Saga-Yonsei Joint Workshop XIX Intro to Effective Field Theory

Seung J. Lee Korea University



January 17, 2023

Higgs mass: naturalness problem

renormalizable QFT

$$M^2 O^{(d=2)} + \sum_i c_i^{(4)} O_i^{(d=4)}$$

$$^{d=5)} + \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} O_i^{(d=6)} + \cdots$$

New Physics effect might be important here, including flavor physics, etc





Effective Field Theory

* At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E)

* At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E) leads into quasi-local effective interactions



exchange of heavy, virtual particles between light SM particles

Effective Field Theory

$$C_{Q(\Delta S=2)}Q(\Delta S=2)$$
$$Q(\Delta S=2) = (\bar{s}d)_{V-A}(\bar{s}d)_{V-A}$$

At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E) leads into quasi-local effective interactions



exchange of heavy, virtual particles between light SM particles

Effective Field Theory

$$C_{Q(\Delta S=2)}Q(\Delta S=2)$$

$$Q(\Delta S=2) = (\bar{s}d)_{V-A}(\bar{s}d)_{V-A}$$

induced effective local interactions at low energy

Basic Idea: when there is a QFT with a high scale M:

- interested in physics @ E << M can we do Taylor expand in E/M?

Effective field theory offers a systematic description of virtual heavy-particle effects (more generally, effects of modes with large virtualities) through an expansion in local operators

It's possible to construct effective field theory even if fundamental theory is unknown or strongly coupled (nonperturbative)

Effective field theory offers a systematic description of virtual heavy-particle effects (more generally, effects of modes with large virtualities) through an expansion in local operators

It's possible to construct effective field theory even if fundamental theory is unknown or strongly coupled (nonperturbative)

Effective Field Theory

systematic way to analyze the situation when there are multiple physics scales exist



Effective field theory offers a systematic description of virtual heavy-particle effects (more generally, effects of modes with large virtualities) through an expansion in local operators

It's possible to construct effective field theory even if fundamental theory is unknown or strongly coupled (nonperturbative)

> "Theorem of modesty": All physical theories are effective (field) theories

Effective Field Theory

systematic way to analyze the situation when there are multiple physics scales exist



date, even though it leaves some open questions:

$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = c^{(0)} M^4 + C^{(2)} M^2 O^{(d=2)} + \sum_i c_i^{(4)} O_i^{(d=4)}$

 $+\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)}O_{i}^{(d=5)} + \frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)}O_{i}^{(d=6)} + \cdots$

Effective Field Theory

Standard Model is most successful effective field theory to

date, even though it leaves some open questions:

cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = c^{(0)} M^4 + C^{(2)} M^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i}c_{i}^{(5)}O_{i}^{(d=5)}$$

Effective Field Theory

Standard Model is most successful effective field theory to

 $C^{2}O^{(d=2)} + \sum_{i} c_{i}^{(4)}O_{i}^{(d=4)}$

 $(5) + \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} O_i^{(d=6)} + \cdots$



Effective Field Theory

 $+\frac{1}{M}\sum_{i} c_{i}^{(5)}O_{i}^{(d=5)} + \frac{1}{M^{2}}\sum_{i} c_{i}^{(6)}O_{i}^{(d=6)} + \cdots$



Higgs mass: naturalness cosmological problem constant $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = c^{(0)} M^4 + C^{(2)} M^2 O^{(d=2)} + \sum_i c_i^{(4)} O_i^{(d=4)}$ neutrino mass (see-saw mechanism)



Higgs mass: naturalness cosmological problem constant $\mathcal{L}_{\rm EFT} = c^{(0)} M^4 + C^{(2)} M^2 O^{(d=2)} + \sum_i c_i^{(4)} O_i^{(d=4)}$ neutrino mass (see-saw mechanism)



Θ

$$\int_{-p^2}^{M_W^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} = \int_{\mu^2}^{M_W^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} +$$

- Short-distance effects ($p \sim M_W$) are perturbatively calculable
- Long-distance effects must be treated using non-perturbative methods
- RG equations

Basic idea of effective field theory: Consider Effective Weak Interaction



Dependence on arbitrary separation scale μ , controlled by



exchange of heavy, virtual particles between light SM particles

Effective Field Theory

* At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E)





exchange of heavy, virtual particles between light SM particles

Effective Field Theory

* At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E)





exchange of heavy, virtual particles between light SM particles

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} | f_n \rangle$$

Effective Field Theory

At low energies, the exchange of heavy, virtual particles (M»E)







Basic idea of effective field theory • Step I: choose cutoff $\Lambda \leq M$ and divide field into $\phi = \phi_{H} + \phi_{L}$

Effective Field Theory

(M=some fundamental scale) $\phi = \phi_{\mathsf{H}} + \phi_{\mathsf{L}} = \bigwedge^{\mathsf{M}}$ ΨE

Effective Field Theory

(M=some fundamental scale) $\phi = \phi_{\mathsf{H}} + \phi_{\mathsf{L}} = \bigwedge^{\mathsf{M}}$ ΨE

• Step 2: integrate out ϕ_H below Λ to get effective \mathcal{L}

Wilsonian effective action

 $e^{iS_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(\phi_L)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_H e^{iS(\phi_L,\phi_H)}$



Step 2: integrate out ϕ_{H} below Λ to get effective \mathcal{L} \bigcirc

Wilsonian effective action

 $e^{iS_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(\phi_L)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_H e^{iS(\phi_L,\phi_H)}$

$$Z[J_L] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_L \,\mathcal{D}\phi_H \,e^{iS(X)}$$
$$Z[J_L] \equiv \int \mathcal{D}\phi_L \,e^{iS_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{eff}}(\phi_L) + iS_{\Lambda}^{\mathsf{eff}}(\phi_L) + iS_$$



- $(\phi_L,\phi_H)+i\int d^D x J_L(x) \phi_L(x)$
- $+i \int d^D x J_L(x) \phi_L(x)$ $= \frac{1}{Z[0]} \left(-i \frac{\delta}{\delta J_L(x_1)} \right) \dots \left(-i \frac{\delta}{\delta J_L(x_n)} \right) Z[J_L] \Big|_{J_L=0}$

Basic idea of effective field theory • Step I: choose cutoff $\Lambda \leq M$ and divide field into $\phi = \phi_{H} + \phi_{L}$

Effective Field Theory

(M=some fundamental scale) $\phi = \phi_{\mathsf{H}} + \phi_{\mathsf{L}} = \bigwedge^{\mathsf{M}}$ ΨE

Effective Field Theory

(M=some fundamental scale) $\phi = \phi_{\mathsf{H}} + \phi_{\mathsf{L}} = \bigwedge^{\mathsf{M}}$ ΨE

