹⁹ [7] Text of MIT license, Available online: https://mit-license.org/.
- 1520 [8] Documentation of the TTree class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html.
- 1521 [9] M. Tanabashi, et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 030001.
- 1522 [10] Documentation of the TBranch class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TBranch.html.
- 1523 [11] Documentation of NumPy, Available online: https://numpy.org/devdocs/.
- 1524 [12] Documentation of pandas, Available online: https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/.
- [13] Documentation of the TChain class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TChain.html.
- 1526 [14] Reference of unordered maps, Available online: http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/unordered_map/unordered_map/.
- 1527 [15] D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 462 (2001) 152.
- I528 [16] Documentation of the Draw() method of the TTree class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.ht
 ml#TTree:Draw@2.
- 1530 [17] Documentation of the GetEntries() method of the TTree class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/TTr ee.html#TTree:GetEntries@1.
- [18] W. Erni, et al. (PANDA Collaboration), Physics Performance Report for PANDA: Strong Interaction Studies with Antiprotons, arXiv:0903.3905.
- [19] CEPC CDR Volume 1 (Accelerator), Available online: http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol1_Accelerator.pdf.
- [20] CEPC CDR Volume 2 (Physics & Detector), Available online: http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol2_Physics Detector.pdf.
- 1537 [21] A.E. Bondar, et al. (Charm-Tau Factory Collaboration), Phys. Atom. Nucl. 76 (2013) 1072.
- [22] Q. Luo, D. Xu, "Progress on Preliminary Conceptual Study of HIEPA, a Super Tau-Charm Factory in China", in Proc. 9th International Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC2018), Vancouver, BC, Canada, 422.
- 1540 [23] G. Aad, et al. (ATLAS Collaboration), JINST 3 (2008) S08003.
- 1541 [24] S. Chatrchyan, et al. (CMS Collaboration), JINST 3 (2008) S08004.
- 1542 [25] L. Evans (ed.), P. Bryant (ed.), JINST 3 (2008) S08001.