# TopoAna: A generic tool for the event type analysis of inclusive Monte-Carlo samples in high energy physics experiments

Xingyu Zhou<sup>a,∗</sup>, Shuxian Du<sup>b</sup>, Gang Li<sup>c</sup>, Chengping Shen<sup>d,</sup>\*

*<sup>a</sup>School of Physics and Electronic Technology, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian 116029, China <sup>b</sup>School of Physics and Microelectronics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, China c Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China <sup>d</sup>Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE) and Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200443, China*

## Abstract

 Inclusive Monte-Carlo samples are indispensable for signal selection and background suppres- sion in many high energy physics experiments. A clear knowledge of the physics processes <sup>3</sup> involved in the samples, including the types of processes and the number of processes in each type, is a great help to investigating signals and backgrounds. To help analysts obtain the physics process information from the truth information of the samples, we develop a physics process analysis program, TopoAna, with  $C_{++}$ , ROOT, and LaTeX. The program implements the func- tionalities of component analysis and signal identification with many kinds of fine, customizable classification and matching algorithms. It tags physics processes in individual events accurately in the output root files, and exports the physics process information at the sample level clearly to the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Independent of specific software frameworks, the program is applicable to many experiments. At present, it has come into use in three  $e^+e^-$ 11 colliding experiments: the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. The use of the program in other similar experiments is also prospective.

<sup>14</sup> *Keywords:* event type; component analysis; signal identification; inclusive Monte-Carlo <sup>15</sup> samples; high energy physics experiments

# <span id="page-0-0"></span>16 1. Introduction

 One of the most important tasks in the data analysis of high energy physics experiments is to select signals, or in other words, to suppress backgrounds. As for the task, inclusive/generic Monte-Carlo (MC) samples are extremely useful, in that they provide basic, though not per- fect, descriptions of the signals and/or backgrounds involved. However, due to the similarities between signals and some backgrounds, it usually takes efforts to establish a set of selection criteria that retain a high signal efficiency and meanwhile keep a low background level. Further

The program is now available at https://github.com/buaazhouxingyu/topoana.

*Preprint submitted to Computer Physics Communications May 27, 2021*

<sup>∗</sup>Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* zhouxy@lnnu.edu.cn, shencp@fudan.edu.cn

 optimization of preliminary criteria is often needed in the process. Under the circumstances, a <sup>24</sup> comprehensive understanding of the samples is required. In particular, a clear knowledge of the physics processes, namely the event types, involved in the samples is quite helpful. To be spe- cific, the physics process information includes the types of processes and the number of processes in each type, involved both in the entire samples and in the individual events. Here, the physics process could be a complete production and decay process involved in an event, or merely a part of it, such as the decay of an intermediate resonance. With the information, one can figure out the main backgrounds (especially the peaking ones), and optimize the selection criteria further 31 by analyzing the differences between the main backgrounds and the signals. Even if it is difficult <sup>32</sup> to further suppress these backgrounds, the knowledge of their types is beneficial to estimate the systematic uncertainties associated with them.

<sup>34</sup> The analysis of the physics process information described above is a sort of component anal- ysis. It is complex since it has to classify physics processes actively and finely. Another sort of physics process analysis often required in practice is signal identification, which only aims <sup>37</sup> to search for certain processes of interests. It is relatively simple because its core technique is merely pattern matching. Mostly, signal and background events coexist in inclusive MC samples. It is useful to differentiate them in such cases. The identified signal events can be used to make up a signal sample in the absence of specialized signal samples, or they can be removed to avoid repetition in the presence of specialized signal samples. Occasionally, we have to pick out some decay branches in order to re-weight them according to new theoretical predictions or updated

<sup>43</sup> experimental measurements. Signal identification also plays a part in this occasion.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1: Topology diagrams of (a)  $e^+e^- \to J/\psi$ ,  $J/\psi \to \rho^+\pi^-$ ,  $\rho^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ ,  $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$  and (b)  $e^+e^- \to \Upsilon(4S)$ ,<br> $\Upsilon(4S) \to R^0\bar{R}^0 \to R^0\bar{R}^0 \to \mu^-R^0 \to \nu^-K^+ \nu$ ,  $K^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ ,  $J/\psi \to e^+e^-$ ,  $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ ,  $T(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \overline{B}^0$ ,  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi$ ,  $\overline{B}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- D^{*+} \nu_\mu$ ,  $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ ,  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$ ,  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ ,  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ . As if trees grow, the diagrams are plotted from bottom to top.

<sup>44</sup> Processes in high energy physics can be visualized with topology diagrams. As an example, <sup>45</sup> Fig. [1](#page-1-0) shows the topology diagrams of two typical physics processes occurring at  $e^+e^-$  colliders. <sup>46</sup> From the figure, the hierarchies of the processes and the relationships among the particles are <sup>47</sup> clearly illustrated with the diagrams. Though the complexities of topology diagrams vary with <sup>48</sup> physics processes, there is only one diagram corresponding to each process. For this reason, we <sup>49</sup> refer to the physics process information/analysis mentioned thereinbefore as topology informa- $\mathcal{D}$ 

 tion/analysis hereinafter. The component analysis and signal identification introduced above are exactly the two categories of topology analysis that will be discussed in this paper.

 Since the raw topology truth information of inclusive MC samples is counter-intuitive, di- verse, and overwhelming, it is difficult for analysts to check the topology information of the <sup>54</sup> samples directly. To help them do the checks quickly and easily, a topology analysis program called TopoAna is developed with C++, ROOT [\[1\]](#page-44-0), and LaTeX. Here, C++ is the programming language, ROOT is the C++ based data analysis software universally used in modern high energy physics experiments, and LaTeX is used for generating pdf documents containing the obtained <sub>58</sub> topology information. The program implements the functionalities of component analysis and signal identification based on accurate pattern matching. To meet a variety of practical require- ments, many kinds of fine, customizable classification and matching algorithms are implemented in the program. Generally, the program recognizes, categorizes, and counts physics processes in each event in the samples, and tags them in the corresponding entry of the output root (TFile [\[2\]](#page-44-1)) <sup>63</sup> files. After processing the events, the program exports the obtained topology information at the <sup>64</sup> sample level to the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files.

 The program is applicable to inclusive MC samples at any data analysis stage of associated <sup>66</sup> high energy physics experiments. In the overwhelming majority of situations, it is run over the samples which have undergone some selections, in order to examine the signals and backgrounds in the selected samples as well as the effect of the imposed selections. In such situations, the results of topology analysis are usually used together with other quantities for physics analysis.  $70 \text{ m}$  In spite of this, applying the program to the samples without undergoing any selection facilitates us to validate the generators and decay cards that produce the samples and helps novices get familiar with the topology information of the samples.

 The program has a history of more than ten years. It has already gone through a series of major upgrades. Prior to its development, analysts usually wrote some private codes to match few signals and/or backgrounds for their own studies. The limited functions of these codes do not satisfy the increasing demand for topology analysis. This motivates us to develop a  $\pi$  generic, powerful, and easy-to-use program. At first, the program was developed for the BESIII <sup>78</sup> experiment, an experiment in the *τ*-Charm energy region with abundant research topics under study [3, 4]. Later, it was extended substantially for the Belle II experiment, which is primarily study  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$  $[3, 4]$ . Later, it was extended substantially for the Belle II experiment, which is primarily <sup>80</sup> dedicated to search for physics beyond the Standard Model in the flavor sector and has already 81 started data taking in the recent three years [\[5\]](#page-44-4). Besides, the program has also been tried and <sup>82</sup> used in the Belle experiment, the predecessor of the Belle II experiment, where some physics <sup>83</sup> studies are still ongoing [\[6\]](#page-44-5). Not relying on any specific software frameworks, the program now 84 applies to many high energy physics experiments.

 This user guide gives a detailed description of TopoAna. It proceeds as follows: Section [2](#page-3-0) <sup>86</sup> introduces the basics of the program; Sections [3](#page-14-0) and [4](#page-22-0) expatiate the two categories of function-<sup>87</sup> alities of the program — component analysis and signal identification, respectively; Sections [5](#page-27-0) <sup>88</sup> and [6](#page-41-0) present some common settings and auxiliary facilities for the executing of the program, respectively; Section [7](#page-43-0) summarizes the user guide. It is worth mentioning here that, aside from the detailed description in the user guide, an essential description of the program has been writ-91 ten into a paper, which has already been published by Computer Physics Communications. One [c](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107540)an find this paper and the preprint corresponding to it in the links [Comput. Phys. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107540) [258 \(2021\) 107540](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2020.107540) and [arXiv:2001.04016,](https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.04016) respectively. For your convenience, we provide the 94 latest version of the paper draft "paper\_draft\_v3.1.pdf", as well as a quick-start tutorial "quick-**start\_tutorial\_v\*.pdf"**, under the directory "share" of the package. If the tool really helps your researches, we would appreciate it very much if you could cite the paper in your publications.

## <span id="page-3-0"></span>97 2. Basics of the program

 This section introduces the basics of the program, including the package, input, algorithm, execution, performance, output, and validation of the program. The package implements the program via a C++ class called "topoana" and a main function invoking the class. Compiling the package creates the executable file of the program, that is, "topoana.exe". To execute the program, we have to first obtain the input data of the program, namely the raw topology truth information of the inclusive MC samples, with some interfaces to the program in the software systems of the corresponding experiments. Normally, the input data contain all the topology information of the samples. With the data, all kinds of the topology analysis presented in the user guide can be performed.

 To carry out the topology analysis desired in our work, we have to provide some neces- sary input, functionality, and output information to the program. The information is required to be filled in the setting items designed and implemented in the program, and the items have to be put in a plain text file named with a suffix ".card". With the card file, one can execute the program with the command line: "topoana.exe cardFileName", where the argument "cardFile- Name" is optional and its default value is "topoana.card". After the execution of the program, we can examine the results of topology analysis in the output files and use them to analyze other experimental quantities. The results help us gain a better understanding of the signals and back- grounds and are conducive to carrying our work forward. Besides the package, input, execution, and output of the program mentioned above, the algorithm, performance, and validation of the program will also be discussed in this section, because they are also essential aspects of the pro- gram. In the next seven subsections, we will present the package, input, algorithm, execution, performance, output, and validation of the program in detail, with each part in one subsection.

## *2.1. Package of the program*

 The package consists of six directories — "include", "src", "bin", "share", "examples", 122 and "utilities" — and five files — "LICENSE", "README.md", "Configure", "Makefile", and "Setup". While the directory "include" only includes one header file "topoana.h", the directory "src" contains 68 source files "\*.cpp" as well as a script file "topoana.C". At present, only one class, namely "topoana", is defined in the program for all of its functionalities. The class is declared in "topoana.h", implemented in "\*.cpp" files, and invoked in "topoana.C".

 The file "template topoana.card" under the directory "share" saves all the items which are de- veloped for users to specify information for the execution of the program. One can refer to the file 129 when filling in the cards for their own needs. Some plain text files "pid\_3pchrg\_txtpnm\_texpnm iccp.dat \*" are also included in the directory "share". They store the basic information of the 131 particles used in the program. The suffixes of their names indicate the experiments they apply to. One of them will be copied to "pid 3pchrg txtpnm texpnm iccp.dat" when we set up the program. Besides, the directory "share" also contains three LaTeX style files " geometry.sty", "ifxetex.sty", and "makecell.sty", which are invoked by the program for generating pdf files. The 135 directory "examples" includes plenty of detailed examples. Particularly, all the examples 136 involved in this user guide are under its sub-directory "in the user guide". The directory <sup>137</sup> "utilities" contains some useful bash scripts.

 The program is released under MIT license [\[7\]](#page-44-6). The file "README.md" briefly introduces how to install and use the program. To set up the program, one should first set the package path with the command "./Configure". Standard outputs of the command are the guidelines for man-ually adding the absolute path of "topoana.exe" to the environment variable "PATH", in order  to execute it without any path. The second step is executing the command "make". This com- mand compiles the header, source, and script files into the executable file "topoana.exe" under the directory "bin", according to the rules specified in the "Makefile". The last step is specifying the experiment name with the command line "./Setup experimentName". Currently, the sup-146 ported experiment names are "BESIII", "Belle", and "Belle II". Besides, "./Setup Example" is 147 required for the execution of the examples in the user guide.

## <sup>148</sup> *2.2. Input of the program*

The input of the program is one or more root files including a TTree [\[8\]](#page-44-7) object which contains raw topology truth information of the inclusive MC samples under study. To be specific, the information in each entry of the TTree object consists of the following three ingredients associated with the particles produced in an event of the samples: the number of particles, PDG [\[9\]](#page-44-8) codes of particles, and mother indices of particles. Notably, the particles do not include the initial state particles ( $e^+$  and  $e^-$  in  $e^+e^-$  colliding experiments), which are default and thus omitted. Besides, the indices of particles are integers starting from zero (included) to the number of particles (excluded); they are obvious and hence not taken as an input ingredient for topology analysis. Equation [\(1\)](#page-4-0) shows an example of the input data.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

<sup>149</sup> The complete physics process contained in the data is displayed as follows.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>

<sup>8</sup>  $\overline{D}^0 \to \eta \eta'$  5 17  $K_S^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^0$  14<br><sup>150</sup> Here, the decay branches in the process are placed into two blocks in order to make full use of <sup>151</sup> the page space. In both blocks, the first, second, and third columns are the indices, symbolic

expressions, and mother indices of the decay branches. Notably, all the decay branches of  $\pi^0 \rightarrow$ 

153 γγ are omitted in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-4-1) in order to make the process look more concise. Since the topology diagram of such a process looks like a tree, we refer to the complete processes as decay trees. diagram of such a process looks like a tree, we refer to the complete processes as decay trees. <sup>155</sup> Obviously, the input data do not show the structure automatically. Thus, we need the program to

<sup>156</sup> do the topology analysis work.

From the first branch in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-4-1), only one particle  $\Upsilon$ (4*S*) is produced after the *e*<sup>+</sup>*e*<sup>-</sup> annihilation. Thus, Υ(4*S* ) can be referred to as the root particle of the decay tree. Similarly, many other resonances with the quantum numbers  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$ , such as  $J/\psi$ , can be solely produced at other proper energy points. Besides the cases with only one root particle, the program can deal with proper energy points. Besides the cases with only one root particle, the program can deal with the cases with multiple root particles. For example, the program can recognize the following raw topology truth information



as the following process

0 
$$
e^+e^- \to \pi^+\omega K^- D^{*-} D^{*+}_{s} - 1
$$
  
\n1  $\omega \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$   
\n2  $D^{*-} \to \pi^0 D^-$   
\n3  $D^{*+}_{s} \to \pi^0 D^{+}_{s}$   
\n4  $D^- \to \pi^- \pi^- K^+$   
\n5  
\n5  $D^{+}_{s} \to \rho^0 K^+$   
\n6  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$   
\n7  $\pi^- K^+$   
\n8 (4)

Here, the particles  $\pi^+ \omega K^- D^{*-} D_s^{*+}$  in the first branch arise from hadronization processes, in which<br>the group processes with hadronization processes with hadronization processes with hadronization processes. quark pairs produced from initial state particles turn into hadrons. The processes with hadroniza- tion ignored have a tree structure and thus are easy to resolve. On the other hand, some hadroniza- tion processes, particularly those in high energy regions, contain complicated loop structures that <sup>161</sup> are difficult to resolve without sophisticated algorithms. Resolving these intricate hadronization processes is not involved in the program at present.

 It is recommended to save the input data in the TTree object together with other quantities for physics analyses, in order to facilitate the examination of the distributions of these quantities with the topology information. The input data can be stored in several types. Normally, the number of particles can be simply stored in a TBranch [\[10\]](#page-44-9) object as a scalar integer, while the PDG codes of particles, as well as the mother indices of particles, can be stored in a TBranch object as an array of integers, in a TBranch object as a vector of integers, or in a group of TBranch objects as multiple scalar integers. In the analysis software of the Belle/Belle II experiment, float/double- precision variables are used uniformly to store all the quantities involved in the experiment, and <sup>171</sup> TBranch objects are not recommended to store arrays and vectors in order to use other tools such as NumPy [\[11\]](#page-44-10) and pandas [\[12\]](#page-44-11). In the Belle/Belle II context, we have to store the number of particles in a TBranch object as a scalar float/double-precision number, and store the PDG codes of particles, as well as the mother indices of particles, in a group of TBranch objects as multiple scalar float/double-precision numbers. Summing up the above, we have mentioned five storage types of the input information. For the sake of simplification, we refer to them with the following acronyms: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, and MSD, which are short for array of integers, vector of integers, multiple scalar integers, multiple scalar float numbers, and multiple scalar  double-precision numbers, respectively. All of the storage types are supported by the program, and their acronyms will be used in the related item of the card file (see Section [2.4](#page-7-0) for details).