• Step 2: integrate out ϕ_H below Λ to get effective \mathcal{L}

Wilsonian effective action

 $e^{iS_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(\phi_L)} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi_H e^{iS(\phi_L,\phi_H)}$







Effective Field Theory

Step 3: expand non-local action functional in local OPE ($E \ll \Lambda$)



Step 3: expand non-local action functional in local OPE ($E \ll \Lambda$)

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x$$

Effective Field Theory



 $Q_i = \text{local operators}$

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}^{ ext{eff}}(x)$$

 $[g_i] = -\gamma_i$ "mass dimension" $\Rightarrow g_i = c_i M^{-\gamma_i}; \quad c_i \text{ is "naturally" } O(1)$ dimensionless number $\delta_i = [\mathbb{Q}_i] \Rightarrow \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

Effective Field Theory

L(x))

- Näive dimensional analysis (NDA): [m]=[E]=[p]=[x-']=[t-']

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \mathcal{L}^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(x)$$

 $[g_i] = -\gamma_i$ "mass dimension"

 $\Rightarrow g_i = c_i M^{-\gamma_i}; \quad c_i \text{ is "naturally" } O(1)$ dimensionless number $\delta_i = [\mathbb{Q}_i] \Rightarrow \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

 \mathbf{X} Contribution of a given operator \mathbf{Q}_i in \mathcal{L}_{eff} to a dimensionless observable would be: $C_{i} \left(\frac{E}{M}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} = \begin{cases} O(1); & \text{if } \gamma_{i} = 0, \\ \ll 1; & \text{if } \gamma_{i} > 0, \\ \gg 1; & \text{if } \gamma_{i} < 0. \end{cases} \qquad E \ll \Lambda < M$

Effective Field Theory

L(x))

- Näive dimensional analysis (NDA): [m]=[E]=[p]=[x-']=[t-']

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \mathcal{L}^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(x)$$

 $[g_i] = -\gamma_i$ "mass dimension"

 $\Rightarrow g_i = c_i M^{-\gamma_i}; \quad c_i \text{ is "naturally" } O(1)$ dimensionless number $\delta_i = [\mathbb{Q}_i] \Rightarrow \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

 \mathbf{X} Contribution of a given operator \mathbf{Q}_i in \mathcal{L}_{eff} to a dimensionless observable would be: $E \ll \Lambda < M$

Effective Field Theory

L(x))

- Näive dimensional analysis (NDA): [m]=[E]=[p]=[x-']=[t-']

$$\frac{E}{M} \Big)^{\gamma_i} = \begin{cases} O(1); & \text{if } \gamma_i = 0, \\ \ll 1; & \text{if } \gamma_i > 0, \\ \gg 1; & \text{if } \gamma_i < 0. \end{cases}$$

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \mathcal{L}^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(x)$$

Näive dimensional analysis (NDA): [m]=[E]=[p]=[x-']=[t-'] $[g_i] = -\gamma_i$ "mass dimension"

 \Rightarrow $g_i = c_i M^{-\gamma_i}$; c_i is "naturally" O(1)

dimensionless number $\delta_i = [Q_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

observable would be:

Effective Field Theory

L(x))

at low energy, make OPE useful!

Contribution of a given operator Q_i in \mathcal{L}_{eff} to a dynemical dynemical set of the set o

$$\frac{E}{M}\Big)^{\gamma_i} = \begin{cases} O(1)\,; & \text{if } \gamma_i = 0, \\ \ll 1\,; & \text{if } \gamma_i > 0, \\ \gg 1\,; & \text{if } \gamma_i < 0. \end{cases} \qquad \mathbf{E} \ll \Lambda < \mathbf{M}$$

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \mathcal{L}^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(x)$$

 $[g_i] = -\gamma_i$ "mass dimension"

 \Rightarrow $g_i = c_i M^{-\gamma_i}$; c_i is "naturally" O(1)

dimensionless number $\delta_i = [Q_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

in given order in E/M => keep only finite Q_i

Effective Field Theory

L(x))

- Näive dimensional analysis (NDA): [m]=[E]=[p]=[x-1]=[t-1]

at low energy, make OPE useful!

- \mathbf{x} Contribution of a given operator \mathbf{Q}_i in \mathcal{L}_{eff} to a dynemical dynemical set of the set of t
 - observable would be: $C_{i} \left(\frac{E}{M}\right)^{\gamma_{i}} = \begin{cases} O(1); & \text{if } \gamma_{i} = 0, \\ \ll 1; & \text{if } \gamma_{i} > 0, \\ \gg 1; & \text{if } \gamma_{i} < 0. \end{cases}$ $E \ll \Lambda < M$ Given a precision goal, can truncate the series $\sum g_i Q_i(\phi_L(x))$

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L)$$

 $[g_i] = -\gamma_i \quad \delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

Dimension	Importance for $E \to 0$	Terminology
$\delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0$	grows	relevant operators
		(super-renormalizable)
$\delta_i = D, \ \gamma_i = 0$	$\operatorname{constant}$	marginal operators
		(renormalizable)
$\delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0$	falls	irrelevant operators
		(non-renormalizable)
	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Dimension}\\ \delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0\\ \\ \delta_i = D, \ \gamma_i = 0\\ \\ \delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Dimension} & \mbox{Importance for } E \to 0 \\ \\ \delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0 & \mbox{grows} \\ \\ \delta_i = D, \ \gamma_i = 0 & \mbox{constant} \\ \\ \delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0 & \mbox{falls} \end{array}$



$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L)$$

 $[g_i] = \gamma_i \quad \delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

-		
*	Dimension	Importance for $E \rightarrow$
	$\delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0$	grows
	$\delta_i=D,~\gamma_i=0$	$\operatorname{constant}$
	$\delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0$	falls

Effective Field Theory



Terminology 0 relevant operators (super-renormalizable) marginal operators (renormalizable) irrelevant operators (non-renormalizable)

usually unimportant (forbidden by symmetry)

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L)$$

 $[g_i] = \gamma_i \quad \delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

-		
*	Dimension	Importance for $E \rightarrow$
	$\delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0$	grows
	$\delta_i=D,~\gamma_i=0$	$\operatorname{constant}$
	$\delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0$	falls

Effective Field Theory



Terminology U relevant operators (super-renormalizable) marginal operators (renormalizable) irrelevant operators (non-renormalizable)

usually unimportant (forbidden by symmetry)

renomalizable QFT

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L)$$

 $[g_i] = \gamma_i \quad \delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$

-		
*	Dimension	Importance for $E \rightarrow$
	$\delta_i < D, \ \gamma_i < 0$	grows
	$\delta_i=D,~\gamma_i=0$	$\operatorname{constant}$
	$\delta_i > D, \ \gamma_i > 0$	falls

Effective Field Theory



Terminology U relevant operators (super-renormalizable) marginal operators (renormalizable) irrelevant operators (non-renormalizable)

usually unimportant (forbidden by symmetry)

renomalizable QFT

really interesting, sensitive to "fundamental" scale M
Basic idea of effective field theory

$$S^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L) \, \mathcal{L}^{ ext{eff}}_{\Lambda}(x)$$

Renormalization & Running coupling what happens when lower the cutoff?