 It is easy to get the input of the program within the software framework of high energy physics experiments. To facilitate its use, we have developed the interfaces of the program to the software systems of the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. Similar interfaces for other experiments can also be implemented with ease. Beyond the scope of the user guide, we will not discuss the details of the interfaces here.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*2.3. Algorithm of the program*

The program resolves physics processes from the input data introduced above. Considering the diversity of the data, the program first sorts them before translating them into physics processes. Here, the diversity means that the data representing a process may have multiple permutations. For example, the data for the decay  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  have the following two permutations.



 A decay tree can consist of many decay branches. As a consequence, the diversity issue is complex. To avoid the different permutations of one group of data are identified as different pro- cesses, the program first sorts the input data to adjust all the possible permutations to a unique order, according to the PDG codes and electronic charges of the involved particles, and the num-191 bers of their daughter particles in the case of identical particles present in the same decay branch. <sup>192</sup> For example, the two permutations above will be finally sorted into the first permutation (113, 211, −211) in the program. The sorting algorithm is implemented in the source file "sortPs.cpp", where some other settings are also involved. One can see the reference file "sortPs.cpp core" for the core of the sorting algorithm. After the sorting, the program can get the decay tree from the 196 sorted data into a vector of the type "vector  $\lt$  list $\lt$ int $>$ " with the function implemented in the source file "getDcvTr.com". source file "getDcyTr.cpp".

 As mentioned in the previous section, the program has two categories of functionalities: sig- nal identification and component analysis. In this subsection, we introduce the basic algorithms for signal identification and component analysis by taking the cases of decay trees as examples.  $_{201}$  $_{201}$  $_{201}$  Figures 2 and [3](#page-9-0) show the flow charts of these algorithms in detail. Dozens of lines of code, in- cluding some using the ROOT classes TChain [\[13\]](#page-44-12), TFile [\[2\]](#page-44-1), and TTree [\[8\]](#page-44-7), are involved in the charts in order to express the algorithms explicitly. The flow chart of the signal identification for decay trees is depicted in Fig. [2.](#page-8-0) Firstly, the program reads in the signal decay trees specified in the user card file. Then, for each entry of the input root file, the program obtains the decay tree from the sorted input data, matches the decay tree to the signal decay trees, records the index of the matched signal decay tree, and increases the number of the matched signal decay tree. At last, the program outputs the statistics of the signal decay trees.

<sub>209</sub> The flow chart of the component analysis over decay trees is illustrated in Fig. [3.](#page-9-0) Despite the similarity in their frameworks, the flow chart has significant differences from that of the <sup>211</sup> signal identification for decay trees in Fig. [2.](#page-8-0) In the signal identification algorithm, the signal decay trees to be identified are specified beforehand in the user card file. On the contrary, in the component analysis algorithm, the program has to classify decay trees by itself from scratch. In the signal identification algorithm, the decay trees are matched by directly comparing the vectors storing them. Since the number of specified signal decay trees is fixed and usually small, the processing rate of the program is high and usually in constant. However, in the component 217 analysis algorithm, the number of decay tree types found in a sample can be quite large and tends to grow with the number of processed entries. On this occasion, if we still match the decay trees <sup>219</sup> by comparing the vectors storing them, the processing rate of the program will decrease with the increase of the number of processed entries. To improve the processing rate, the unordered  $_{221}$  map [\[14\]](#page-44-13), a kind of container template introduced since the C++ 11 standard, is employed for the fast matching of decay trees. Internally, the elements in the unordered maps are organized into buckets depending on their hash values, to allow for fast access to individual elements directly by their key values with a constant average time complexity [\[14\]](#page-44-13). This constant feature in average time complexity will be examined in Section [2.5.](#page-11-0)

## <span id="page-7-0"></span>*2.4. Execution of the program*

 To execute the program, we have to first configure some necessary setting items in a card file, and then run the program with the command line: "topoana.exe cardFileName". This subsection introduces the essential items for the input, basic functionality, and output of the program. More <sup>2[3](#page-14-0)0</sup> items that can be set in the card file will be described in the following three sections. Sections 3  $_{231}$  and [4](#page-22-0) expatiate the available items for the functionalities of the program, and Section [5](#page-27-0) presents the optional items for the common settings to control the execution of the program.

An example of the card file containing the essential items is shown as follows.

 # The following six items set the input of the program. % Names of input root files { ../input/jpsi1.root ../input/jpsi2.root }  $\%$  TTree name { evt } % Storage type of input raw topology truth information (Five options: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, and MSD. Default: AOI) { AOI } % TBranch name of the number of particles (Default: nMCGen) { Nmcps } % TBranch name of the PDG codes of particles (Default: MCGenPDG) { Pid } % TBranch name of the mother indices of particles (Default: MCGenMothIndex) { Midx } 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

Figure 2: Basic flow chart of the signal identification for decay trees. The vectors "vSigDcyTr" and "vNSigDcyTr" are used to store the signal decay trees specified in the user card file and the numbers of these decay trees found in the input root file, respectively. The TBranch "iSigDcyTr" in the output root file is used to record the index of the signal decay tree involved in each entry of the input root file.

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 3: Basic flow chart of the component analysis over decay trees. The TBranch "iDcyTr" in the output root file is used to record the index of the decay tree involved in each entry of the input root file. The vectors "vDcyTr", "vIDcyTr", and "vNDcyTr" are used to store the decay trees found in the input root file, their individual indices, and their individual numbers, respectively. In addition, the unordered map "uomDcyTr" is used for the fast matching of decay trees. Its key and value are the string "strDcyTr" and the index "iDcyTr", respectively. Here, the string "strDcyTr" is constructed from the vector "dcyTr"; there is a one-to-one correspondence between them.

```
270 # The following item sets the basic functionality of the program.
271
272 % Component analysis — decay trees
273 {
274 Y
275 }
276
277 # The following item sets the output of the program.
278
279 % Common name of output files (Default: Name of the card file)
280 {
281 jpsi ta
282 }
283
```
 In the card file, "#", "%", and the pair of "{" and "}", are used for commenting, prompting, and grouping, respectively. The first six, seventh, and last items are set for the input, basic functionality, and output of the program, respectively.

 The first item sets the names of the input root files. The names ought to be input one per line without tailing characters, such as comma, semicolon, and period. In the names, both the absolute and relative paths are allowed and wildcards "[]?\*" are supported, just like those in the root file names input to the method Add() of the class TChain [\[13\]](#page-44-12). The second item specifies  $_{291}$  the TTree name. The third item tells the program the storage type of the input raw topology truth information, and the input should be one of the following five acronyms: AOI, VOI, MSI, MSF, <sup>293</sup> and MSD, as we introduce in the previous subsection. The following three items set the TBranch names of the three ingredients of the input raw topology truth information. Of the first six items, the former two are indispensable, whereas the latter four can be removed or left empty if the input values are identical to the default values indicated in their prompts. Besides, the latter four <sup>297</sup> items can be moved to the underlying card file, which is developed for frequently used items and <sup>298</sup> will be introduced in Section [6.1,](#page-41-1) because the input values are usually fixed for a user or a group of users, though they might be different from the default values.

<sup>300</sup> The seventh item sets the basic functionality of the program, namely the component analysis over decay trees. The item can be replaced or co-exist with other functionality items expatiated in 02 Sections 3 and [4.](#page-22-0) Here, we note that at least one functionality item has to be specified explicitly in the card file, otherwise the program will terminate soon after its start because no topology analysis to be performed is set up.

 The last item specifies the common name of the output files. Though in different formats, the files are denominated with the same name for the sake of uniformity. They will be introduced 307 in detail in the next subsection. This item is also optional, with the name of the card file as its default input value. It is a good practice to first denominate the card file with the desired common name of the output files and then remove this item or leave it empty.

 To provide a complete description, we list and explain all the essential items in the paragraphs 311 above. However, in practical uses, we suggest removing the optional items if the input values 312 are identical to the default ones, or moving them to the underlying card file if the input values 313 are fixed for most of your use cases. In this way, the contents of the card file will become much more concise, making the use of the program easier and quicker. For example, unless otherwise 315 stated, only the following two items are used to set the essential information in Sections [3,](#page-14-0) [4,](#page-22-0) and [5.](#page-27-0)

{

../input/mixed1.root

% Names of input root files

../input/mixed2.root

 } % TTree name { evt } 

 Besides, all the items in the program, also including those to be introduced in the following sec- tions, are not required to be filled in the card files in a certain order. Nonetheless, we recommend 331 filling them in a logical order for clearness.

<sup>332</sup> During the execution of the program, some standard output and error messages are printed to the screen to provide some information on the input, progress, and output of the program, as well 334 as the possible problems and proposed solutions to them. The standard output messages include the following four parts: (1) the values of the items with active inputs; (2) the total number of entries contained in the input root files and the progress of the program to process these entries; (3) the information output by the pdflatex command when it compiles the tex source file to get the pdf file; (4) and the hints on the output of the program. The standard error messages are prompted with "Error:" and "Infor:" in order to differentiate themselves from the standard output massages. The messages started with "Error:" point out the problems encountered by the <sup>341</sup> program directly, while those started with "Infor:" give more information on the problems as well as some guidelines on the solutions.

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>*2.5. Performance of the program*

Besides the performance of the used computing systems, the processing rate of the program is largely related to the characteristics of the samples, particularly the average number of generated [4](#page-12-0)6 particles in each event. Figure 4 shows the performance study of the program with the *J*/ $\psi$  sample used in the example of this section as well as the  $\tau^+\tau^-$ ,  $d\bar{d}$ ,  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $s\bar{s}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$ ,  $B^+B^-$ , and  $B$ used in the example of this section as well as the  $\tau^+\tau^-$ ,  $d\bar{d}$ ,  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $s\bar{s}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$ ,  $B^+B^-$ , and  $B^0\bar{B}^0$  samples<br>conserved at the peak energy of the  $\gamma(4S)$  resonance. Each of the used samples consis τ generated at the peak energy of the Υ(4*S* ) resonance. Each of the used samples consists of one hundred thousand events. From the left plot in the figure, for all the samples, the number of elapsed seconds grows linearly with the number of processed entries. This linear pattern is a nice <sup>351</sup> feature. It guarantees the program has a high rate even in the case of processing huge samples. <sup>352</sup> For example, the program can process one hundred thousand  $J/\psi$  events within five seconds.<br><sup>353</sup> Here, we note that the linear pattern is the result of fast searches with unordered maps [14], as Here, we note that the linear pattern is the result of fast searches with unordered maps  $[14]$ , as we discuss in Section [2.3.](#page-6-0) On the other hand, the processing rate of the program varies with the processed samples. The right plot in Fig. [4](#page-12-0) shows the relationship between the total number of elapsed seconds over the whole sample and the average number of generated particles in an event. Clearly, a linear pattern is also observed in the plot. To be specific, with the average number of generated particles in an event increasing by one, the total number of elapsed seconds over the whole sample increases by about 0.56.

## <span id="page-11-1"></span>*2.6. Output of the program*

<sup>361</sup> The program gains the topology information from input data and saves it to output files. As <sup>362</sup> mentioned in Section [1,](#page-0-0) the information includes the types of physics processes and the number of processes in each type, involved both in entire samples and in individual events. We refer to the information at the sample level as topology maps. In the topology maps, we assign an integer to each type of physics processes as its index. We term the indices of processes as well as the numbers of processes involved in each type in the individual events as topology tags.

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

Figure 4: Performance study of the program with the *J*/ $\psi$  sample as well as the  $\tau^+\tau^-$ ,  $d\bar{d}$ ,  $u\bar{u}$ ,  $s\bar{s}$ ,  $c\bar{c}$ ,  $B^+B^-$ , and  $B^0\bar{B}^0$ <br>samples generated at the neak energy of the  $\gamma(4S)$  resonance. samples generated at the peak energy of the Y(4*S*) resonance. The left plot demonstrates the changing trends of the number of elapsed seconds with respect to the number of processed entries. The right plot illustrates the relationship between the total number of elapsed seconds over the whole sample and the average number of generated particles in an event. In both plots, the dots show the timing data from the standard output of the program, and the lines display the results of fitting linear functions to the data.

 The program outputs topology maps to three different files: one plain text file, one tex source file, and one pdf file, with the same name specified in the card file. For instance, the three files are "jpsi ta.txt", "jpsi ta.tex", and "jpsi ta.pdf" in the example. Although in different formats, the three files have the same information. The pdf file is the easiest to read. It is converted from <sup>371</sup> the tex source file with the command pdflatex. The tex source file is convenient to us if we want 372 to change the style of the pdf file to our taste and when we need to copy and paste (parts of) the topology maps to our slides, papers, and so on. For example, all of the tables displaying topology maps in this user guide are taken from associated tex source files. The plain text file has its own 375 advantage, because the topology maps in it can be checked with text processing commands as well as text editors, and can be used on some occasions as input to the functionality items (see 77 Sections 3 and [4](#page-22-0) for details) of another card file.

<sup>378</sup> In addition to the three files for topology maps, one or more root files are output to save topology tags. The root files only include one TTree object, which is entirely the same as that in the input root files, except for the topology tags inserted in all of its entries. The number of root files depends on the size of output data. The program switches to one new root file whenever the size of the TTree object in memory exceeds 3 GB. In the case of the size less than 3 GB, only one root file is output. While the sole or first root file has the same name as the three files above, 384 more possible root files are denominated with the suffix " $\ln$ " (n=1, 2, 3, and so on) appended to the name. In the example, the first root file is "jpsi ta.root", and more possible root files would be "jpsi ta 1.root", "jpsi ta 2.root", "jpsi ta 3.root", and so on.

387 In the example of the previous subsection, the program conducts its basic functionality, <sup>388</sup> namely the component analysis over decay trees. From the 100000 events of the input sample, <sup>389</sup> the program recognizes 17424 decay trees and outputs all of them to the plain text, tex source, 390 and pdf files. Table [1](#page-13-0) only shows the top ten decay trees and their respective final states listed in <sup>391</sup> the output pdf file. With the help of the symbolic expressions, the components of the sample are <sup>392</sup> clearly displayed in the table, which brings great convenience to us in examining the signals and <sup>393</sup> backgrounds involved in the sample. In the table, "rowNo", "iDcyTr", "nEtr", and "nCEtr" are 394 abbreviations for the row number, index of decay tree, number of entries of decay tree, and num-

<sup>395</sup> ber of the cumulative entries from the first to the current decay trees, respectively. The values of <sup>396</sup> "iDcyTr" are assigned from small to large in the program but listed according to the values of  $397$  "nEtr" from large to small in the table. This is the reason why they are not in natural order like 398 the values of "rowNo". Since  $J/\psi$  is the only root particle for the  $J/\psi$  sample, the production<br>399 branch  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$  is omitted to save page space. Similar rules also apply to other samples with  $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$  branch  $e^+e^- \to J/\psi$  is omitted to save page space. Similar rules also apply to other samples with  $\cos \theta$  and some root particle. Considering  $\pi^0$  has a very large production rate and approxima <sup>400</sup> only one root particle. Considering  $\pi^0$  has a very large production rate and approximatively 99%<br>of it decays to *xx* the program is designed to discard the decay  $\pi^0 \to \infty$  by default at the early the of it decays to γγ, the program is designed to discard the decay  $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$  by default at the early the processing the input data (see Section 5.1.2) for the earling item to alter the behavior). <sup>402</sup> phase of processing the input data (see Section [5.1.2](#page-28-0) for the setting item to alter the behavior). As a result,  $\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$  does not show itself in the table. Besides, the superscripts "*f*" and "*F*" in<br> $\alpha$  and  $\gamma^F$  indicate the final state radiation effect (see Section 5.1.3 for their difference) γ <sup>404</sup>  $\gamma$ *<sup>f</sup>* and  $\gamma$ <sup>*F*</sup> indicate the final state radiation effect (see Section [5.1.3](#page-30-0) for their difference).