Effective Field Theory



$$[g_i] = -\gamma_i$$

$$\delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$$

Basic idea of effective field theory

$$S_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L(x))$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}^{ ext{eff}}(x)$

Renormalization & Running coupling what happens when lower the cutoff?



Effective Field Theory

$$[g_i] = -\gamma_i$$

$$\delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{M} \\ \mathsf{A} \\ \mathsf{A}$ lowering Λ is absorbed by change in $g_i(\Lambda)$

Basic idea of effective field theory

$$S_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(\phi_L) = \int d^D x \sum_i g_i Q_i(\phi_L(x))$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda}^{\text{eff}}(x)$$

Renormalization & Running coupling what happens when lower the cutoff?



Effective Field Theory

$$[g_i] = -\gamma_i$$

$$\delta_i = [O_i] \implies \gamma_i = \delta_i - D$$

- lowering Λ is absorbed by change in $g_i(\Lambda)$
 - intuititive understanding of running coupling





Effective Field Theory

Effective weak interaction



Effective Field Theory



particles (W)

Effective weak interaction



Effective Field Theory

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{weak}}^{\text{eff}} = -\frac{g^2}{8M_W^2} \left[J_{\mu}^- J^{+\mu} + \frac{1}{M_W^2} J_{\mu}^- \left(\partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \,\Box \right) J_{\nu}^+ + \dots \right]$ $J_{\mu}^{+} = V_{ij} \,\bar{u}_i \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) d_j + \bar{\nu}_i \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) l_i$



particles (W)



Effective Field Theory



 $\int d^D p \, \frac{1}{M_W^2 - p^2} f(p) \neq \frac{1}{M_W^2} \int d^D p \, \left(1 + \frac{p^2}{M_W^2} + \dots \right) f(p)$

For large loop momenta ($p^2 \sim M_W^2$), two operations – expansion of the W propagator and integration over loop momenta – do not commute

Effective Field Theory



 $\int d^D p \, \frac{1}{M_W^2 - p^2} \, f(p) \neq \frac{1}{M_W^2} \int d^D p \, \left(1 + \frac{p^2}{M_W^2} + \dots \right) f(p)$

For large loop momenta ($p^2 \sim M_W^2$), two operations – expansion of the W propagator and integration over loop momenta – do not commute

Effective Field Theory





For large momenta QCD is weakly coupled: $\alpha_{s}(M_{W})$ is small and perturbation theory works => Wilson coefficient taken into account of the loop corrections for large momenta can be calculated





 $\int d^D p \, \frac{1}{M_W^2 - p^2} f(p) \neq \frac{1}{M_W^2} \int d^D p \, \left(1 + \frac{p^2}{M_W^2} + \dots \right) f(p)$

For large loop momenta ($p^2 \sim M_W^2$), two operations – expansion of the W propagator and integration over loop momenta – do not commute

Effective Field Theory

	$\mathcal{L}_{\rm weak}^{\rm eff} = -\frac{g^2}{8M_W^2} \left[J_{\mu}^- J^{+\mu} + \frac{1}{M_W^2} J_{\mu}^- \left(\partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \Box \right) J_{\nu}^+ + \dots \right]$
	$J_{\mu}^{+} = V_{ij} \bar{u}_i \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) d_j + \bar{\nu}_i \gamma_{\mu} (1 - \gamma_5) l_i$
	X X
out	
A /\	For large momenta QCD is weakly coupled: $\alpha_{\rm e}(M_{\rm W})$ is small and perturbation theory works
(V)	=> Wilson coefficient taken into account of the loop corrections for large momenta can be calculated
$ar{B}^0 = \mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}}$	$ = \frac{\pi^+ D_s^-}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* V_{ub} \left[C_1(\mu) \bar{s}_L^j \gamma_\mu c_L^j \bar{u}_L^i \gamma^\mu b_L^i + C_2(\mu) \bar{s}_L^i \gamma_\mu c_L^j \bar{u}_L^j \gamma^\mu b_L^i \right] $
	$C_1(\mu) = 1 + \frac{3}{N_c} \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(\ln \frac{M_W^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{11}{6} \right) + O(\alpha_s^2) ,$
	$\mathcal{E}^{C} C_2(\mu) = -3 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left(\ln \frac{M_W^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{11}{6} \right) + O(\alpha_s^2) .$



quantum numbers



List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries &

- List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries & quantum numbers
- Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i
 - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_{i} O_{i}$

Effective Field Theory

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

- List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries & quantum numbers
- Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i
 - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_i O_i$

Determine C_i such that \bigcirc

Effective Field Theory

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

 $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | O_i | i_n \rangle$

+ higher power corrections

- List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries & \bigcirc quantum numbers
- Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i
 - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_i O_i$

Determine C_i such that \bigcirc

Effective Field Theory

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

can compute perturbatively even in QCD, provided that theory is weakly coupled at short distance

 $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{SM} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | O_i | i_n \rangle$

+ higher power corrections

- \bigcirc quantum numbers
- - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_i O_i$

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

Determine C_i such that \bigcirc



Effective Field Theory

List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries &

• Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i

can compute perturbatively even in QCD, provided that theory is weakly coupled at short distance

 $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{SM} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | O_i | i_n \rangle$

+ higher power corrections

- \bigcirc quantum numbers
- - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_i O_i$

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

Determine C_i such that \bigcirc



List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries &

• Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i

can compute perturbatively even in QCD, provided that theory is weakly coupled at short distance

 $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{SM} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | O_i | i_n \rangle$

+ higher power corrections

contains modes with frequency $> \Lambda$

- \bigcirc quantum numbers
- - $\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i} C_i O_i$

with number of terms determined by accuracy desired

Determine C_i such that \bigcirc



List all possible gauge invariant operators allowed by symmetries &

• Write down \mathcal{L}_{eff} with undetermined dimensionless coupling C_i

can compute perturbatively even in QCD, provided that theory is weakly coupled at short distance

 $\mathcal{A}_n = \langle f_n | \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} | i_n \rangle = \sum_i C_i \langle f_n | O_i | i_n \rangle$

+ higher power corrections

contains modes with frequency $> \Lambda$



physics



 $C_i(\mu) = C_i^{\mathrm{SM}}(M_W, \eta)$



Systematically factorize short-distance physics and long-distance

Standard Model) can be treated using perturbative methods:

$$(n_t, \mu) + C_i^{\mathrm{NP}}(M_{\mathrm{NP}}, g_{\mathrm{NP}}, \mu)$$

Nonperturbative methods (operator product expansion, lattice gauge theory, ...) usually only work at low scales (typically μ ~few

The

$$\begin{aligned} e \text{ effective weak Hamiltonian for FCNC (hadronic)} & (\bar{q}_{1}q_{2})_{\nu\pm A} \equiv \bar{q}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_{5})q_{2} \\ \lambda_{p} = V_{pb}V_{ps}^{*} \text{ or } \lambda'_{p} = V_{pb}V_{pd}^{*} \\ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{p=u,c} \lambda_{p} \Big(C_{1} Q_{1}^{p} + C_{2} Q_{2}^{p} + \sum_{i=3,...,10} C_{i} Q_{i} + C_{7\gamma} Q_{7\gamma} + C_{8g} Q_{8g} \Big) + \text{h.c.} , \\ Q_{1}^{p} = (\bar{p}b)_{V-A}(\bar{s}p)_{V-A} , & Q_{2}^{p} = (\bar{p}ib_{j})_{V-A}(\bar{s}_{j}p_{i})_{V-A} , \\ Q_{3} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}q)_{V-A} , & Q_{4} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V-A} , \\ Q_{5} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}q)_{V+A} , & Q_{6} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V+A} , \\ Q_{7} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} \frac{3}{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}q)_{V+A} , & Q_{8} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} \frac{3}{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V+A} , \\ Q_{9} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} \frac{3}{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}q)_{V-A} , & Q_{10} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} \frac{3}{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V-A} , \\ Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^{2}} m_{b} \bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})F^{\mu\nu}b , & Q_{8g} = \frac{-g_{s}}{8\pi^{2}} m_{b} \bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})G^{\mu\nu}b , \end{aligned}$$



*

b

ć

The effective weak Hamiltonian for FCNC (hadronic)
$$(\bar{q}_{1}q_{2})_{\nu\pm A} \equiv \bar{q}_{1}\gamma^{\mu}(1\pm\gamma_{5})q_{2}$$

 $\lambda_{p} = V_{pb}V_{ps}^{*} \text{ or } \lambda'_{p} = V_{pb}V_{pd}^{*}$
 $\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{p=u,c} \lambda_{p} \left(C_{1} Q_{1}^{p} + C_{2} Q_{2}^{p} + \sum_{i=3,...,10} C_{i} Q_{i} + C_{7\gamma} Q_{7\gamma} + C_{8g} Q_{8g}\right) + \text{h.c.},$
 $Q_{1}^{p} = (\bar{p}b)_{V-A}(\bar{s}p)_{V-A}, \qquad Q_{2}^{p} = (\bar{p}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A}(\bar{s}_{j}p_{i})_{V-A},$
 $Q_{3} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}q)_{V-A}, \qquad Q_{4} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} (\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V-A},$
 $Q_{5} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} q(\bar{q}q)_{V+A}, \qquad Q_{6} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} q(\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V+A},$
 $Q_{7} = (\bar{s}b)_{V-A} \sum_{q} q^{3}_{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}q)_{V-A}, \qquad Q_{10} = (\bar{s}_{i}b_{j})_{V-A} \sum_{q} q^{3}_{2}e_{q}(\bar{q}_{j}q_{i})_{V-A},$
 $Q_{7\gamma} = \frac{-e}{8\pi^{2}} m_{b} \bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})F^{\mu\nu}b, \qquad Q_{8g} = \frac{-g_{s}}{8\pi^{2}} m_{b} \bar{s}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(1+\gamma_{5})G^{\mu\nu}b,$
e.g. Penguins and other loops



The effective weak Hamiltonian for FCNC (hadronic) $(\bar{q}_1 q_2)_{V\pm A} \equiv \bar{q}_1 \gamma^{\mu} (1 \pm \gamma_5) q_2$





Operator Basis

- The effermine $C_1(M_W) = 1$
 - $\mathcal{H}_{ ext{eff}} = rac{C_2(M_W)}{2}$
 - $C_3(M_W) = C_5(M_W) = -$
 - $C_4(M_W) = C_6(M_W) = \frac{1}{2}$
 - $C_7(M_W) = f$



$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= V_{pb}V_{pd}^*$$

$$= V_{pb}V_{pd}^*$$

$$= V_{pb}V_{pd}^*$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{M_W^2} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{M_W^2} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{M_W^2} \frac{\alpha_s(M_W)}{4\pi},$$

$$= \frac{11}{6} \frac{$$

Answer: look for different scales. e.g. B-physics: $m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

- **Content of the set of**

Answer: look for different scales. e.g. B-physics: $m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

 $\gg \Lambda_{OCD}$

- **Content of the set of**

 - Inegrate out all short-distance fluctuations associated with scales

Answer: look for different scales. e.g. B-physics: $m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

 $\gg \Lambda_{OCD}$

- Physics at the scale m_b (short-distance physics) vs. heavy quark related (long-distance) hadronic physics governed at confinement scale Λ_{QCD} .
- Separation of the short-distance and long-distance effects associated with these two scales is vital for any quantitative description in heavy-quark physics

- **Content of the set of**

 - Inegrate out all short-distance fluctuations associated with scales

Answer: look for different scales. e.g. B-physics: $m_b \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$

 $\gg \Lambda_{OCD}$

- Physics at the scale m_b (short-distance physics) vs. heavy quark related (long-distance) hadronic physics governed at confinement scale Λ_{QCD} .
- Separation of the short-distance and long-distance effects associated with these two scales is vital for any quantitative description in heavy-quark physics



- **Content of the set of**

 - Inegrate out all short-distance fluctuations associated with scales

What's the physical picture behind?

- $m_b >> \Lambda_{QCD}$: $\alpha_s(m_B)$ is perturbative (asymptotic freedom)
- QQ systems is perturbative \bigcirc
- heavy-light bound states (Qq) are not perturbative

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory



What's the physical picture behind?

- $m_b >> \Lambda_{QCD}$: $\alpha_s(m_B)$ is perturbative (asymptotic freedom)
- QQ systems is perturbative
- heavy-light bound states (Qq) are not perturbative

It's characterized by a small Compton wavelength!

• $\lambda_Q \sim 1/m_Q \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD} \sim R_{had}$ typical hadronic size

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory



What's the physical picture behind?

- $m_b >> \Lambda_{QCD}$: $\alpha_s(m_B)$ is perturbative (asymptotic freedom)
- QQ systems is perturbative
- heavy-light bound states (Qq) are not perturbative
- It's characterized by a small Compton wavelength! • $\lambda_Q \sim 1/m_Q \ll 1/\Lambda_{QCD} \sim R_{had}$ typical hadronic size



Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory



simplifies physics of hadrons made up of heavy quark



* What's the physical picture behind?