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

rowNo	decay tree	decay final state	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$	$\mu^+\mu^-$	6	5269	5269
2	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$	$e^+e^-$	4	4513	9782
3	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$\Omega$	2850	12632
$\overline{4}$	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$\mathfrak{D}$	1895	14527
5	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$	$\pi^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-} K^{+} K^{-}$	20	1698	16225
6	$J/\psi \rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^- \omega, \rho^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+$ , $\rho^ \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^-$ , $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	19	1453	17678
7	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma^f$	$e^+e^-\gamma^f$	70	1222	18900
8	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	127	1161	20061
9	$J/\psi \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}$	234	836	20897
10	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma^F$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma^F$	43	792	21689

Table 1: Top ten decay trees and their respective final states.

 In the table, "iDcyTr" is the topology tag for decay trees. Thus, it is also saved in the TTree objects of the output root file, together with other quantities for physics analysis. Therefore, it can be used to pick out the entries of specific decay trees and then examine the distributions of <sup>408</sup> the other quantities over the decay trees. In the example, besides the raw topology truth informa- tion, only a random variable following the standardized normal distribution, namely X, is stored in the input root files and thus copied by default to the output root file. Though not a genuine 411 variable for physics analysis, X is quite good to illustrate the usage of the topology tag. Figure [5](#page-14-1) 412 shows the distribution of X accumulated over the top ten decay trees. The figure is drawn with the root script

- 414
- 416

<sup>415</sup> examples/in the user guide/ex for tb 01/draw X/v2/draw X.C,

<sup>417</sup> where, for example, a statement equivalent to

$$
chain->Draw("X >>h0", "iDcyTr==6")
$$

420

418

is used to import X over the decay tree  $J/\psi \to \mu^+\mu^-$  from the output root file to the histogram<br>the particular particular for the state of the contribution of each decay tree. Particularly  $422$  named h0. With such a figure, we can clearly see the contribution of each decay tree. Particu-423 larly, we can get to know whether a decay tree has a peak contribution or a contribution mainly <sup>424</sup> distributed in a different region. Based on these distributions, we can get a better understand-<sup>425</sup> ing of our signals and backgrounds, and thus optimize event selection criteria by applying new

#### <span id="page-14-1"></span><sup>426</sup> requirements on the displayed quantities.



Figure 5: Distribution of X accumulated over the top ten decay trees. In the legend entry "*J*/ $\psi \to \rho^+\rho^-\omega$ , ...", the dots<br>"" represent the secondary decay branches:  $\rho^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^+$   $\rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^ \rho \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ "..." represent the secondary decay branches:  $\rho^+ \to \pi^0 \pi^+, \rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-, \omega \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ .

#### <sup>427</sup> *2.7. Validation of the program*

 The decay trees displayed in Table [1](#page-13-0) are relatively simple, and we can check their correctness by examining the input data directly. To validate the program generally, we need to do input and output checks, where some arbitrary physics processes are generated as the input of the program. <sup>431</sup> The output has to be consistent with the input; otherwise, there must be some bugs in the program 432 and we have to fix them. A large number of such checks have been performed in the develop- ment and application of the program, and some of them can be found under the sub-directory "examples/validation" of the package. These checks are divided into two groups: standalone and 435 combined. In the standalone checks, forty exclusive  $J/\psi$  and  $\Upsilon(4S)$  decays modeled with the Eviden [15] generator are used to test the functionality of resolving decay trees. In the com-EvtGen [\[15\]](#page-44-14) generator are used to test the functionality of resolving decay trees. In the com- bined checks, randomly combined samples of these exclusive decays are used for verifying the functionalities of counting and tagging decay trees. The output agrees with the input in all the checks, which indicates the correctness of the program.

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span><sup>440</sup> 3. Component analysis

 Component analysis is the primary functionality of the program. It is developed mainly for the background analysis involved in our physics studies. We perform it over decay trees in the previous example. Also, it can be carried out as follows: over decay initial-final states; with specified particles to check their decay branches, production branches, mothers, cascade decay branches, and decay final states; with specified inclusive decay branches to examine their exclu- sive components; and with specified intermediate-resonance-allowed (IRA) decay branches to investigate their inner structures. This section introduces the nine (five for specified particles) kinds of component analysis, with each in a subsection. For each kind of component analysis, 449 one item is designed and implemented in the program to set related parameters. In each subsec- tion, we take an example to demonstrate the corresponding setting item and show the resulting topology map. For easy exposition, all of the essential topology tags involved in the component analysis functionalities are presented in another separate subsection, namely the last subsection. Similar to the case over decay trees, to perform the component analysis over decay initial- final states, we only need to input a positive option "Y" to the corresponding item. Different from the former two kinds, to carry out the latter seven kinds of component analysis, we have to explicitly specify one or more desired particles, inclusive decay branches, or IRA decay branches in the associated items. In the following examples, two particles or decay branches are set to illustrate the use of these items, but only the topology map related to one of them is shown to save space in the paper.

 In addition to the indispensable parameters, two sorts of common optional parameters can be set in the items. The first sort is designed for all the nine kinds of component analysis to restrict the maximum number of components output to the plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Without the optional parameters, all components will be output. This is fine if the number of components is not massive. In cases of too many (around ten thousand or more) components, it takes a long <sup>465</sup> time for the program to output the components to the plain text and tex source files as well as to get the pdf file from the tex source file. In such cases, it also takes up a large disk space to save these components in the output files. Considering further that the posterior components are generally unimportant and our time and energy to examine them are limited, it is better to set a maximum to the number of output components. To save space in the paper, we set the maximum number to five in the following examples.

<sup>471</sup> The second sort of optional parameters are developed for the latter seven kinds of component analysis to assign meaningful aliases to the specified particles, inclusive decay branches, and IRA decay branches. By default, the indices 0, 1, 2, and so on are used to tag the particles and decay branches in the names of the TBranch objects appended in the TTree object of the output root files. This is fine, but it is significative to replace the indices with meaningful aliases, particularly in cases of many specified particles or decay branches.

#### <span id="page-15-0"></span>*3.1. Decay trees*

<sup>478</sup> Component analysis over decay trees is the basic kind of topology analysis. It is quite useful to study the backgrounds involved in our research works where the signals are the complete decay trees fully reconstructed from final state particles. It has already been widely performed in the **BESIII** experiment, as illustrated in the previous section with the  $J/\psi$  example. This subsection introduces it further with the available optional settings using the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  sample. The following introduces it further with the available optional settings using the Υ(4*S* ) sample. The following example shows the associated item with the maximum number of output components set to five. In the item, a third parameter is also filled and set to "Y". With the setting, the decay final states in the output pdf file are put under their respective decay trees, rather than in a column next to that for decay trees. It is recommended to use this optional parameter in cases there are too many (about ten or more) particles in some final states. Here, we note that the symbol "−" can be used as a placeholder for the maximum number of output components, if only the third parameter is desired.

 

 % Component analysis — decay trees { Y 5 Y }

<sup>497</sup> Component analysis over decay trees is one kind of the most time-consuming topology anal-<sup>498</sup> ysis tasks. To check further the efficiency of the program, the progress of running this example, <sup>499</sup> in addition to the example in Section [2.4,](#page-7-0) is illustrated in the plots of Fig. [4](#page-12-0) as well. In these plots,  $\epsilon_{500}$  the timing data from this example are marked with the legend entry " $B^0 \bar{B}^{0}$ ". Since the decay of  $501$  the  $\Upsilon(4S)$  resonance is more complex than that of the  $J/\psi$  resonance, it takes more than twenty<br>seconds for the program to process one hundred thousand events in this example. Nonetheless seconds for the program to process one hundred thousand events in this example. Nonetheless, <sup>503</sup> the program still has a high processing rate.

 Table [2](#page-16-0) shows the decay trees. In the table, while the first five decay trees are listed exclu- sively in the main part, the rest decay trees are only summarized inclusively at the bottom row. Here, we note that the events are not densely populated over the first five decay trees because the inclusive Υ(4*S* ) sample used here is not selected beforehand with any requirements. In the sym- $_{508}$  bolic expressions of decay initial-final states, the dashed right arrow  $(-\rightarrow)$  instead of the plain right arrow ( $\rightarrow$ ) is used, in order to reflect that the initial states do not necessarily decay to the final states in a direct way. Similarly, it is also used in the symbolic expressions of IRA decay 511 branches, which will be introduced in Section [3.9.](#page-20-0)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0,$ $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ , $\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$ , $D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F)$	20870	3	3
$\mathfrak{D}$	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \rho^- D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0,$ $\rho^ \to \pi^0 \pi^-, D^{*+}$ $\to \pi^0 D^+, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \longrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-)$	3648	2	ኀ
3	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \rho^- D^-, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}, \rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-,$ $D^{-} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+}, D^{*+} \to \pi^{+} D^{0}, D^{0} \to K_{I}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \pi^0 \pi^0 K_L^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+)$	5295	$\overline{c}$	
4	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^+, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0,$ $D^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \bar{K}^*, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- K^0_s, \bar{K}^* \to \pi^0 \bar{K}^0, K^0_s \to \pi^+ \pi^-, \bar{K}^0 \to K^0_I$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+e^-\nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\mu^+\nu_\mu\pi^0\pi^0\tilde{K}^0_{\tau}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-)$	11954	2	9
5	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \overline{B}^0$ , $B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}$ , $\overline{B}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^- \omega D^+$ , $D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \overline{D}^0$ , $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $D^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \pi^+ K^-$ , $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow e^+e^+\nu_e\nu_e\pi^0\pi^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-\pi^-K^+K^-)$	14345	2	11
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (99980 in total) $(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)		99989	100000

Table 2: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

## <sup>512</sup> *3.2. Decay initial-final states*

 On some occasions, we need to investigate the decay initial-final states of backgrounds for some sophisticated physics analyses. Particularly, it is necessary to differentiate the following two fundamental types of backgrounds: the one with the same initial-final states as the signal, and the other with different initial-final states from the signal. While the latter type of back- grounds needs to be suppressed as much as possible, the former type usually needs to be kept to study more physical effects, for example, the interference effect. Besides, examining the decay initial-final states of backgrounds sheds light on the misjudgment of final state particles at the level of signal candidates. Below is an example demonstrating the related item with the maxi-mum number of output components set to five.

522

496

<sup>523</sup> % Component analysis — decay initial-final states

 $524$  $525 \t\t Y \t 5$ <sup>526</sup> } 527 <sup>528</sup> The decay initial-final states are displayed in Table [3.](#page-17-0) The layout of the table is similar to that of

<span id="page-17-0"></span>529 Table [2,](#page-16-0) which shows the decay trees.

Table 3: Decay initial-final states.

rowNo	decay initial-final states	iDcyIFSts	nEtr	nCEtr
	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	41	18	18
2	$\Upsilon(4S) \longrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	887	18	36
3	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	3350	18	.54
$\overline{4}$	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 K^0_I \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^-$	1207	17	71
.5	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$	1215	17	88
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (78208 in total)		99912	100000

## <span id="page-17-2"></span><sup>530</sup> *3.3. Decay branches of particles*

 $<sub>531</sub>$  The invariant mass constraint is one of the most frequently used event selection requirements</sub>  $532$  in high energy physics experiments. With the requirement applied to certain particle, the main backgrounds (especially the peaking ones) to its signal decay mode are very likely to be its other backgrounds (especially the peaking ones) to its signal decay mode are very likely to be its other <sup>534</sup> decay modes. In this case, it is significant to examine the decay branches of the particle. The following example shows the associated item with the two particles  $D^{*+}$  and  $J/\psi$  set as research objects. In the item, each row holds the information of a specified particle, and the first, sec-<sup>536</sup> objects. In the item, each row holds the information of a specified particle, and the first, sec-<sup>537</sup> ond and third columns are the textual expressions, aliases, and maximum numbers of output <sup>538</sup> components, respectively. As we introduce at the beginning part of this section, the aliases and 539 maximum numbers of output components are both optional. Here, we note that the symbol "−"  $\frac{540}{541}$  can be used as a placeholder for an unassigned alias, if only the maximum number of output components is desired. components is desired. 542

<sup>543</sup> % Component analysis — decay branches of particles <sup>544</sup> { <sup>545</sup> D\*+ Dsp 5 <sup>546</sup> J/psi Jpsi 5 <sup>547</sup> } 548

<span id="page-17-1"></span>Table [4](#page-17-1) shows the decay branches of  $D^{*+}$ . From the table, only four decay branches of  $D^{*+}$  are  $_{550}$  found in the input inclusive MC sample. Since there is likely one or more cases of  $D^{*+}$  decays in <sup>551</sup> one input entry, "nCase" and "nCCase", instead of "nEtr" and "nCEtr", are used in the table in  $552$  order to accurately indicate what we are counting are the numbers of  $D^{*+}$  decays, rather than the  $_{553}$  numbers of entries involving the  $D^{*+}$  decays.





## <sup>554</sup> *3.4. Production branches of particles*

<sup>555</sup> In some cases, we have interest in the production branches of certain particles. Below is an example demonstrating the related item also by taking the two particles  $\vec{D}^{*+}$  and  $J/\psi$  as objects of study. The input to this item is the same as that to the above item. <sup>557</sup> of study. The input to this item is the same as that to the above item. 558

```
559 % Component analysis — production branches of particles
560 {
561 D<sup>*</sup>+ Dsp 5<br>562 J/psi Jpsi 5
562 J/psi Jpsi 5
563 }
564
```
<span id="page-18-0"></span>565 The production branches of  $D^{*+}$  are displayed in Table [5.](#page-18-0) In the production branches,  $D^{*+}$  is marked in blue so as to make it noticeable. From the table, the number of production branches of  $D^{*+}$  found in the input sample is 3277, much bigger than 4, which is the number of its decay branches.

Table 5: Production branches of  $D^{*+}$ .

rowNo	production branch of $D^{*+}$	iProdBrP	nCase	nCCase
	$\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$	9	4154	4154
2	$\bar B^0\to e^-\bar\nu_e D^{*+}$	7	2886	7040
3	$\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+} D^{*-}$	4	1691	8731
$\overline{4}$	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+} \gamma^F$	10	1623	10354
5	$\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- D^{*+}$	40	1429	11783
rest	others (3272 in total)		34103	45886

#### <sup>569</sup> *3.5. Mothers of particles*

<sup>570</sup> Occasionally, we may want to check the mothers of certain particles. The following example s<sub>51</sub> shows the associated item also with the two particles  $D^{*+}$  and  $J/\psi$  set as research objects. The input to this item is identical to those to the two items above. <sup>572</sup> input to this item is identical to those to the two items above.

- <sup>574</sup> % Component analysis mothers of particles
- <sup>575</sup> {
- $576$  D<sup>\*</sup>+ Dsp 5 <sup>577</sup> J/psi Jpsi 5
- <sup>578</sup> }
- 579

573

580 Table [6](#page-18-1) shows the mothers of  $D^{*+}$ . Notably, the PDG codes of the mother particles, instead of

<sup>581</sup> additional indices, are listed in the table, since they are sufficient to tag the mother particles.

From the table, six sources of  $D^{*+}$  are found in the input sample and the dominant one is the  $\bar{B}^0$ 582

<span id="page-18-1"></span><sup>583</sup> decay.

Table 6: Mothers of  $D^{*+}$ .

rowNo	mother of $D^{*+}$	PDGMoth	nCase	nCCase
	$\bar{B}^0$	$-511$	41751	41751
$\overline{c}$	$R^0$	511	2983	44734
3	$D_1'^+$	20413	455	45189
4	$D_1^+$	10413	368	45557
5	$D_{2}^{*+}$	415	247	45804
rest	others (1 in total)		82	45886

#### <span id="page-19-2"></span><sup>584</sup> *3.6. Cascade decay branches of particles*

585 Sometimes, the invariant mass constraint is applied to certain particle and the signal pro-<sup>586</sup> cess is its cascade decay branch. In this case, it is necessary to investigate the cascade decay <sup>587</sup> branches of the particle, rather than its first decay branches, so as to analyze the backgrounds effectively. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two particles *B* 0 588  $589$  and  $D^0$  as objects of study. While the first three columns of the input to this item have the same 590 meanings as those to the three items above, the additional fourth column sets the maximum hier-591 archy of decay branches to be examined. Here, the hierarchy reflects the rank of a decay branch <sup>592</sup> in a cascade decay branch of one specific particle. For instance, in the following cascade decay branch of  $B^0$ :  $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0$ <br>  $m' \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$   $n \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  the high ້<br>•້ 0ς<br>Γ  $\boldsymbol{0}$  $\pi^+ D^{*-}$ ,  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+$ <br>hies of the seven .<br>ir  $\overline{\rho}^{-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^{-} \overline{D}^{0}, \overline{D}^{0} \to \eta \eta', \eta \to \pi^{0}$ <br>
odividual decay branches are 1 2 2 3 π  $\boldsymbol{0}$ π 593 cay branch of  $B^0$ :  $B^0$   $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \rho^0 \pi^+ D^{*-}$ ,  $\rho^0$   $\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $D^{*-}$   $\rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0$ ,  $\bar{D}^0$   $\rightarrow \eta \eta'$ ,  $\eta$   $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ , and 5, respectively. In the example, the maximum hierarchy of decay branches is set to two for <sup>594</sup> η' → π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup>η, η → γγ, the hierarchies of the seven individual decay branches are 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 4, and 5, respectively. In the example, the maximum hierarchy of decay branches is set to two for  $_{596}$  both  $B^0$  and  $D^0$ , and hence only the first two hierarchies of branches in their cascade decays will <sup>597</sup> be investigated. Without such settings, all the branches in their cascade decays will be examined. 598 <sup>599</sup> % Component analysis — cascade decay branches of particles

```
600 {
601 B0 B0 5 2
602 D0 D0 5 2
602 \frac{1}{2}
```
604

<span id="page-19-0"></span> $\epsilon$ <sub>605</sub> The cascade decay branches of  $B^0$  are displayed in Table [7.](#page-19-0)

Table 7: Cascade decay branches of  $B^0$  (only the first two hierarchies are involved).

rowNo	cascade decay branch of $B^0$	<i>i</i> CascDcyBrsP	nCase	nCCase
	$B^0 \to \mu^+\nu_\mu D^{*-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	12	2912	2912
$\mathfrak{D}$	$B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}$ , $D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	6	1991	4903
3	$B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, D^{*-} \to \pi^0 D^-$	70	1283	6186
4	$B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F$ , $D^{*-} \rightarrow \pi^- \bar{D}^0$	18	1132	7318
5	$B^0 \to D^{*-} D^{*+}_{s}$ , $D^{*-} \to \pi^{-} \bar{D}^{0}$ , $D^{*+}_{s} \to D^{+}_{s} \gamma$	20	1119	8437
rest	$B^0 \rightarrow$ others (42074 in total)		91594	100031

<span id="page-19-3"></span><sup>606</sup> *3.7. Decay final states of particles*

607 When the invariant mass constraint is applied to certain particle reconstructed directly from <sup>608</sup> a specific final state, it is significant to examine the decay final states of the particle, rather than <sup>609</sup> its first or cascade decay branches, in order to study the backgrounds effectively. The following  $\epsilon$ <sup>10</sup> example shows the associated item also with the two particles  $B^0$  and  $D^0$  set as research objects.  $611$  The format of the input to the item is the same as that to the above item, but the fourth parameters  $612$  here are designed to restrict the numbers of final state particles. Without the fourth parameters, 613 all the decay final states of the specified particles will be investigated. In the example, the pa- $614$  rameters are set to three for both  $B^0$  and  $D^0$ , and thus only the three-body decay final states of <sup>615</sup> them will be examined. 616

<sup>617</sup> % Component analysis — decay final states of particles <sup>618</sup> { <sup>619</sup> B0 B0 5 3 <sup>620</sup> D0 D0 5 3 <sup>621</sup> } 62)

<span id="page-19-1"></span>Equal table [8](#page-19-1) shows the three-body decay final states of  $D^0$ . In the table,  $\pi^0$  only decays to  $\gamma\gamma$ ; other-<br>the table is will be replaced with its decay products resulting in different decay final states of  $D^0$  $\epsilon$ <sup>24</sup> wise, it will be replaced with its decay products, resulting in different decay final states of  $D^0$ .

Table 8: Decay final states of  $D^0$  (only three-body final states are involved).

rowNo	decay final state of $D^0$	iDcyFStP	nCase	nCCase
	$D^0 \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$	2	6258	6258
2	$D^0 \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu K^-$	5	1487	7745
3	$D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		1162	8907
$\overline{4}$	$D^0 \dashrightarrow K_I^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$	3	1158	10065
5	$D^0 \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e K^-$	11	1148	11213
rest	$D^0$ --+ others (24 in total)		2407	13620

## <span id="page-20-2"></span><sup>625</sup> *3.8. Inclusive decay branches*

<sup>626</sup> In a few physics studies, we take inclusive decay branches as signals. In such cases, it is es-<sup>627</sup> sential to have a basic knowledge of the exclusive components of these inclusive decay branches. 628 Below is an example demonstrating the related item by investigating the exclusive components of the two inclusive decay branches  $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+} +$  *anything* and  $B^0 \to K_S^0 +$  *anything*. In the 630 item, each row holds the information of an inclusive decay branch, and the first, second, and <sup>631</sup> third columns separated with the symbol "&" are the textual expressions, aliases, and maximum <sup>632</sup> numbers of output components, respectively. As we introduce at the beginning part of this sec-<sup>633</sup> tion, the aliases and maximum numbers of output components are both optional. Here, we note <sup>634</sup> that the symbol "−" can be used as a placeholder for an unassigned alias, if only the maximum <sup>635</sup> number of output components is desired.

```
636
637 % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches
638 {
639 B0 −−> D<sup>*</sup>+ & B2Dsp & 5<br>640 B0 −−> K S0 & B2Ks & 5
640 B0 −−> K S0 & B2Ks & 5
641 | }
642
```
<span id="page-20-1"></span> $\epsilon_{43}$  The exclusive components of  $B^0 \to K_S^0 +$  *anything* are displayed in Table [9.](#page-20-1) From the table, <sup>644</sup> ten exclusive components of the inclusive decay branch are found in the input sample, and the <sup>645</sup> particles denoted with *anything* are mainly the traditional charmonium states.

rowNo	exclusive component of $B^0 \to K_S^0$ + anything iDcyBrIncDcyBr		nCase	nCCase
	$B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi$	$\theta$	45	45
$\overline{2}$	$B^0 \to K_S^0 \eta_c$		40	85
	$B^0 \to K_{\rm s}^0 \psi'$		33	118
	$B^0 \to K_S^0 \chi_{c1}$		20	138
5	$B^0 \to K_S^0 \chi_{c0}$		6	144
rest	$B^0 \rightarrow K_c^0$ + others (5 in total)		9	153

Table 9: Exclusive components of  $B^0 \to K_S^0$  + *anything*.

<span id="page-20-0"></span><sup>646</sup> *3.9. Intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches*

<sup>647</sup> In many research works, we take multi-body decay branches as signals. On such occasions, <sup>648</sup> it is fundamental to investigate the intermediate resonances involved in these decay branches. <sup>649</sup> In other words, we need to examine the exclusive components of these IRA decay branches. The following example shows the associated item with the two IRA decay branches *D*<sup>∗+</sup> --652 inclusive decay branches, the format of the input to the item for IRA decay branches is identical  $\pi^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}K^{-}$  and *J*/ψ  $\rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  set as objects of study. Since IRA decay branches look like<br>
inclusive decay branches, the format of the input to the item for IRA decay branches is identical <sup>653</sup> to that for inclusive decay branches, which is introduced in the previous subsection. 654

```
655 % Component analysis — intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches
656 {
657 D*+ −−> K− pi+ pi+ pi0 & Dsp2K3Pi & 5
        658 J/psi −−> pi+ pi− pi0 & Jpsi23Pi & 5
659 }
660
```
- Table [10](#page-21-0) shows the exclusive components of  $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ . From the table, two interme-<br><sup>661</sup> diate particles  $D^0$  and  $D^+$  are found in the IRA decay branch, and they decay to  $\pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$  and diate particles *D*<sup>0</sup> and *D*<sup>+</sup> are found in the IRA decay branch, and they decay to  $\pi^0$ <br> $\pi^+\pi^+K^-$  respectively
- <span id="page-21-0"></span><sup>662</sup> diate particles  $D^0$  and  $D^+$  are found in the IRA decay branch, and they decay to  $\pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$  and  $\pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$  respectively + $\pi^+\pi^+K^-$ , respectively.

Table 10: Exclusive components of  $D^{*+}$  -- $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ .

rowNo	exclusive component of $D^{*+}$ $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ iDcyBrIRADcyBr nCase nCCase		
	1 $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0, D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$	3869	3869
	2 $D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+$ , $D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	1102.	4971

<sup>664</sup> *3.10. Essential topology tags*



<span id="page-21-1"></span>

 Table [11](#page-21-1) lists and interprets all of the essential topology tags involved in the component analysis functionalities. The topology tag for the component analysis over decay initial-final states is iDcyIFSts. It has a similar interpretation as iDcyTr and is shown in the third column of Table [3.](#page-17-0) For the latter seven kinds of component analysis, there are two sorts of topology 669 tags. The first sort, such as nPDcyBr<sub>-1</sub>, records the number of instances of the i<sup>th</sup> specified particle or decay branch found in each event. The second sort, for example,  $iDcyBrP_i_i$ , keeps the associated index of the j<sup>th</sup> found instance of the i<sup>th</sup> specified particle or decay branch. The indices and the decays they stand for can be found in Tables  $4 - 10$  $4 - 10$ .

 $673$  In the topology tags, "i" in " $\perp$ " is the default index of the specified particle or decay branch,  $674$  and it ranges from 0 (included) to the number of specified particles or decay branches (excluded). <sup>675</sup> If the alias of the particle or decay branch is also specified, the index "i" will be replaced with

the alias. For example, since "Dsp" and "Jpsi" are set as the aliases of  $D^{*+}$  and  $J/\psi$  in the anglo-set as proponent analysis over their decay branches, the specialized topology tags pPDcyRr Dsp and component analysis over their decay branches, the specialized topology tags nPDcyBr. Dsp and nPDcyBr Jpsi, instead of the default ones nPDcyBr 0 and nPDcyBr 1, are used to store the <sup>679</sup> numbers of *D*<sup>\*+</sup> and *J*/ψ found in each event.

<sup>680</sup> In addition, "j" in "<sub>-j</sub>" is the default index of the found instance of certain particle or decay 681 branch in an event, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the sample-level maximum of the number 682 of the particles or decay branches found in each event (excluded). For example, the maximum of the number of  $D^{*+}$  found in each event is two for the whole sample, and thus two topology tags 684 iDcyBrP\_Dsp\_0 and iDcyBrP\_Dsp\_1 are employed to store the indices of  $D^{*+}$  decay branches. These indices range from 0 (included) to the number of the types of  $D^{*+}$  decay branches found in the samples (excluded). In the events with only one  $D^{*+}$ , iDcyBrP\_Dsp\_1 is assigned with  $\epsilon_{687}$  the default value −1; in the events that have no  $D^{*+}$ , the default value −1 is assigned to both 688 iDcyBrP\_Dsp\_0 and iDcyBrP\_Dsp\_1. We note that different from all other indices, PDGMoth  $\text{Li}$ has the default value 0, instead of −1.

## <span id="page-22-0"></span>4. Signal identification

 $\frac{691}{691}$  Signal identification is the other functionality of the program. Though relatively simple, it can help us identify the "signals" we desire directly, quickly, and easily. Here, the "signals" <sub>693</sub> are not confined to the authentic signals in our research works but can be any physics processes of interests, particularly some important backgrounds we concern. At present, the following eight kinds of signals can be identified with the program: (1) decay trees, (2) decay initial-final states, (3) particles, (4) (regular) decay branches, (5) cascade decay branches, (6) inclusive decay 697 branches, (7) inclusive cascade decay branches, and (8) IRA decay branches. For each kind of <sub>698</sub> signals, one item is developed to specify related parameters. This section introduces the eight kinds of signal identification, with each in a subsection. In each subsection, we take an example to demonstrate the related setting item and show the obtained topology map. For easy exposition, all of the essential topology tags involved in the signal identification functionalities are presented in another separate subsection, that is, the last subsection.

 Similar to the cases of the latter seven kinds of component analysis, one or more signals can be specified in each of the signal identification items, and two signals are set in the following examples to illustrate the use of the items. Besides, meaning aliases can also be optionally assigned to the specified signals so as to better tag them in the names of the TBranch objects appended in the TTree object of the output root files.

#### <span id="page-22-1"></span>*4.1. Decay trees*

 Sometimes, we need to identify certain decay trees. The following example shows the asso- ciated item with the first two decay trees listed in Table [2](#page-16-0) set as signals. In the item, each row holds a decay branch in the decay trees, and the first, second, and third columns separated with the symbol "&" are the indices, textual expressions, and mother indices of the decay branches, respectively. The decay branches with index 0 indicate the beginning of new decay trees, and their mother indices are equal to −1, suggesting they have no mother branches because they are the first decay branches of the decay trees. Besides, the name of each decay tree can be option- ally filled in the fourth column of its first decay branch. Similar to the third parameter in the item for the component analysis over decay trees (see Section [3.1\)](#page-15-0), a "Y" can be optionally filled in the fifth column of the first decay branch of the first decay tree, to adjust the positions of decay final states in the output pdf file.

% Signal identification — decay trees

```
722 {
723 0 & Upsilon(4S) −−> B0 anti-B0 & −1 & 1stDcyTrInTb2 & Y<br>
724 1 & B0 −−> e+ nu.e D<sup>*</sup>− gamma & 0
724 1 & B0 --> e+ nu e D<sup>*</sup>- gamma & 0<br>725 2 & anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu mu D<sup>*</sup>+ &
725 2 & anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu_mu D<sup>*</sup>+ & 0<br>726 3 & D<sup>*</sup>- --> pi- anti-D0 & 1
726 3 & D<sup>*</sup>− --> pi- anti-D0 & 1<br>727 4 & D<sup>*</sup>+ --> pi+ D0 & 2
727 4 & D<sup>*</sup>+ --> pi+ D0 & 2<br>728 5 & anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+
728 5 & anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+ & 3<br>729 6 & D0 --> pi0 pi+ K- & 4
                          D0 --> pi0 pi+ K− & 4
730
731 0 & Upsilon(4S) −−> B0 anti-B0 & −1 & 2ndDcyTrInTb2
732 1 & B0 --> mu+ nu_mu D<sup>*</sup>- & 0<br>733 2 & anti-B0 --> rho- D<sup>*</sup>+ & 0
733 2 & anti-B0 --> rho- D<sup>*</sup>+ & 0<br>734 3 & D<sup>*</sup>- --> pi- anti-D0 & 1
734 3 & D<sup>*</sup>− --> pi- anti-D0 & 1<br>735 4 & rho- --> pi0 pi- & 2
735 4 & rho− --> pi0 pi- & 2<br>736 5 & D<sup>*</sup>+ --> pi0 D+ & 2
736 5 & D<sup>*</sup>+ --> pi0 D+ & 2<br>737 6 & anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+
737 6 & anti-D0 --> pi0 pi- K+ & 3<br>738 7 & D+ --> pi+ pi+ K- & 5
            738 7 & D+ −−> pi+ pi+ K− & 5
739 }
740
```
<span id="page-23-0"></span><sup>741</sup> Table [12](#page-23-0) shows the resulting topology map. The results are the same as those displayed in the <sup>742</sup> first two rows of Table [2.](#page-16-0)

Table 12: Signal decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

rowNo	signal decay tree (signal decay initial-final states)	iSigDcyTr	nEtr	$nCE$ fr
	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0,$ $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ , $\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$ , $D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F)$	0		
	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \rho^- D^{*+}, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0,$ $\rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-, D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D^+, \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+, D^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-)$			