Heavy Quark Symmetry



What's the physical picture behind?

Flavour Symmetry: Cloud of light degrees of freedom ("antiquark" in a meson) does not feel the mass (flavour) of the heavy quark as $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$



What's the physical picture behind?

Flavour Symmetry: Cloud of light degrees of freedom ("antiquark" in a meson) does not feel the mass (flavour) of the heavy quark as $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$

Spin Symmetry: Since magnetic moment of a heavy quark scales like $\mu_Q \sim 1/m_Q$, also its spin decouples



What's the physical picture behind?

- Flavour Symmetry: Cloud of light degrees of freedom ("antiquark" in a meson) does not feel the mass (flavour) of the heavy quark as $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$
- Spin Symmetry: Since magnetic moment of a heavy quark scales like $\mu_Q \sim 1/m_Q$, also its spin decouples



SU(2n_Q) spin-flavour symmetry: In heavy-quark limit $(m_Q \rightarrow \infty)$, configuration of light degrees of freedom is independent of the spin and flavor of the heavy quark

Relates properties of hadrons:



* What's the physical picture behind?

- Heavy quark carries almost all momentum Momentum exchange between heavy quark and light degrees of freedom is predominantly soft (soft gluon
- exchange):

 $\Delta P_Q = -\Delta P_{\text{light}} = O(\Lambda_{QCD}) \Rightarrow \Delta v_Q = O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$

- Heavy-quark velocity becomes conserved quantum number in $m_0 \rightarrow \infty$ limit
Heavy Quark Symmetry

* What's the physical picture behind?

- Heavy quark carries almost all momentum Momentum exchange between heavy quark and light degrees of freedom is predominantly soft (soft gluon
- exchange):

 $\Delta P_Q = -\Delta P_{\text{light}} = O(\Lambda_{QCD}) \Rightarrow \Delta v_Q = O(\Lambda_{QCD}/m_Q)$

Heavy-quark velocity becomes conserved quantum number in $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ limit

1111 Georgi: "velocity superselection rule"



Implications for hadron spectroscopy

Spin doublets such as (B,B*) should be degenerate in heavy-quark limit:

I/mo corrections: \bigcirc

 $M_{B^*}-M_B = (C1-C0) \lambda_2/m_b + O(1/m_b^2)$

Prediction: \bigcirc

Heavy Quark Symmetry

 $M_{B^*}-M_B = 46 \text{ MeV} \ll \Lambda_{OCD}$

 $(M_{B^*}-M_B)/(M_{D^*}-M_D) \approx m_c/m_b \approx 1/3$



Implications for hadron spectroscopy

Spin doublets such as (B,B*) should be degenerate in heavy-quark limit:

I/mo corrections: \bigcirc

 $M_{B^*}-M_B = (C1-C0) \lambda_2/m_b + O(1/m_b^2)$

Prediction: \bigcirc

Heavy Quark Symmetry

 $M_{B^*}-M_B = 46 \text{ MeV} \ll \Lambda_{OCD}$

 $(M_{B^*}-M_B)/(M_{D^*}-M_D) \approx m_c/m_b \approx 1/3$

Experimentally: 0.32

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g) rest frame of B B

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory

 $V\mu$ small velocity of B $\vee^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g)
B

almost on-shell

$$p_Q^\mu = m_Q v^\mu + k^\mu$$

γµ small velocity of B νµ=(1,0,0,0)

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g) rest frame of B

almost on-shell

 $p_Q^\mu = m_Q v^\mu + k^\mu$

residual off-shell momentum $k^{\mu} \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$



 $V\mu$ small velocity of B $\vee^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$



Divide up "upper" (large) and "lower" (small) components of Dirac spinor field Q(x) using the 4-velocity v, which in the rest frame of the hadronis vµ=(1,0,0,0)

partons (light quark q, and gluon g) almost on-shell momentum $k^{\mu}\sim\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ $p_O^\mu = m_Q v^\mu + k^\mu$ $V_{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$ carry the residual momentum k $Q(x) = e^{-im_Q v \cdot x} \left[h_v(x) + H_v \right]$ $h_v(x) = e^{im_Q v \cdot x} \frac{1+\psi}{2} Q(x) \qquad H_v$



Solution Notice Network (Section 2014) Section 2014 Secti field Q(x) using the 4-velocity v, which in the rest frame of the hadronis

$$[f_{v}(x)] = e^{-im_{b}v \cdot x} \begin{pmatrix} h_{v} \\ H_{v} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[f_{v}(x) = e^{im_{Q}v \cdot x} \frac{1 - \psi}{2} Q(x)$$

partons (light quark q, and gluon g) almost on-shell momentum $k^{\mu}\sim\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ $p^{\mu}_{O} = m_{Q}v^{\mu} + k^{\mu}$ $V_{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$ carry the residual momentum k $Q(x) = e^{-im_Q v \cdot x} \left[h_v(x) + H_v \right]$ $h_v(x) = e^{im_Q v \cdot x} \frac{1 + \psi}{2} Q(x) \qquad H_i$

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft rest frame of B B residual off-shell $V\mu$ small velocity of B $V^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$

Solution Notice Network (Section 2014) Section 2014 Secti field Q(x) using the 4-velocity v, which in the rest frame of the hadronis

$$[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x})] = e^{-im_b v \cdot \mathbf{x}} \begin{pmatrix} h_v \\ H_v \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{im_Q v \cdot \mathbf{x}} \frac{1 - \psi}{2} Q(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\psi h_v(x) = h_v(x)$$
$$\psi H_v(x) = -H_v(x)$$

partons (light quark q, and gluon g) almost on-shell momentum $k^{\mu}\sim\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$ $p^{\mu}_{O} = m_{Q}v^{\mu} + k^{\mu}$ $V_{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$ carry the residual momentum k $Q(x) = e^{-im_Q v \cdot x} \left[h_v(x) + H_v \right]$ $h_v(x) = e^{im_Q v \cdot x} \frac{1 + \psi}{2} Q(x) \qquad H_v$ $\mathcal{L}_{O} = \bar{Q} \left(i D - m_{O} \right) Q$ $= \bar{h}_v \, i D h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \left(i D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \left(-iv \cdot D \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \left(-iv$

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft rest frame of B B residual off-shell $\nabla \mu$ small velocity of B $V^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$

Solution Notice Network (Section 2014) Section 2014 Secti field Q(x) using the 4-velocity v, which in the rest frame of the hadronis

$$[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x})] = e^{-im_b v \cdot \mathbf{x}} \begin{pmatrix} h_v \\ H_v \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}) = e^{im_Q v \cdot \mathbf{x}} \frac{1 - \mathbf{y}}{2} Q(\mathbf{x})$$

$$i \vec{D}^{\mu} = i D^{\mu} - v^{\mu} \, i v \cdot D$$

$$+ \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, h_v$$
$$m_Q \, H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, h_v$$

$$\psi h_v(x) = h_v(x)$$
$$\psi H_v(x) = -H_v(x)$$

partons (light quark q, and gluon g) almost on-shell $p^{\mu}_{O} = m_{Q}v^{\mu} + k^{\mu}$ **ν**μ=(1,0,0,0) carry the residual momentum k $Q(x) = e^{-im_Q v \cdot x} \left[h_v(x) + H_v \right]$ $h_v(x) = e^{im_Q v \cdot x} \frac{1+\psi}{2} Q(x) \qquad H_v$ $\mathcal{L}_{O} = \bar{Q} \left(i D - m_{O} \right) Q$ $= \bar{h}_v i D h_v + \bar{H}_v (i D - 2m_Q) H_v$ $= \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \, (-iv \cdot D - 2i)$

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft rest frame of B B residual off-shell momentum $k^{\mu} \sim \Lambda_{
m QCD}$ $\nabla \mu$ small velocity of B $V^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$

Solution Notice Network (Section 2014) Section 2014 Secti field Q(x) using the 4-velocity v, which in the rest frame of the hadronis

$$[f_{v}(x)] = e^{-im_{b}v \cdot x} \begin{pmatrix} h_{v} \\ H_{v} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$[f_{v}(x) = e^{im_{Q}v \cdot x} \frac{1 - \psi}{2} Q(x)$$

$$i\vec{D}^{\mu}=iD^{\mu}-v^{\mu}\,iv\cdot D$$

$$+ \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, h_v$$
$$m_Q \, H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!\!D \, h_v$$

$$\psi h_v(x) = h_v(x)$$

$$\psi H_v(x) = -H_v(x)$$

 n_V : massless mode -quantum fluctuation around mass-shell

 H_V : massive mode with mass 2m_Q -hard quantum fluctuation => integrate out!

+ HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g) $= Q \qquad V^{\mu}$ Small velocity of the set frame of B

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{Q} \left(i \not\!\!D - m_Q \right) Q \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i \not\!\!D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i v \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \dot{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v \right)$$

• Integrate out H_v by using classical equation of motion:

$$H_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q + iv \cdot D} i \vec{D} h_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_Q} \right)^n i \vec{D} h_v$$
small

 $\begin{aligned} H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \\ + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \end{aligned}$

yμ small velocity of B vμ=(1,0,0,0)

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g) rest frame of B B $V\mu$ small velocity of B $\vee^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ $\begin{aligned} H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \\ + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{Q} \left(i \not\!\!D - m_Q \right) Q \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i \not\!\!D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i v \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \dot{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v \right)$$

Integrate out H_v by using classical equation of motion: Θ

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{D} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{D} h_{v}$$
$$H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$
small

$$I_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{D} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{D} h_{v}$$
$$H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$
small

HQET: simplified description of interaction of heavy quark Q with soft partons (light quark q, and gluon g) rest frame of B B $V\mu$ small velocity of B $\vee^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ $\begin{aligned} H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \\ + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v + \bar{H}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{Q} \left(i \not\!\!D - m_Q \right) Q \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i \not\!\!D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i v \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \dot{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v \right)$$

Integrate out H_v by using classical equation of motion: Θ

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{D} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{D} h_{v}$$

$$H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$
small
Expansion in local derivative operators justified, since k«mo

$$I_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{D} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{D} h_{v}$$

$$H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$

$$\text{small}$$

$$\text{Expansion in local derivative operators justified, since k < m_{Q}}$$

partons (light quark q, and gluon g)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{Q} \left(i \not\!\!D - m_Q \right) Q \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i \not\!\!D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i v \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \dot{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v \right)$$

Integrate out H_v by using classical equation of motion: Θ

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$

$$= \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + O(1/m_{Q})$$

$$small$$
Expansion in local derivative operators justified, since k < m_{Q}

$$= \frac{1}{2m_Q + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_Q} \right)^n i \vec{p} h_v$$

$$H_v = \left(\frac{D}{m_Q} \right) h_v \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_Q} \right) h_v$$

$$\text{small}$$

$$\text{Expansion in local derivative operators justified, since k < mo}$$

 \mathcal{L}_{HQET} =



partons (light quark q, and gluon g)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Q &= \bar{Q} \left(i \not\!\!D - m_Q \right) Q \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(i \not\!\!D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \bar{h}_v \, i \not\!\!D \, H_v \\ &= \bar{h}_v \, i v \cdot D \, h_v + \bar{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v + \dot{H}_v \left(-i v \cdot D - 2m_Q \right) H_v \right)$$

Integrate out H_v by using classical equation of motion: Θ

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\longrightarrow \quad H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$

$$= \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + O(1/m_{Q})$$

$$= V \cdot D_{v} h_{v} + O(1/m_{Q})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m_Q + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_Q} \right)^n i \vec{p} h_v$$

$$= \left(\frac{D}{m_Q} \right) h_v \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_Q} \right) h_v$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_v iv \cdot D_s h_v} + O(1/m_Q)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_v iv \cdot D_s h_v} + O(1/m_Q)$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_v iv \cdot D_s h_v} + O(1/m_Q)$$

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\implies H_{v} = \left(\frac{D}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_{Q}} \right) h_{v}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{small}}{=} \text{Expansion in local derivative operators justified, since k < m_{Q}}$$



 $iD_s^{\mu} = i\partial^{\mu} + g_s A_s^{\mu}$ only soft gluon!

* What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_v = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_Q} \right)^n i \vec{p} h_v$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \left[\bar{h}_v \, (i\vec{D}_s)^2 h_v + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \, \frac{g_s}{2} \, \bar{h}_v \, \sigma_{\mu\nu} \, G_s^{\mu\nu} h_v \right] + \dots$$



* What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} (i \vec{D}_{s})^{2} h_{v} + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \frac{g_{s}}{2} \bar{h}_{v} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G_{s}^{\mu\nu} h_{v} \right] + \dots$$
SU(2n_Q) spin-flavor symmetry



* What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} (i \vec{D}_{s})^{2} h_{v} + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \frac{g_{s}}{2} \bar{h}_{v} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G_{s}^{\mu\nu} h_{v} \right] + \dots$$
perturbative corrections
$$\text{SU}(2n_{Q}) \text{ spin-flavor symmetry}$$



What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} (i \vec{D}_{s})^{2} h_{v} + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \frac{g_{s}}{2} \bar{h}_{v} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G_{s}^{\mu\nu} h_{v} \right] + \dots$$
perturbative corrections
$$\text{SU}(2n_{Q}) \text{ spin-flavor symmetry}$$

Only first terms survives in heavy-quark limit!