<span id="page-23-1"></span><sup>743</sup> *4.2. Decay initial-final states*

Table 13: Signal decay initial-final states.

rowNo	signal decay initial-final states	iSigDcyIFSts2	nEtr	nCEtr
	$\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$			18
	$\Upsilon(4S)$ --+ $\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^-$			36

 In a few cases, we have an interest in some decay initial-final states. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the first two decay initial-final states listed in Table 3 demonstrating the related item by taking the first two decay initial-final states listed in Table [3](#page-17-0) as signals. Similar to IRA decay branches, decay initial-final states look like inclusive decay branches. Hence, except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item for decay initial-final states is identical to that for the component analysis over inclu- sive decay branches, which is introduced in Section [3.8.](#page-20-2) As we can see from the example, the numbers of identical particles are supported to be written in front of their textual names in order to simplify the textual expressions of the final states. The obtained topology map is displayed in to simplify the textual expressions of the final states. The obtained topology map is displayed in  $752$  Table [13.](#page-23-1) The results are identical to those shown in the first two rows of Table [3.](#page-17-0)

753

<sup>754</sup> % Signal identification — decay initial-final states

<sup>755</sup> {



<sup>757</sup> Y(4S) −−> 5 pi0 5 pi<sup>+</sup> 5 pi<sup>−</sup> <sup>K</sup><sup>+</sup> <sup>K</sup><sup>−</sup> & 2ndDcyIFStsInTb3

}

## *4.3. Particles*

 Occasionally, we may want to identify some particles. The following example shows the associated item with the two particles  $D^{*+}$ <sup>761</sup> associated item with the two particles  $D^{*+}$  and  $J/\psi$  set as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over decay branches of particles, which is introduced in Section [3.3.](#page-17-2)

 % Signal identification — particles { D\*+ Dsp J/psi Jpsi } 

<span id="page-24-0"></span>Tri Table [14](#page-24-0) shows the resulting topology map. As a cross-check, the number of  $D^{*+}$ s in the table equals those in Tables [4,](#page-17-1) [5,](#page-18-0) and [6.](#page-18-1)

Table 14: Signal particles.

rowNo	signal particle iSigP		nCase	nCCase
	$D^{*+}$	$\theta$	45886	45886
	$J/\psi$		2654	48540

## *4.4. Decay branches*

 On some occasions, we have to identify certain regular decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two decay branches  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$  and  $B^0 \to K^0 I/\nu t$  as signals. Since regular decay branches also look like inclusive decay branches  $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 J/\psi$  as signals. Since regular decay branches also look like inclusive decay branches,<br>regraded that only two columns are involved in the item the format of the input to the item for reg- except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item for reg- ular decay branches is identical to that for the component analysis over inclusive decay branches, which is introduced in Section [3.8.](#page-20-2)

```
781 % Signal identification — decay branches
782 {
783 anti-B0 −−> mu− anti-nu mu D<sup>*</sup>+ & B2munuDsp<br>
\frac{784}{784} B0 −−> K S0 I/psi & B2KsIpsi
             784 B0 −−> K S0 J/psi & B2KsJpsi
```

```
785 }
786
```
<span id="page-24-1"></span> The obtained topology map is displayed Table [15.](#page-24-1) For cross-checks, we note that the number of <sup>788</sup>  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} (B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi)$  in the table is equal to that in the first row of Table [5](#page-18-0) [\(9\)](#page-20-1).

Table 15: Signal decay branches.

rowNo	signal decay branch iSigDcyBr nCase nCCase			
	$\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$	O	4154	4154
	$B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi$		45	4199

#### *4.5. Cascade decay branches*

 Sometimes, we are interested in certain cascade decay branches. The following example shows the associated item with the two cascade decay branches  $B^0 \to D^{*-}D^{*+}_{s}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to \pi^{-} \bar{D}^0$ ,  $D^{*+} \to D^{+} \to D^{*-}D^{*+}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to D^{*-}D^{*-}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to D^{*-}D^{*-}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to D^{*-}D^{*-}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to \pi^{-} \bar{D}^0$ , set as signals. W  $D_s^{*+} \to D_s^* \gamma$  and  $B^0 \to D^{*-} D_s^{*+}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$  set as signals. While the first cascade decay

 branch is identical to the fifth one in Table [7,](#page-19-0) the second is only part of it, which demonstrates that the cascade decay branches supported in the item are not necessarily fully specified at the level of certain hierarchy. Similar to decay trees, cascade decay branches are made up of regular decay branches. Hence, the format of the input to the item for cascade decay branches is identical to that for decay trees, which is introduced in Section [4.1.](#page-22-1) 798

```
799 % Signal identification — cascade decay branches
800 {
801 0 & B0 --> D<sup>*</sup>- D_s<sup>*</sup>+ & -1<br>802 1 & D<sup>*</sup>- --> pi- anti-D0 & 0
802 1 & D<sup>*</sup>− --> pi- anti-D0 & 0<br>803 2 & D_s<sup>*</sup>+ --> D_s+ gamma &
                   & D_s<sup>*</sup>+ --> D_s+ gamma & 0
804
805 0 & B0 --> D<sup>*</sup>- D_s<sup>*</sup>+ & -1<br>806 1 & D<sup>*</sup>- --> pi- anti-D0 & C
             1 & D<sup>*</sup>− −−> pi− anti-D0 & 0
807 }
808
```
<span id="page-25-0"></span>809 Table [16](#page-25-0) shows the resulting topology map. As a cross-check, the number of cases of the first 810 cascade decay branch in the table equals that of the fifth cascade decay branch in Table [7.](#page-19-0)

Table 16: Signal cascade decay branches.

rowNo	signal cascade decay branch	iSigCascDcyBrs nCase nCCase		
	$B^0 \to D^{*-} D^{*+}_s, D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0, D^{*+}_s \to D^+_s \gamma$		1119	1119
	$B^0 \to D^{*-} D^{*+}$ , $D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$		1180	2299

<sup>811</sup> *4.6. Inclusive decay branches*

812 In a few cases, we have to identify some inclusive decay branches. Below is an example 813 demonstrating the related item by taking the two inclusive decay branches  $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}$  + *anything* <sup>814</sup> and  $B^0 \to K_S^0$  + *anything* as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the 815 format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over inclusive decay 816 branches, which is introduced in Section [3.8.](#page-20-2) 817

```
818 % Signal identification — inclusive decay branches
819 {
820 anti-B0 --> D<sup>*</sup>+ & B2Dsp<br>B0 --> K S0 & B2Ks
            B0 −−> K_S0 & B2Ks
822 }
```
823

<span id="page-25-1"></span><sup>824</sup> The obtained topology map is displayed in Table [17.](#page-25-1) As a cross-check, the number of  $B^0 \rightarrow$ <sup>825</sup>  $K_S^0$  + *anything* in the table equals that in Table [9.](#page-20-1)

Table 17: Signal inclusive decay branches.

rowNo	signal inclusive decay branch iSigIncDcyBr nCase nCCase		
1	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}$ + anything	41751	41751
	$B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 + anything$	153	41904

<sup>826</sup> *4.7. Inclusive cascade decay branches*

827 Occasionally, we may have an interest in certain inclusive cascade decay branches. The <sup>828</sup> following example shows the associated item with the two inclusive cascade decay branches  $\overline{B}^0 \to D^{*+}$  + *anything*,  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$  and  $B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi$ ,  $K_S^0 \to \pi^+$ <sup>829</sup>  $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+}$  + *anything*,  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$  and  $B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi$ ,  $K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ ,  $J/\psi \to \mu^+$  + *anything* set

830 as signals. Similar to decay trees and cascade decay branches, inclusive cascade decay branches 831 are made up of regular decay branches. Hence, the format of the input to the item for inclusive <sup>832</sup> cascade decay branches is also identical to that for decay trees, which is introduced in Section 833 [4.1.](#page-22-1) and the independent textual name "\*" denotes anything.

```
835 % Signal identification — inclusive cascade decay branches
836 {
837 0 & anti-B0 --> D<sup>*</sup>+ * & -1<br>838 1 & D<sup>*</sup>+ --> pi+ D0 & 0
                 & \mathbb{D}^{*+} --> pi+ D0 & 0
839
840 0 & B0 --> K_S0 J/psi & -1<br>841 1 & K S0 --> pi+ pi- & 0
841 1 & K S0 --> pi+ pi- & 0<br>842 2 & I/psi --> mu+ * & 0
          2 & J/psi --> mu+ * & 0
842844
```
<span id="page-26-0"></span>845 Table [18](#page-26-0) shows the resulting topology map.

Table 18: Signal inclusive cascade decay branches.

rowNo	signal inclusive cascade decay branch	iSigIncCascDcyBrs nCase nCCase		
	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}$ + anything, $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^+ D^0$		28367	28367
	$B^0 \to K_S^0 J/\psi, K_S^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-, J/\psi \to \mu^+ + anything$			28368

<sup>846</sup> *4.8. Intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches*

847 On some occasions, we need to identify certain IRA decay branches. Below is an example demonstrating the related item by taking the two IRA decay branches  $D^{*+}$  -→  $\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$  and  $L_1 / L_{-}$   $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item the formation  $J/\psi$   $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$  as signals. Except that only two columns are involved in the item, the format of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over IRA decay branches. <sup>850</sup> of the input to the item is identical to that for the component analysis over IRA decay branches,  $851$  which is introduced in Section [3.9.](#page-20-0) 852 <sup>853</sup> % Signal identification — intermediate-resonance-allowed decay branches  $954$ 

```
855 D^* + −−> K− pi+ pi+ pi0 & Dsp2K3Pi<br>856 I/Dsi −−> pi+ pi− pi0 & Insi23Pi
```
<sup>856</sup> <sup>J</sup>/psi −−> pi<sup>+</sup> pi<sup>−</sup> pi0 & Jpsi23Pi

834

<span id="page-26-1"></span><sup>859</sup> The obtained topology map is displayed in Table [19.](#page-26-1) For the purpose of cross-checks, we note that the number of  $D^{*+}$  -- $\rightarrow \pi^0$ +<sup>860</sup> note that the number of  $D^{*+}$  -- $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$  in the table is equal to that in Table [10.](#page-21-0)

Table 19: Signal IRA decay branches.

rowNo	signal IRA decay branch iSigIRADcyBr nCase nCCase			
	1 $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	$\bullet$ $\bullet$	4971	4971
	$J/\psi \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$		59	5030

#### <sup>861</sup> *4.9. Essential topology tags*

<sup>862</sup> Table [20](#page-27-1) summarizes and explains all of the essential topology tags involved in the signal <sup>863</sup> identification functionalities. For signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states, there are <sup>864</sup> two sorts of topology tags. The first sort of tags, iSigDcyTr and iSigDcyIFSts, record the default <sup>865</sup> indices of the specified signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states. They have similar <sup>866</sup> interpretations as iDcyTr and iDcyIFSts, and are shown in the third columns of Tables [12](#page-23-0) and

<sup>857</sup> } 858

867 [13.](#page-23-1) The second sort of tags, nameSigDcyTr and nameSigDcyIFSts, save the specified aliases of <sup>868</sup> the signal decay trees and signal decay initial-final states. In cases the aliases are not specified, 869 empty strings will be stored.

<sup>870</sup> For the latter six kinds of signal identification, there is only one sort of topology tags, which 871 records the number of instances of certain specified particle or decay branch found in each event. <sup>872</sup> Similar to the cases in the latter seven kinds of component analysis, in the topology tags, "i" in  $873$  " i" is the default index of the specified particle or decay branch, and it ranges from 0 (included) 874 to the number of specified particles or decay branches (excluded). If the alias of the particle or 875 decay branch is also specified, the index "i" will be replaced with the alias.

<span id="page-27-1"></span>

Signal type	Topology tag	Interpretation
Decay trees	iSigDcyTr	index of signal decay tree
	nameSigDcyTr	name of signal decay tree
Decay initial-final states	iSigDcyIFSts	index of signal decay initial-final states
	nameSigDcyIFSts	name of signal decay initial-final states
Particles	$nSigP_i$	number of signal particle <sub>i</sub> s
Decay branches	$nSigDevBr_i$	number of signal decay branch <sub>i</sub> es
Cascade decay branches	nSigCascDcyBr_i	number of signal cascade decay branch <sub>i</sub> es
Inclusive decay branches	nSigIncDcyBr_i	number of signal inclusive decay branch <sub>i</sub> es
Inclusive cascade decay branches	nSigIncCascDcyBr_i	number of signal inclusive cascade decay branch <sub>i</sub> es
<b>IRA</b> decay branches	nSigIRADcyBr_i	number of signal IRA decay branchas

Table 20: Essential topology tags involved in each kind of signal identification.

#### <span id="page-27-0"></span>876 5. Common settings

<sup>877</sup> From Sections [3](#page-14-0) and [4,](#page-22-0) the optional parameters of the functionality items give us more 878 choices and thus help us do our jobs quicker and better. In addition to these parameters, many 879 optional items are designed and implemented to control the execution of the program in order to 880 meet practical needs. Unlike the optional parameters, which only affect the individual function-<sup>881</sup> alities to which they belong, the optional items have an impact on all of the functionalities, or at 882 least most of the functionalities. The current version of the program contains 25 commonly used 883 items, which can be divided into the following three groups: items on the input of the program, <sup>884</sup> items on the functionalities of the program, and items on the output of the program. This section <sup>885</sup> introduces these items in the three groups, with each group in one subsection.

<sup>886</sup> Here, we note that, in addition to these optional items, two kinds of special optional param-887 eters of some functionality items are also introduced in this section. To be specific, they are 888 presented in the last two paragraphs of Section [5.1.3](#page-30-0) and the whole text of Section [5.2.3.](#page-36-0)

## <sup>889</sup> *5.1. Settings on the input of the program*

#### <sup>890</sup> *5.1.1. Input entries*

<sup>891</sup> The program normally processes all of the entries in the input samples, but sometimes only 892 a part of the entries are needed to be (first) processed. Running the program over a big sample 893 usually takes a long time. In such a case, it is a good habit to run the program first over a small <sup>894</sup> part of the sample to check possible exceptions, and then over the whole sample if no exceptions 895 are found or after the found exceptions are handled. Besides, a small number of entries is usually 896 sufficient to do tests in the development of the program. For these reasons, an item is developed 897 to set up the maximum number of entries to be processed. Below is an example showing the item 898 with the maximum number set at two thousand.

899 <sup>900</sup> % Maximum number of entries to be processed <sup>901</sup> {  $902$  2000 <sup>903</sup> } 904 <sup>905</sup> On some occasions, especially in the course of optimizing selection criteria, we need to run <sup>906</sup> the program only over entries satisfying certain requirements. For this purpose, an item is devel-<br><sup>907</sup> oped to select entries. The following example shows the item with X set in the range  $(-1, 1)$ . oped to select entries. The following example shows the item with X set in the range  $(-1, 1)$ . 908 <sup>909</sup> % Cut to select entries <sup>910</sup> { 911  $(X > -1) \& (X < 1)$ 912 913 <sup>914</sup> Notably, in the old versions prior to 02-07-03, only a single-line selection requirement is sup-915 ported in the item, like the cases in the methods  $Draw()$  [\[16\]](#page-44-15) and GetEntries() [\[17\]](#page-44-16) of the class <sup>916</sup> TTree. Though such a requirement is able to express any condition with the help of the paren-917 theses "()" as well as the logical symbols "&&", "||", and "!", it looks clumsy when it is used to 918 express a complicated condition. Starting from the version 02-07-03, the cuts supported in the 919 item are also allowed to be divided into two or more lines in order to make them clearer.  $\frac{920}{2}$  Occasionally, array variables are involved in the requirement. Under the circumstances, users have to tell the program how to determine the total logical value with the individual logical value have to tell the program how to determine the total logical value with the individual logical val-<sup>922</sup> ues. At present, two criteria are provided: (1) the total result is true as long as the result for <sup>923</sup> one instance is true; (2) the total result is false as long as the result for one instance is false. By 924 default, the second criterion is used in the program. One can alter it to the first one with the 925 following item. 926 <sup>927</sup> % Method to apply cut to array variables (Two options: T and F. Default: T) <sup>928</sup> {  $929$  F <sup>930</sup> } 931 932 In the item, "T" and "F" stand for the first and second criteria, respectively. Notably, the default

933 option for the item is altered from "F" back to "T" since the version 02-08-05, so as to keep 934 consistent with the ROOT system.

<span id="page-28-1"></span><span id="page-28-0"></span><sup>935</sup> *5.1.2. Input decay branches*

Table 21: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0)$	0	81057	81057
$\overline{c}$	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0B^0$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow B^0B^0)$		9487	90544
3	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0)$		9456	100000

<sup>936</sup> Normally, the program deals with all of the decay branches in every decay tree. However, 937 examining all the branches is not always required in practice. Sometimes, we only concern the

<sup>938</sup> first *n* hierarchies of the branches. Similar to that in cascade decay branches of particles (as we  $\frac{939}{2}$  introduce in Section [3.6\)](#page-19-2), the hierarchy here reflects the rank of a decay branch in a decay tree. For example, in the decay tree  $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0$ ,  $B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \gamma^F$ ,  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$ ,  $D^{*-} \to \pi^- \bar{D}^0$ ,<br> $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0 \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+ \bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ , the hierarchies of the seven individua  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ ,  $\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$ ,  $D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ , the hierarchies<br>are 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, and 4, respectively. The program provides a  $\pi^- K^+$ ,  $D^0 \to \pi^0$ <br>
+, respectively. T <sup>941</sup>  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ ,  $\bar{D}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^- K^+$ ,  $D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ , the hierarchies of the seven individual branches are 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, and 4, respectively. The program provides an item to set the maximum hier-942 are 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, and 4, respectively. The program provides an item to set the maximum hier-943 archy. Below is an example showing the item with the maximum hierarchy set at one. 944

<sup>945</sup> % Maximum hierarchy of heading decay branches to be processed in each event <sup>946</sup> {

- 947 1
- <sup>948</sup> }
- 949

960

<sup>962</sup> {

 $965$ 966

<sup>950</sup> With the setting, the decay branches with hierarchy larger than one will be ignored by the <sup>951</sup> program. For the component analysis over the decay trees of the Υ(4*S* ) sample, only the first <sup>952</sup> hierarchy of Υ(4*S* ) decay branches are analyzed, and the result is shown in Table [21.](#page-28-1) From the table, not only  $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0$  but also  $\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 B^0$  and  $\Upsilon(4S) \to \bar{B}^0 \bar{B}^0$  are seen because of  $B^{0}$ - $\bar{B}^{0}$  mixing. Similarly, in the case of the maximum hierarchy set at two, we could get the result <sup>955</sup> of the component analysis over the first two hierarchies of Υ(4*S* ) decay branches, as displayed 956 in Table [22.](#page-29-0)

Table 22: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states.

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
1	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-})$	936	136	136
2	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-})$	1188	112	248
3	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e \mu^+ \nu_\mu D^{*+} D^{*-})$	268	110	358
4	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to D^{*-} D^{*+}_{s}, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^{-} \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \dashrightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+} D^{*-} D^{*+}_{s})$	2063	72	430
5	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e D^{*-}, \bar{B}^0 \rightarrow e^- \bar{\nu}_e D^{*+}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \longrightarrow e^+e^-\nu_e\bar{\nu}_eD^{*+}D^{*-})$	95	71	501
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (81609 in total) $(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)		99499	100000

957 Sometimes, we do not care about the decay of some particles. One can make the program <sup>958</sup> ignore their decay branches with the following item. With the setting in the example, the decay  $_{959}$  of  $B^0$  and  $\bar{B}^0$  will be ignored by the program.

<sup>961</sup> % Ignore the decay of the following particles <sup>963</sup> B0 <sup>964</sup> anti-B0

967 At some other times, we have interest in the decay of some particles but not in the decay of their <sup>968</sup> daughters. To handle this case, the following item is developed to make the program ignore the  $\frac{1}{2}$  decay of their daughters. In the following example, the decay of the daughters of  $B^0$  and  $\bar{B}^0$  will 970 be ignored by the program. 971

<sup>972</sup> % Ignore the decay of the daughters of the following particles

```
973 {
974 BO
975 anti-B0
976
977
978 The two settings above have the same effects as those in the previous paragraph which set the
979 maximum hierarchy at one and two, and hence the corresponding results are identical to those
2122.
2.6, the decay \pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma is ignored by default. On the occasions when we need to identify the signals involving the decay, we can make the program retain the
982 when we need to identify the signals involving the decay, we can make the program retain the
983 decay with the item below set to "Y".
984
985 % Retain the decay of pi0 to gamma gamma (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
986 {
987 Y<br>988 }
988 }
989
990 Besides, if needed, one can make the program ignore other final decay branches, such as \eta \to \gamma \gamma and K_{\rm c}^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-, with the following item.
     and K_S^0 \to \pi^+991 and K^0_s \to \pi^+\pi^-, with the following item.
992
993 % Ignore the following final decay branches
994 {
```

```
995 eta --> gamma gamma<br>996 K_S0 --> pi+ pi-
          K_S0 --> pi+ pi-
```
}

{

 

#### <span id="page-30-0"></span>*5.1.3. Initial and final state radiation photons*

999 Initial state radiation (ISR) and final state radiation (FSR) are inevitable physical effects in <sup>1000</sup>  $e^+e^-$  colliding experiments. Therefore, ISR and FSR photons are often involved in inclusive MC samples. The program processes them together with other particles in the default case. To distinguish them from other photons, the program tries to label them in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Sometimes, these photons are marked out beforehand with special PDG codes according to particle status information from generators. One can inform the program of these PDG codes by the following two items.

```
1007 % PDG code of ISR photons (Default: 222222222)
1009 222222222
1010 }
1013 % PDG code of FSR photons (Default: −22)
1014 {
1015 -221016 }
```
<sup>1018</sup> In this case, the program is able to label the ISR and FSR photons as  $\gamma^i$  (gammai) and  $\gamma^f$  (gammai) and  $\gamma^f$  (gammai) in the output ndf (plain text) files respectively maf) in the output pdf (plain text) files, respectively.

 On other occasions, ISR and FSR photons are not marked out in advance due to some reasons. In such cases, the program has to identify them by itself according to the following rules: photons who have no mothers recorded in the arrays of the PDG codes and mother indices are considered as generalized ISR photons, while other photons who have at least one  $e^{\pm}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$ ,  $\pi^{\pm}$ ,  $K^{\pm}$ ,  $p$ , or  $\bar{p}$ ,  $\mu^{\pm}$  at the system as generalized ESR photons. Here, the modifier "generalized" is used beca sister are taken as generalized FSR photons. Here, the modifier "generalized" is used because the rules can not determine the types of the photons in absolute accuracy. For example, photons

<sup>1026</sup> from radiative decays might be mistaken as FSR photons. Despite this, generalized ISR and FSR <sup>1027</sup> photons are good concepts, particularly in cases where the sources of the photons are not required to be distinguished clearly. The program will label the generalized ISR and FSR photons as  $\gamma^I$ <br>(gamma) and  $\gamma^F$  (gammaF) in the output pdf (plain text) files, respectively 1028 <sup>1029</sup> (gammaI) and  $\gamma^F$  (gammaF) in the output pdf (plain text) files, respectively.<br>Notably, we are not concerned about these ISR and FSR photons in mar

<sup>1030</sup> Notably, we are not concerned about these ISR and FSR photons in many cases, particularly 1031 when we want to identify our signals from some samples. If they have already been marked out <sup>1032</sup> beforehand, one can make the program ignore them accurately by setting the following two items  $1033$  to "Ys". 1034

<sup>1035</sup> % Ignore ISR photons (Three options: Ys, Yg and N. Default: N) <sup>1036</sup> {  $Y_s$ <sup>1038</sup> } 1039 1040 <sup>1041</sup> % Ignore FSR photons (Three options: Ys, Yg and N. Default: N) <sup>1042</sup> {

<sup>1043</sup> Ys

<sup>1044</sup> }

1045

<sup>1046</sup> In cases that these photons are not marked in advance, the option "Yg" can be used to ignore the 1047 generalized ISR and FSR photons. In "Ys" and "Yg", "s" and "g" are the initials of the words <sup>1048</sup> "strict" and "generalized", respectively.

<sup>1049</sup> Sometimes, it matters to us whether there are or how many ISR or FSR photons in the decay <sup>1050</sup> branches we are concerned with. To obtain the exclusive components of these decay branches <sup>1051</sup> with respect to ISR or FSR photons, one can employ the functionality of component analysis <sup>1052</sup> over inclusive decay branches with the unspecified particles constrained to ISR or FSR photons. <sup>1053</sup> To be specific, an additional fourth, optional parameter in the corresponding item can be set at <sup>1054</sup> "Is", "Ig", "Fs", or "Fg" in order to restrict the remaining particles to strict ISR, generalized ISR, <sup>1055</sup> strict FSR, or generalized FSR photons, respectively. The following example shows the setting item for investigating the generalized FSR photons in the decay branches of  $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-$  and<br><sup>1057</sup>  $\bar{R}^0 \rightarrow \mu^- \bar{\nu}$ ,  $D^{*+}$  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}.$ <br><sup>1058</sup>

```
1058
1059 % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches
1060 {
1061 J/psi --> e+ e- & Jpsi2ee & - & Fg<br>
anti-B0 --> mu- anti-nu_mu D<sup>*</sup>+ & B2munu
           anti-B0 −−> mu− anti-nu mu D<sup>*</sup>+ & B2munuDsp & − & Fg
1063 }
```
Table 23: Exclusive components of  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+} + n \gamma^F$ .

<span id="page-31-0"></span>

Table [23](#page-31-0) shows the obtained exclusive components of  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+} + n\gamma^F$ . As shown in<br>the table, the values of the topology tag "iDcyRrIncDcyRr" are exactly equal to the numbers of <sup>1065</sup> the table, the values of the topology tag "iDcyBrIncDcyBr" are exactly equal to the numbers of <sup>1066</sup> generalized FSR photons in the corresponding exclusive decay branches. According to this point, to identify the decay branch  $\bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu D^{*+}$  with and without generalizied photons, we simply<br>need to require "iDovRrIngDovRr R2munuDsp i >0" and "iDovRrIngDovRr R2munuDsp i − 1068 need to require "iDcyBrIncDcyBr\_B2munuDsp\_i >0" and "iDcyBrIncDcyBr\_B2munuDsp\_i ==  $\frac{1}{1068}$  0". As we mentioned before, "i" in "-i" here is the default index of the found instance of the 0". As we mentioned before, "i" in " i" here is the default index of the found instance of the

<sup>1070</sup> decay branch in an event, and it ranges from 0 (included) to the sample-level maximum of the 1071 number of instances of the decay branch found in each event (excluded).

 The decay branches discussed above are regular decay branches where the particles on the left sides decay directly to the particles on the right sides. On some other occasions, we need to consider the IRA decay branches in the context above. One can make the program handle the IRA decay branches by simply appending a suffix "−IRA" to the fourth parameter "Is", "Ig", "Fs", or "Fg". Here, the suffix "–IRA" is used to notify the program that the specified decay branch is IRA. The example below shows the setting item which examines the generalized FSR tors photons in the IRA decay branches of *D*<sup>\*+</sup>  $-\rightarrow K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0$  and *J*/ψ  $-\rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ .

```
1079
1080 % Component analysis — inclusive decay branches
1081 {
1082 D^*+ −−> K− pi+ pi+ pi0 & Dsp2K3Pi & − & Fg–IRA<br>1082 L/08i −−> pi+ pi− pi0 & Ipsi23Pi & − & Fg–IRA
1083 J/psi −−> pi+ pi− pi0 & Jpsi23Pi & − & Fg−IRA
1084 }
1085
```
The resulting exclusive components of  $D^{*+}$   $\rightarrow$   $K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0 + n\gamma^F$  are displayed in Table [24.](#page-32-0)<br>Similar to those in Table 23, the values of the topology tag "iDevBrIncDevBr" are exactly equal <sup>1087</sup> Similar to those in Table [23,](#page-31-0) the values of the topology tag "iDcyBrIncDcyBr" are exactly equal <sup>1088</sup> to the numbers of generalized FSR photons in the corresponding exclusive IRA decay branches. 1089 Here, we note that, unlike the plain right arrow ( $\rightarrow$ ) in Table [23,](#page-31-0) the dashed right arrow ( $\rightarrow$ ) is <sup>1090</sup> used in this table in order to indicate that the decay branches in the table are IRA.

Table 24: Exclusive components of  $D^{*+}$  --+  $\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$  +  $n\gamma^F$ .

<span id="page-32-0"></span>

rowNo	exclusive component of $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- + n \gamma^F$ iDcyBrIncDcyBr nCase		nCCase
	1 $D^{*+} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^-$	4971	4971
	2 $D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F$	625	5596
	3 $D^{*+} \longrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F \gamma^F$	51	5647
4	$D^{*+} \dashrightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ K^- \gamma^F \gamma^F \gamma^F$		5649

# <sup>1091</sup> *5.2. Settings on the functionalities of the program*

#### <sup>1092</sup> *5.2.1. Candidate based analysis*

 According to the number of signal candidates in an event that are selected and retained to extract physics results, data analysis in high energy experiments can be divided into the following two categories: event based analysis and candidate based analysis. While at most one candidate in an event is kept in event based analysis, one or more candidates in an event can be retained in candidate based analysis. Generally, the quantities related to a candidate are stored in an entry of the TTree objects in the root files. Thus, one or more entries relate to an event in candidate based analysis, while only one entry corresponds to an event in event based analysis. Normally, the indices of candidates within an event are stored in the corresponding entries in candidate based analysis.

 By default, the program analyzes the input entries one by one. In this case, the events with multiple candidates will be processed repeatedly. Particularly, the number of physics processes at the sample level will be overcounted. One can make the program avoid the problem by inputting "Y" to the following item.

1110 }

<sup>1106</sup>

<sup>1107</sup> % Avoid over counting for candidate based analysis (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)

<sup>1108</sup> {

<sup>1109</sup> Y

 Also, the indices of candidates within an event are required. We can tell the program the related TBranch name with the following item.

<sup>1115</sup> % TBranch name of the indices of candidates in an event (Default: \_candidate\_) iCandidate } With the settings, the program will process the first entry of each event in a normal way, including

 obtaining and storing the topology tags; it will not analyze the other entries of the same event, but only store the same topology tags to them.

*5.2.2. Charge conjugation*

 Charge conjugation is an important concept in high energy physics. By default, charge con- jugate objects (particles and decays) are processed separately in the program. However, we need to handle them together in many physics studies because of the sameness between them. One can have the program process them together with the item below set to "Y".

 

{

 % Process charge conjugate objects together (Two options: Y and N. Default: N) { Y

}

 Performing topology analysis with this setting inserts new topology tags in the output root files and adds new counters to topology maps in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. Tables [25](#page-34-0) and [26](#page-35-0) list and interpret all of the topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in the component analysis and signal identification functionalities, respectively.

 As an example, we perform the component analysis over decay trees with the charge con- jugate item. Table [27](#page-35-1) shows the obtained topology map. Besides the columns in Table [2,](#page-16-0) two additional columns with the headers "nCcEtr" and "nAllEtr" are inserted in the table. Here, "nC- cEtr" represents the number of entries involving the charge conjugate decay trees, and "nAllEtr" is the sum of "nEtr" and "nCcEtr". In addition to "iDcyTr", "iCcDcyTr" is also inserted in the output root files as a topology tag. It is short for charge conjugate index of decay tree. For self- charge-conjugate decay trees, it has the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate decay trees, it has the value 1 or −1: while 1 tags the decay trees listed in the topology maps, −1 indicates their charge conjugate decay trees. Whereas the equal values of "iDcyTr" for each decay tree and its charge conjugate decay tree indicate their sameness, the opposite values of "iCcDcyTr" for them reflect their difference.