What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} (i \vec{D}_{s})^{2} h_{v} + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \frac{g_{s}}{2} \bar{h}_{v} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G_{s}^{\mu\nu} h_{v} \right] + \dots$$
perturbative corrections
SU(2n_{Q}) spin-flavor symmetry

Only first terms survives in heavy-quark limit!

• Feynman rules (HQET):





What about higher order power corrections?

$$H_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q} + iv \cdot D} i \vec{p} h_{v} = \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{iv \cdot D}{2m_{Q}} \right)^{n} i \vec{p} h_{v}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} iv \cdot D_{s} h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} (i \vec{D}_{s})^{2} h_{v} + C_{\text{mag}}(\mu) \frac{g_{s}}{2} \bar{h}_{v} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G_{s}^{\mu\nu} h_{v} \right] + \dots$$
perturbative corrections

SU(2n_Q) spin-flavor symmetry

Only first terms survives in heavy-quark limit!

• Feynman rules (HQET):

Much simpler than QCD!!!







$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v \, + \,$$

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v + O(1/SU(2n_Q))$$
 spin-flavor symmetry

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory

Symmetries of the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v +$$

SU(2n_Q) spin-flavor symmetry

- No reference to heavy-quark mass (flavor symmetry)
- Invariance under spin rotations (spin symmetry):

 $h_V \rightarrow (1+i/2 \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) h_V$

Symmetries of the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v \, + \,$$

SU(2n_Q) spin-flavor symmetry

- No reference to heavy-quark mass (flavor symmetry)
- Invariance under spin rotations (spin symmetry): 0

 $h_V \rightarrow (1+i/2 \vec{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) h_V$

Effective Lagrangian exhibits spin-flavor symmetry at leading order, broken by $O(1/m_Q)$ corrections

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_v \, iv \cdot D_s \, h_v + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \left[\bar{h}_v \, (iI) \right]$$

kinetic energy operator (p² / 2m)

ffective Field Theory



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{HQET}} = \bar{h}_{v} \, iv \cdot D_{s} \, h_{v} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} \left[\bar{h}_{v} \left(i I \right) \right]$$

kinetic energy operator (p² / 2m)

Both operators violate the flavor symmetry, but only the second one breaks the spin symmetry

Heavy Quark Effective Field Theory



Short-distance radiative corrections

- Heavy-quark symmetries also broken by hard gluon exchange
 - effects calculable in perturbation theory
- in general, they renormalize the coefficients in the effective Lagrangian
- No renormalization at leading order (only WFR)
- No renormalization of kinetic operator due to Lorentz invariance ("reparametrization invariance")
- Chromo-magnetic interaction is affected: $C_{mag}(\mu) \neq 1$





 \bigcirc process?

Heavy-quark symmetry allows us to extract the CKM matrix element |V_{cb}| with controlled theoretical uncertainties

How to deal with confinement effects in this hadronic



How to deal with confinement effects in this hadronic process?

Heavy-quark symmetry allows us to extract the CKM matrix element |V_{cb}| with controlled theoretical uncertainties

clever use of heavy-quark symmetries allows us to calculate the decay rates at the special kinematic point of maximum momentum transfer to the leptons (v=v') ("zero recoil" point)

current $J^{\mu}=b\bar{\gamma}^{\mu}b$:



Θ probability 1

• for $v \neq v'$, probability for an elastic transition is less than 1

Exclusive Semileptonic B Decays: Form factor relations and extraction of $|V_{cb}|$

nothing happens if v=v'; final state remains a B meson with

Exclusive Semileptonic B Decays: Form factor relations and extraction of $|V_{cb}|$

Form factor relations



- required soft gluon exchange leads to form factor suppression
- for $m_b \rightarrow \infty$, process described by a dimensionless probability function:

 $\langle P(v')|\bar{h}_{v'}\gamma^{\mu}h_{v}|P(v)$

with: $\xi(v \cdot v') \le 1$, with $\xi(1) = 1$ (Isgur-Wise function)

$$\rangle \rangle = \xi (v \cdot v') (v + v')^{\mu}$$

Exclusive Semileptonic B Decays: Form factor relations and extraction of $|V_{cb}|$

Form factor relations

thereby obtaining a $B \rightarrow D$ transition:



– nothing happens (symmetry in heavy-quark limit)!

 $\langle P'(v')|\bar{h'}_{v'}\gamma^{\mu}h_v|P($

Use flavor symmetry to replace b- by c-quark in final state,

$$(v)\rangle = \xi(v \cdot v')(v + v')^{\mu}$$

state, thereby obtaining a $B \rightarrow D^*$ transition:



- current gets transformed, but else nothing happens:

$$\langle V'(v',\epsilon)|\bar{h'}_{v'}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)h_v|P(v)\rangle = i\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^*_{\nu}v'_{\alpha}v_{\beta}\xi(v\cdot v') - \Big\{\epsilon^{*\mu}(v\cdot v'+1) - v'^{\mu}\epsilon^*\cdot v\Big\}\xi(v\cdot v')$$

Next, use spin symmetry to flip spin of c-quark in final
Form factor relations

independent hadronic form factors:

 $egin{aligned} &igl(D(v') ig| V^{cb}_{\mu} igr| ar{B}(v) igr) = \sqrt{m_B \, m_D} \ &igl(D^*(v') igr| V^{cb}_{\mu} igr| ar{B}(v) igr) = i \sqrt{m_B \, m_D} \ &igl(D^*(v') igr| A^{cb}_{\mu} igr| ar{B}(v) igr) = \sqrt{m_B \, m_{D^*}} \end{aligned}$

 \Rightarrow all equal in heavy-quark limit:



In general, these processes are described by six a priori

$$= \left\{ \xi_{+}(v \cdot v')(v + v')_{\mu} + \xi_{-}(v \cdot v')(v - v')_{\mu} \right\}$$

$$= \overline{D^{*}} \left\{ \xi_{V}(v \cdot v') \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{*\nu} v^{\prime\alpha} v^{\beta} ,$$

$$= \left\{ \xi_{A_{1}}(v \cdot v')(v \cdot v' + 1) \epsilon_{\mu}^{*} - \xi_{A_{2}}(v \cdot v') \epsilon^{*} \cdot v v_{\mu} - \xi_{A_{3}}(v \cdot v') \epsilon^{*} \cdot v v_{\mu}^{\prime} \right\}$$

$$= \xi_V = \xi_{A_1} = \xi_{A_3} = \xi(v \cdot v')$$

= $\xi_{A_2} = 0$

 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}$ Iv entirely; in terms of $y = v \cdot v'$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}y} = \frac{G_F^2}{48\pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^2 m_{D^{(*)}}^3 (y^2 - 1)^{1/2} (y + 1)^2 \times F(r, y)$$
with:
$$F(r, y) = \begin{cases} (1+r)^2 \frac{y-1}{y+1} \left[\xi_+(y) - \frac{1-r}{1+r} \xi_-(y) \right]^2 &; \bar{B} \to D \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \\ 2(1-2yr+r^2) \left[\xi_{A_1}^2(y) + \frac{y-1}{y+1} \xi_V^2(y) \right] &; \bar{B} \to D_T^* \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \\ \left[(y-r) \,\xi_{A_1}(y) - (y-1) \left(\xi_{A_3}(y) + r \,\xi_{A_2}(y) \right) \right] ; \bar{B} \to D_L^* \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \end{cases}$$