As another example, we carry out the component analysis over the decay branches of  $D^*$ <sup>+</sup> and *J/ψ*. The resulting topology map of  $D^{*+}$  is displayed in Table [28.](#page-36-1) Compared with Ta-<br>the 4 two new columns are added to the table, and their headers "nCcCase" and "nAllCase" ble [4,](#page-17-1) two new columns are added to the table, and their headers "nCcCase" and "nAllCase" have similar meanings as "nCcEtr" and "nAllEtr" in Table [27.](#page-35-1) For a specified particle, what we want to further record with topology tags are as follows: (1) whether it is self-charge-conjugate; (2) whether its decay branches are self-charge-conjugate, if it is self-charge-conjugate; (3) the number and the indices of the decay branches of its charge-conjugate particle, if it is not self-charge-conjugate. Hence, in addition to "nPDcyBr i" and "iDcyBrP i j", the following topology

1158 tags are also inserted in the output root files: "iCcPDcyBr\_i" for all specified particles; "iCcD-1159 cyBrP\_i\_j" for self-charge-conjugate particles only; and "nCcPDcyBr\_i", "iDcyBrCcP\_i\_i", and <sup>1160</sup> "nAllPDcyBr i" for non-self-charge-conjugate particles only. Here, "iCcPDcyBr i" tags whether the i<sup>th</sup> particle is self-charge-conjugate. For self-charge-conjugate particles, it has the value 0; <sup>1162</sup> for non-self-charge-conjugate particles, it has the value 1.

<span id="page-34-0"></span>Table 25: Topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in each kind of component analysis. For the latter seven kinds of component analysis, the topology tags in the (1) and (2) groups are only designed for the self-charge-conjugate and non-self-charge-conjugate particles and decay branches, respectively. The acronyms "cc" and index<sub>cc</sub> are short for "charge conjugate" and "charge conjugate index", respectively. For self-charge-conjugate objects (particles or decays), the charge conjugate indices have the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate objects, they have the value 1 or −1: while 1 tags the objects presented in the topology maps, −1 indicates their charge conjugate objects.



1163 The topology tag "iCcDcyBrP<sub>-1-j</sub>" records the charge conjugation property of the decay  $_{1164}$  branch of the j<sup>th</sup> instance of the i<sup>th</sup> particle. It is to "iDcyBrP<sub>i</sub> j" what "iCcDcyTr" is to "iDcyTr". The topology tag "iDcyBrCcP<sub>-1</sub>;" is designed for the charge conjugate particle of the i<sup>th</sup> 1165 1166 particle (for *D*<sup>\*−</sup> in this example). It has a similar meaning as "iDcyBrP<sub>-</sub>i\_j". Particularly, the 1167 values of "iDcyBrP\_i\_j" and "iDcyBrCcP\_i\_j" tagging charge conjugate decay branches are equal <sup>1168</sup> to each other. The topology tag "nCcPDcyBr i" stands for the number of the charge conjugate 1169 i<sup>th</sup> particles (or their decay branches) found in each event, and "nAllPDcyBr<sub>-</sub>i" is the sum of 1170 "nPDcyBr\_i" and "nCcPDcyBr\_i".

<span id="page-35-0"></span>Table 26: Topology tags related to charge conjugation involved in each kind of signal identification. For the latter six kinds of signal identification, the topology tags in the (\*) groups are only designed for the non-self-charge-conjugate particles and decay branches. The acronyms "cc" and index<sub>cc</sub> are short for "charge conjugate" and "charge conjugate index", respectively. For self-charge-conjugate objects (particles or decays), the charge conjugate indices have the value 0; for non-self-charge-conjugate objects, they have the value 1 or −1: while 1 tags the objects presented in the topology maps,  $−1$  indicates their charge conjugate objects.



#### Table 27: Decay trees and their respective initial-final states (with the charge conjugation setting).

<span id="page-35-1"></span>

rowNo	decay tree (decay initial-final states)	iDcvTr	nEtr	nCeEtr	nAllEtr	nCEtr
4	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0, B^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \rho^- D^-, \bar{B}^0 \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} D^{*+}, \rho^- \to \pi^0 \pi^-,$ $D^{-} \to \pi^{-} \pi^{-} K^{+}$ , $D^{*+} \to \pi^{+} D^{0}$ , $D^{0} \to K_{I}^{0} \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \to \mu^- \bar{\nu}_{\mu} \pi^0 \pi^0 K_{I}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+)$	5295		$\theta$		
	$\Upsilon(4S) \to B^0 \bar{B}^0$ , $B^0 \to e^+ \nu_e D^{*-} \nu^F$ , $\bar{B}^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- D^{*+}$ , $D^{*-} \to \pi^0 D^-$ , $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0$ , $D^- \to \pi^- \pi^- K^+$ , $D^0 \to \pi^0 \pi^+ K^-$ $(\Upsilon(4S) \to e^+ \nu_e \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- \pi^- K^+ K^- \gamma^F)$	10206				
rest	$\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ others (99969 in total) $(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow$ corresponding to others)				99989	100000

Table 28: Decay branches of  $D^{*+}$  (with the charge conjugation setting).

<span id="page-36-1"></span>

#### <span id="page-36-0"></span><sup>1171</sup> *5.2.3. Reconstruction restrictions on truth particles*

<sup>1172</sup> So far, the five kinds of component analysis with user specified particles, which we introduce  $1173$  in Sections [3.3](#page-17-2)[–3.7,](#page-19-3) are performed indiscriminatingly over all the truth instances of the same <sup>1174</sup> specified particles in the same events. Yet, this is not what analysts desire in many cases of data <sup>1175</sup> analysis. In these cases, rather than all of the truth instances of the specified particles, they are <sup>1176</sup> more concerned about the truth instances that are successfully reconstructed in the step afterward. For example, in the physics studies with  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B^+B^-$  samples, due to the limited detection efficiencies, only in a small fraction of events can we reconstruct both  $B^+$  and  $B^-$ 1178 mesons; in other events, we can only reconstruct at most one  $B^+/B^-$  meson. On such occasions, analysts usually pay more attention to the reconstructed  $B^+/B^-$  mesons and less attention to the <sup>1181</sup> unreconstructed ones.

 In practice, we often use the following kinds of reconstruction information to restrict the truth instances of user specified particles. The most common kind is the charge of the reconstructed candidate. It is used to differentiate two charged conjugate particles from each other. Similarly, a neutral tag with two possible values 1 and −1 can be used to distinguish two neutral conjugate particles. Obviously, for such purposes, the PDG code of the reconstructed candidate applies to both the charged and neutral conjugate particles. The charge, neutral tag, and PDG code are all appropriate for truth-reconstruction matching on the occasion where only a pair of the charge conjugate particles under study is produced in an event. However, in the cases of three or more charge conjugate particles existing in an event, they are not equally effective because two or more truth instances may match one reconstructed candidate. In such cases, the index of the truth instance matched with the reconstructed candidate, obtained with the algorithms or modules within the software system of the experiment in question, is perfect to be used in this <sup>1194</sup> program.

<sup>1195</sup> The reconstruction information is required to be stored in the input TTree object for analysts <sup>1196</sup> to check the topology information of the truth instances of the specified particles matched to <sup>1197</sup> their reconstructed candidates. In the candidate based analysis, the information is often stored in <sup>1198</sup> a scalar TBranch object. In the event based analysis, it is usually held in an array TBranch object, <sup>1199</sup> and meanwhile the number of reconstructed candidates in an event is kept in a scalar TBranch <sup>1200</sup> object as the length of the array.

1201 With the reconstruction information, one can obtain the topology information of the desired truth instances of the specified particles. One method to achieve this is using the setting item with the prompt "% Cut to select entries". For example, to check the decay branches of the reconstructed  $B^+$ , one can require that the charge of the reconstructed candidate is equal to  $+1$ . However, the method is awkward in the following three contexts. (1) It is not handy to process charge conjugate particles together. On the one hand, if the charge conjugation item is turned on  $\frac{1}{207}$  in the example above, the unreconstructed  $B^+$  in the events containing the reconstructed  $B^-$  will contaminate the reconstructed  $B^+$ . On the other hand, processing charge conjugate particles sep- arately requires running the program twice with two input card files, and the obtained results are not merged organically and automatically. (2) Similarly, it is not convenient to process multiple specified particles together. (3) Also, it does not work when the reconstruction quantity is the <sub>1212</sub> index of the truth instance matched with the reconstructed candidate.

 To handily impose reconstruction restrictions on truth particles in the context of charge con- jugation setting, we design and implement an optional parameter in the setting items presented in 1215 Sections  $3.3-3.7$  $3.3-3.7$ . The parameter for each specified particle can be filled in as the fourth param- eter in the corresponding line. In the items for cascade decay branches and decay final states, if the fourth place is already occupied, the parameter should be typed in the fifth place. An example using the parameter in the candidate based analysis is presented as follows.

1219 <sup>1220</sup> % Component analysis — decay branches of particles  $122$  $D^*$ + Dsp 5 c:Dsp\_charge\_s 1222 1224

1225 Here, "c" is the prompt denoting charge, "Dsp\_charge\_s" is the name of the scalar TBranch which <sup>1226</sup> stores the charge of the reconstructed candidate of  $D^{*+}$  and  $D^{*-}$ , and the colon ":" is used as the 1227 separator between "c" and "Dsp\_charge\_s".

<sup>1228</sup> Below is an example demonstrating the use of the parameter in the event based analysis. It is <sup>1229</sup> quite similar to the example above. 1230

```
1231 % Component analysis — decay branches of particles
1232 {
1233 D*+ Dsp 5 C:Dsp charge a:Dsp nRec
1234 }
```
rowNo decay branch of *D* ∗+ iDcyBrP nCase nCcCase nAllCase nCCase 1  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D$ <br>2  $D^{*+} \to 0$  $^{0}$  0 5175 5078 10253 10253 2  $D^{*+} \to \pi^0 D$ <br>2  $D^{*+} \to D^{+}$ <sup>+</sup> 1 2323 2346 4669 14922 3  $D^{*+} \to D^+$  $γ$  2 146 138 284 15206 4  $D^{*+} \to \pi^+ D^0 \gamma$ *<sup>F</sup>* 3 3 2 5 15211

<span id="page-37-0"></span>Table 29: Decay branches of  $D^{*+}$  (with the settings of charge conjugation and reconstruction restriction).

 Notably, instead of the lowercase letter "c" used in the candidate based analysis, the upper- case letter "C" is designed as the prompt denoting charge in the event based analysis. In addition, "Dsp charge a" is the name of the array TBranch storing the charges of the reconstructed can-<sup>1238</sup> didates of *D*<sup>\*+</sup> and *D*<sup>\*-</sup>, and "Dsp\_nRec" is the name of the scalar TBranch storing the number of their reconstructed candidates in an event. The topology map obtained with this item plus the

charge conjugation item is displayed in Table [29.](#page-37-0)

 Constrained with the charges of their reconstructed candidates, the number of truth instances of <sup>1242</sup> *D*<sup>\*+</sup> and *D*<sup>\*-</sup> listed in this table is significantly less than that recorded in Table [28.](#page-36-1) Here, it is worth noting that the number,15211, is larger than the number of reconstructed candidates of <sup>1244</sup> *D*<sup>\*+</sup> and *D*<sup>\*-</sup>, 13808. This is because two or more truth instances of *D*<sup>\*+</sup> or *D*<sup>\*-</sup> can match the charge of one reconstruction candidate, as we remark at the end of the second paragraph in this subsubsection.

 Table [30](#page-38-0) summarizes the formats of the optional parameter associated with five kinds of re- construction information. In the candidate based analysis, the lowercase substring "c", "n", "!n", "p", or "i" is used as the prompt of the parameter, and the prompt is followed by the name of the scalar TBranch which stores the related reconstruction quantity. In the event based analysis, the uppercase substring "C", "N", "!N" "P", and "I" is used as the prompt of the parameter, and the prompt is followed by the two names of the array TBranch storing the associated reconstruction quantity and the scalar TBranch holding the number of reconstructed candidates in an event. As mentioned previously, the neutral tag with two possible values 1 and −1 can be used to differ- entiate two neutral conjugate particles from each other. Internally, the program compares the neutral tag of a specified particle with its charge conjugate index listed in the fifth column of the file "pid 3pchrg txtpnm texpnm iccp.dat" under the "share" directory. Obviously, there is a possibility that the assignment convention of the neutral tag is opposite to that of the charge conjugate index. In this case, please add an exclamation mark "!" in front of "n" or "N" to make the program use the opposite values of the neutral tag for comparisons.



<span id="page-38-0"></span>Table 30: Formats of the optional parameter used for imposing restrictions on the truth instances of the specified particles with their respective reconstruction information.

*5.2.4. Settings only on signal identification*

1262 Normally, the signals specified in the signal identification functionality items are both tagged and counted by executing the program one time. In the case of a huge sample that will take a long time, it is a good idea to first tag the signals with multiple jobs each running on one machine, and then count the tagged signals together. One can make the program carry out the idea by setting 1266 the following item to "T" and " $\tilde{C}$ " in the first and second steps, respectively. Here, "T" and " $\tilde{C}$ " stand for tagging and counting, respectively.

% Analysis tasks for signal identifications (Three options: TC, T and C. Default: TC)

}

 { T

<sup>1274</sup> By default, the signals set in the signal identification functionality items are listed in the out-<sup>1275</sup> put plain text, tex source, and pdf files in the sequence they are specified. In cases of plenty of <sup>1276</sup> signals, there is probably a need to sort them according to the number of cases found in the input 1277 samples. One can have the program do the sorting by inputting "Y" to the item below. 1278

<sup>1279</sup> % Sort the signals in the topology maps related to signal identifications (Two options: Y and N. Default: N) <sup>1280</sup> {

1281 Y<br>1282 } 1282

1299

 $130<sup>1</sup>$ 

1304

1273

<sup>1283</sup> *5.3. Settings on the output of the program*

<sup>1284</sup> *5.3.1. Output txt*/*tex*/*pdf files*

<sup>1285</sup> By default, decay objects (trees, initial-final states, and branches) are left-aligned in the out-<sup>1286</sup> put pdf files. If one likes it, he/she can request the program to center them by setting the following 1287 item to "Y".

1288 <sup>1289</sup> % Center decay objects in output pdf files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N) <sup>1290</sup> { <sup>1291</sup> Y <sup>1292</sup> } 1293 In all of the previous examples, the program is applied to the inclusive MC samples in  $e^+e^-$ 

1294 <sup>1295</sup> colliding experiments. Besides, the program can also be used in other types of high energy ex-1296 periments, for example, the PANDA experiment  $[18]$ , a  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation experiment under con-<sup>1297</sup> struction at Darmstadt, Germany. On these occasions, we have to specify the right initial state 1298 particles with the following item to obtain the proper topology maps.

```
1300 % Initial state particles (Default: e− e+)
1302 anti-p− p+
1303 }
```
<span id="page-39-0"></span>With the setting, the default initial state  $e^+e^-$  is replaced by  $p\bar{p}$ , as shown in Table [31,](#page-39-0) which  $_{1306}$  displays the results of a component analysis over decay trees of a small  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation sample.

rowNo	decay tree	decay final state	iDcyTr	nEtr	nCEtr
	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\bar{p}$	pp		232	232
2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-p\bar{p}$	$\pi^+\pi^-p\bar{p}$	24	53	285
3	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 p\bar{p}$	$\pi^0 p\bar{p}$	5	35	320
4	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- p\bar{p}$	$\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^- p \bar{p}$	0	33	353
5	$p\bar{p} \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	$\pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$	39	31	384
rest	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ others (184 in total)	corresponding to others		616	1000

Table 31: Decay trees and their respective final states ( $p\bar{p}$  annihilation).

<sup>1307</sup> *5.3.2. Output root files*

 As mentioned in Section [2.6,](#page-11-1) after the execution of the program, one or more root files will be output to save topology tags. By default, the program switches to a new output file whenever the size of the TTree object in memory exceeds 3 GB. In addition to this, the program provides an item to control the switch of output files by setting the maximum number of entries to be saved in a single output file. The following example shows the item with the maximum number 1313 set to 1 million.

```
1314
1315 % Maximum number of entries to be saved in a single output root file
1316 {
1317 1000000
1318 }
1319
1320 Besides, one can have the program generate one output file by one input file with the following item set to "Y".
     item set to "Y".
1322
1323 % One output root file by one input root file (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1324 {
1325 Y
1326 }
1327
1328 Notably, with the setting, the output root files will not be denominated according to the default or
1329 specially specified common name of the output files. Instead, they will be named after the input
1330 root files and with "\pmta n.root" (n=1, 2, 3 ...) as suffixex. Here, "ta" is short for topology analysis
1331 and "n" is the corresponding file number. For example, with this setting, the names of the output
1332 root files in the first example of the user guide will be "jpsi1 ta 1.root" and "jpsi2 ta 2.root".
1333 In default cases, flat TBranch objects are used to store topology tags in the output root files.
1334 This is necessary for the Belle II experiment, as array TBranch objects are not recommended
1335 to use in physics analyses in order to use other tools such as NumPy [11] and pandas [12].
1336 However, since array TBranch objects are elegant and efficient in organizing and storing homo-
1337 geneous data, sometimes it is better to use them than flat TBranch objects in other experiments,
1338 such as the BESIII experiment. One can make the program use array TBranch objects to store
1339 topology tags by inputting "Y" to the item below.
1340
1341 % Use array tbranches to store topology tags in output root files when possible (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1342 {
1343 Y
1344 }
1345
1346 By default, to facilitate the validation of topology analysis results, the input TBranch objects
1347 are copied to the output root files along with other TBranch objects for physics analyses. How-
1348 ever, they often occupy too much disk space and are useless for following physics analyses. In
1349 the case of being flat, a massive amount of these TBranch objects also looks awkward. Thus,
1350 after the validation with a small sample, it would be better to remove these TBranch objects from
1351 the output root files. One can request the program to perform this removal operation before it
_{1352} terminates by setting the following item to "Y"
1353
1354 % Remove the input tbranches from output root files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1355 {
1356 Y
1357 }
1358
<sup>1359</sup> If one does not want to remove the MSI/MSF/MSD input TBranch objects entirely but still want
1360 to make them easier to be examined with the Show method of the TTree class, he/she can demand
1361 the program convert them into AOI TBranch objects with the following setting item.
1362
1363 % Convert MSI/MSF/MSD input tbranches into AOI output tbranches (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1364 {
1365 Y
1366 }
1367
1368 In the type conversion, the undesired values of the TBranch objects are removed. Accordingly, a
```
 scalar TBranch object storing the number of the remaining particles and an array TBranch object holding the raw indices of the remaining particles are inserted into the output root files.

1371 On some occasions, besides the TTree object containing the raw topology truth information, we may also want to clone some other TTree objects from the input root files to the output root files. One can set the names of these TTree objects in the following item, with each in one line. 

```
1375 % Other TTree names
1376 {
1377 abc
1378 xyz<br>1379 }
1379 }
1380
1381 In the example, the two TTree names "abc" and "xyz" are specified. Notably, with such a setting,
1382 the other TTree objects will only be cloned to the first output root file in cases that multiple output
1383 root files are produced but they have no explicit one-by-one relationship to the input root files.
1384 Sometimes, we may only desire the topology maps. Under these circumstances, it would be
1385 better to suppress the output root files, particularly in cases that they are large in file sizes. With
1386 the item below, one can make the program do this automatically by first generating empty output
1387 root files and then removing them after the corresponding entries are processed.
1388
```

```
1389 % Suppress output root files (Two options: Y and N. Default: N)
1390 {
1391 Y<br>1392 }
1392 }
```
## <span id="page-41-0"></span>6. Auxiliary facilities

 This section introduces some auxiliary facilities for the use of the program, including a card file to preset frequently used items; some additional command line arguments to reset the names of input root files, the common name of output files, and the maximum number of entries to be processed; and two commands implemented in tex source files. Different from that presented in the previous four sections, the content presented in this section is not the essential part of the program. However, with these auxiliary facilities, we can make the program do our jobs better and quicker on some occasions.

## <span id="page-41-1"></span>*6.1. The underlying card file*

 A card file, namely "underlying topoana.card" under the directory "share", to preset fre- quently used items is developed to assist the card file specified by the first argument of the command "topoana.exe". Here, we refer to the former and latter card files as underlying and primary, respectively. In general, the primary card file is sufficient to set items for the execution of the program. However, considering some items are frequently used with constant inputs by a user or a group of users, it is better to move the items from the primary card file to the underlying card file, in order to make the primary card file more concise and make us more focused on the items specially set for the dedicated topology analysis.

1410 One can decide whether to set an item in the underlying card file according to his/her own needs. Here, we introduce some frequently used items that are suitable to be put in the underlying card file as follows. As mentioned in Section [2.4,](#page-7-0) the items related to the storage type and TBranches names of the input data are usually fixed for a user or a group of users. Thus, it is quite appropriate to move them to the underlying card file. We have to process charge conjugation  particles and decays together in many physics studies. In such studies, it is also a good practice to put the item on charge conjugation in the underlying card file.

 The program first reads the items in the underlying card file and then reads those in the primary card file. The items set in the underlying card file can be reset in the primary card file. In such a case, the inputs in the underlying card file will be replaced by their counterparts in the primary card file.

#### *6.2. Additional command line arguments*

1422 Normally, only the "cardFileName" is required to be passed as an argument of the command "topoana.exe", and all of the necessary information can be configured via the setting items filled in the card file. On some occasions, we need to run the program over multiple samples separately, with identical settings except for the names of input root files and the common name of output files. A regular approach to do such a job requires multiple card files, each corresponding to one sample. This approach appears a bit tedious in cases of many samples. To avoid this, two additional command line arguments are designed and implemented to reset the names of input root files and the common name of output files. Similarly, two additional arguments are also developed for the input TTree name and the maximum number of entries to be processed.

 These optional arguments should be typed with prompts, which are listed and explained as follows.

- $\bullet$  -i: The names of input root files should be provided after the prompt. One or more names are allowed here. They will replace those set in the card file.
- –t: The TTree name should be provided after the prompt. It will replace the one set in the card file.
- –o: The common name of output files should be provided after the prompt. It will replace the one set in the card file or the default one, that is, the name of the card file.
- –n: The maximum number of entries to be processed should be provided after the prompt. It will replace that set in the card file.
- Besides, one can execute "topoana.exe −−help" for the help documention of "topoana.exe".

#### *6.3. Commands implemented in tex source files*

 The output pdf files can be checked after the execution of the program. If their styles are not to our taste, we can edit the corresponding tex source files to get the desired styles, according to the regular LaTeX rules. Besides the rules, two commands are implemented in the tex source files to help us edit the files quickly and easily for two common desired styles.

<sup>1447</sup> By default, topology tags are listed along with topology maps in the output plain text, tex source, and pdf files. However, only the topology maps are needed on some occasions, espe- cially in presentations. In such cases, one can suppress the topology tags in the output tex source and pdf files by simply changing the definition of the cmtTopoTags command from the nominal one

1453 \newcommand{\topoTags}[1]{#1}

to the alternative one

#### 1457  $\newcommand{\to}{\text{1}}\$

 in the preamble of the text source files. Here, "#1" is the formal parameter of the string for the topology tags. With the nominal definition, "\topoTags{#1}" returns the string exactly, while with the alternative definition it only returns an empty string. That is why the definition below is able to suppress the topology tags.

1463 After the revision of the tex source files, one can re-compile them with the pdflatex command. Usually, the pdflatex command has to be executed two or three times for a fully compiled pdf file, and many undesired files in other formats are generated during the compilation. To execute the pdflatex command and remove the undesired files at one stroke, we develop a bash script, namely "getPdfFromTex.sh" under the directory "utilities". The script should be executed with the following command line: getPdfFlFromTexFl.sh texFileName. Compiling the tex source files with the script is recommended.

## <span id="page-43-0"></span>7. Summary

<sup>1471</sup> We develop a program, namely TopoAna, with  $C_{++}$ , ROOT, and LaTeX for the event type analysis of inclusive MC samples in high energy physics experiments. This user guide provides a detailed description of the program, including a basic introduction to it, two categories of its functionalities — component analysis and signal identification, and some common settings and auxiliary facilities for its execution. The program has rich functionalities and aims to solve all kinds of event type analysis tasks. Meanwhile, it is easy to use and has a high processing rate. These features make the program a powerful tool to analyze the backgrounds involved in our research works and to identify the physics processes of interests from the inclusive MC samples.

<sup>1479</sup> Since it does not rely on any specific software frameworks, the program applies to many high energy physics experiments. Up to now, it has been put into use in three experiments at  $e^+e^-$  colliders: the BESIII, Belle, and Belle II experiments. Besides these experiments, it can also be 1482 used in other types of experiments, such as the PANDA experiment, a  $p\bar{p}$  annihilation experiment. Also, the program is applicable to the future  $e^+e^-$  colliding experiments under research and development, such as the circular electron-positron collider (CEPC) [\[19,](#page-44-18) [20\]](#page-44-19) experiment in <sup>1485</sup> China, the super Charm-τ factory (SCTF) experiment [\[21\]](#page-44-20) in Russia, and the super τ-Charm factory (STCF) experiment [22] in China. These experiments offer wide space for the application tory (STCF) experiment [\[22\]](#page-44-21) in China. These experiments offer wide space for the application of the program.

On the other hand, we note that the application of the program to some other experiments is limited. For example, thousands of particles can be produced from dozens of *pp* collisions in an event of the ATLAS [\[23\]](#page-44-22) and CMS [\[24\]](#page-44-23) experiments at the LHC [\[25\]](#page-44-24); in such cases, there is little point in performing the event type analysis of corresponding MC samples. Nonetheless, the application scope of the program is still broad. In particular, it applies to the  $e^+e^-$  colliding experiments where at most tens of particles are produced from the annihilation of a pair of  $e^+e^-$  in an event. With more user needs coming out in the future, we will further extend and perfect it to make it more powerful and well-rounded.

#### Acknowledgements

 This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant num-bers 11575017, 11661141008, 11761141009, 11875262, 11975076] and the CAS Center for

 Excellence in Particle Physics (CCEPP). In addition, we would like to thank all of the people who have helped us in the development of the program. We first thank Prof. Changzheng Yuan, Bo Xin, and Haixuan Chen for their help at the early stage of developing the program. We are particularly grateful to Prof. Xingtao Huang for his comments on the principles and styles of the program, to Remco de Boer for his suggestions on the tex output and the use of GitHub, and to Xi Chen for his discussions on the core algorithms. We are especially indebted to Prof. Xiqing Hao, Longke Li, Xiaoping Qin, Ilya Komarov, Yubo Li, Guanda Gong, Suxian Li, Junhao Yin, Prof. Xiaolong Wang, Yeqi Chen, Hannah Wakeling, Hongrong Qi, Hui Li, Ning Cao, San- jeeda Bharati Das, Kazuki Kojima, Tingting Han, Fang Yan, Lin Wang, Meiru An, and Noreen Rauls for their advice in extending and perfecting the program. Also, we thank Xi'an Xiong, Runqiu Ma, Wencheng Yan, Sen Jia, Lu Cao, Dong Liu, Hongpeng Wang, Jiawei Zhang, Jiajun Liu, Maoqiang Jing, Yi Zhang, Wei Shan, and Yadi Wang for their efforts in helping us test the program.

## References

- <span id="page-44-0"></span>[1] ROOT User's Guide, Available online: https://root.cern/root/htmldoc/guides/users-guide/[ROOTUsersGuide.html.](https://root.cern/root/htmldoc/guides/users-guide/ROOTUsersGuide.html)
- <span id="page-44-1"></span>[2] Documentation of the TFile class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/[TFile.html.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TFile.html)
- <span id="page-44-2"></span>[3] [K.T. Chao, Y.F. Wang, et al., Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 24 \(2009\) S1-794.](https://arxiv.org/pdf/0809.1869.pdf)
- <span id="page-44-3"></span>[4] [M. Ablikim, et al. \(BESIII Collaboration\), Chin. Phys. C 44 \(2020\) 040001.](https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1674-1137/44/4/040001)
- <span id="page-44-4"></span>[5] [E. Kou, et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2019 \(2019\) 123C01.](https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article/2019/12/123C01/5685006)
- <span id="page-44-5"></span>[6] [J. Brodzicka, T. Browder, P. Chang, et al., Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012 \(2012\) 04D001.](https://academic.oup.com/ptep/article/2012/1/04D001/1575434)
- <span id="page-44-6"></span>[7] Text of MIT license, Available online: https://[mit-license.org](https://mit-license.org/)/.
- <span id="page-44-7"></span>[8] Documentation of the TTree class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/[TTree.html.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html)
- <span id="page-44-8"></span>[9] [M. Tanabashi, et al. \(Particle Data Group\), Phys. Rev. D 98 \(2018\) 030001.](https://journals.aps.org/prd/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001)
- <span id="page-44-9"></span>[10] Documentation of the TBranch class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/[TBranch.html.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TBranch.html)
- <span id="page-44-10"></span>[11] Documentation of NumPy, Available online: https://[numpy.org](https://numpy.org/devdocs/)/devdocs/.
- <span id="page-44-11"></span>[12] Documentation of pandas, Available online: https://[pandas.pydata.org](https://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/)/pandas-docs/stable/.
- <span id="page-44-12"></span>[13] Documentation of the TChain class, Available online: https://root.cern/root/html534/[TChain.html.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TChain.html)
- <span id="page-44-13"></span>[14] Reference of unordered maps, Available online: http://[www.cplusplus.com](http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/unordered_map/unordered_map/)/reference/unordered map/unordered map/.
- <span id="page-44-15"></span><span id="page-44-14"></span>[15] [D. J. Lange, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 462 \(2001\) 152.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168900201000894?via%3Dihub)
- [\[](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:Draw@2)16] Documentation of the Draw() method of the TTree class, Available online: https://[root.cern](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:Draw@2)/root/html534/TTree.ht [ml#TTree:Draw@2.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:Draw@2)
- <span id="page-44-16"></span> [\[](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:GetEntries@1)17] Documentation of the GetEntries() method of the TTree class, Available online: https://[root.cern](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:GetEntries@1)/root/html534/TTr [ee.html#TTree:GetEntries@1.](https://root.cern/root/html534/TTree.html#TTree:GetEntries@1)
- <span id="page-44-17"></span> [\[](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3905)18] [W. Erni, et al. \(PANDA Collaboration\), Physics Performance Report for PANDA: Strong Interaction Studies with](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3905) [Antiprotons, arXiv:0903.3905.](https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.3905)
- <span id="page-44-18"></span>[19] CEPC CDR Volume 1 (Accelerator), Available online: http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC CDR Vol1 [Accelerator.pdf.](http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol1_Accelerator.pdf)
- <span id="page-44-19"></span> [\[](http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol2_Physics-Detector.pdf)20] CEPC CDR Volume 2 (Physics & Detector), Available online: http://[cepc.ihep.ac.cn](http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol2_Physics-Detector.pdf)/CEPC CDR Vol2 Physics-[Detector.pdf.](http://cepc.ihep.ac.cn/CEPC_CDR_Vol2_Physics-Detector.pdf)
- <span id="page-44-21"></span><span id="page-44-20"></span>[21] [A.E. Bondar, et al. \(Charm-Tau Factory Collaboration\), Phys. Atom. Nucl. 76 \(2013\) 1072.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1134%2FS1063778813090032)
- [\[](http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/ipac2018/doi/JACoW-IPAC2018-MOPML013.html)22] [Q. Luo, D. Xu, "Progress on Preliminary Conceptual Study of HIEPA, a Super Tau-Charm Factory in China", in](http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/ipac2018/doi/JACoW-IPAC2018-MOPML013.html) [Proc. 9th International Particle Accelerator Conf. \(IPAC2018\), Vancouver, BC, Canada, 422.](http://accelconf.web.cern.ch/AccelConf/ipac2018/doi/JACoW-IPAC2018-MOPML013.html)
- <span id="page-44-22"></span>[23] [G. Aad, et al. \(ATLAS Collaboration\), JINST 3 \(2008\) S08003.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1129811/files/jinst8_08_s08003.pdf)
- <span id="page-44-23"></span>[24] [S. Chatrchyan, et al. \(CMS Collaboration\), JINST 3 \(2008\) S08004.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1129810/files/jinst8_08_s08004.pdf)
- <span id="page-44-24"></span>[25] [L. Evans \(ed.\), P. Bryant \(ed.\), JINST 3 \(2008\) S08001.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/1129806/files/jinst8_08_s08001.pdf)