These form factors describe the semileptonic decays

 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}$ Iv entirely; in terms of $y = v \cdot v'$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}y} = \frac{G_F^2}{48\pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^2 m_{D^{(*)}}^3 (y^2 - 1)^{1/2} (y + 1)^2 \times F(r, y)$$
with:
$$F(r, y) = \begin{cases} (1+r)^2 \frac{y-1}{y+1} \left[\xi_+(y) - \frac{1-r}{1+r} \xi_-(y) \right]^2 &; \bar{B} \to D \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \\ 2 (1-2yr+r^2) \left[\xi_{A_1}^2(y) + \frac{y-1}{y+1} \xi_V^2(y) \right] &; \bar{B} \to D_T^* \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \\ \left[(y-r) \,\xi_{A_1}(y) - (y-1) \left(\xi_{A_3}(y) + r \,\xi_{A_2}(y) \right) \right] ; \bar{B} \to D_L^* \,\ell \,\bar{\nu}_\ell \end{cases}$$

These form factors describe the semileptonic decays

rates are absolutely noramlized at y=1 (zero recoil point)!

- Corrections to heavy-quark limit:

 - perturbative corrections (hard gluons) known to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ - power corrections estimated to $O(1/m_0^2)$
- Luke's theorem: $B \rightarrow D^* lv$ decay rate does not receive firstorder 1/mQ corrections at y=1 (y=v·v')

Results:

 $= 0.91 \pm 0.03$

 $F_{B \to D^{*}}(1) = 1 - (0.040 \pm 0.007)$ pert - (0.055 \pm 0.025)power

- Corrections to heavy-quark limit:

 - perturbative corrections (hard gluons) known to $O(\alpha_s^2)$ - power corrections estimated to $O(1/m_0^2)$
- Luke's theorem: $B \rightarrow D^* lv$ decay rate does not receive firstorder 1/mQ corrections at y=1 (y=v·v')

Results:

 $F_{B \to D^{*}}(1) = 1 - (0.040 \pm 0.007)$ pert - (0.055 \pm 0.025)power $= 0.91 \pm 0.03$

allows for measurement of $|V_{cb}|$ with theoretical accuracy of 3%

Extraction of V_{cb}

decay to zero-recoil point y=1:



• Extrapolation of the spectrum $d\Gamma/dy$ measured in $B \rightarrow D^* lv$

Extraction of V_{cb}

decay to zero-recoil point y=1:



• Extrapolation of the spectrum $d\Gamma/dy$ measured in $B \rightarrow D^* lv$



After the Higgs Discovery, SM EFT Lagrangian is constructed from gauge invariant operators involving the SM fermion, gauge, and Higgs fields: higher dimensional operator expansion (non-renormalizable operators with cutoff scales associated with it)

SM EFT

× associated with it)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ ext{SM}} + rac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + rac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{C}_i^{D=5}$$

SM EFT

After the Higgs Discovery, SM EFT Lagrangian is constructed from gauge invariant operators involving the SM fermion, gauge, and Higgs fields: higher dimensional operator expansion (non-renormalizable operators with cutoff scales

 $\mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$

associated with it)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$

Lagrangian with the Operators should respect the SM symmetry: $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

SM EFT

After the Higgs Discovery, SM EFT Lagrangian is constructed from gauge invariant operators involving the SM fermion, gauge, and Higgs fields: higher dimensional operator expansion (non-renormalizable operators with cutoff scales

× associated with it)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$

Lagrangian with the Operators should respect the SM symmetry: $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

The vacuum state of the theory preserves only $SU(3) \times U(1)$ local symmetry, as a result of Higgs mechanism

SM EFT

After the Higgs Discovery, SM EFT Lagrangian is constructed from gauge invariant operators involving the SM fermion, gauge, and Higgs fields: higher dimensional operator expansion (non-renormalizable operators with cutoff scales

associated with it)

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$

Lagrangian with the Operators should respect the SM symmetry: $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

The vacuum state of the theory preserves only $SU(3) \times U(1)$ local symmetry, as a result of Higgs mechanism

> SM EFT is defined as a double expansion in $1/\Lambda$ and $1/\Lambda_L$. The expansion is useful assuming $v << \Lambda$ and $v << \Lambda_L$

SM EFT

After the Higgs Discovery, SM EFT Lagrangian is constructed from gauge invariant operators involving the SM fermion, gauge, and Higgs fields: higher dimensional operator expansion (non-renormalizable operators with cutoff scales









- violate the lepton number !

Unique operators $[O_5]_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij} H^i L_I^j) (\epsilon_{kl} H^i L_J^j)$

I, J = 1, 2, 3generation (flavor) indices





- violate the lepton number !

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} [O_5]_{IJ}$

Vnique operators $[O_5]_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij}H^iL_I^j)(\epsilon_{kl}H^iL_J^j)$ I, J = 1, 2, 3

generation (flavor) indices

$$\rightarrow + \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} \nu_I \nu_J$$
WSB

Majorana mass





- violate the lepton number !

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} [O_5]_{IJ}$ Ε

But, the SM neutrino masses are bound to be below eV, and at least two masses are non-zero, and at least one must be >= 0.06 eV

$$_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij} H^i L^j_I) (\epsilon_{kl} H^i L^j_J)$$

I, J = 1, 2, 3generation (flavor) indices

$$\rightarrow + \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} \nu_I \nu_J$$
WSB

Majorana mass





- violate the lepton number !

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} [O_5]_{IJ}$ E

But, the SM neutrino masses are bound to be below eV, and at least two masses are non-zero, and at least one must be >= 0.06 eV

$$I_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij} H^i L_I^j) (\epsilon_{kl} H^i L_J^j) \qquad I, J = 1$$

$$\rightarrow + \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} \nu_I \nu_J$$
WSB

Majorana mass



 $\Lambda_L/c_5\gtrsim 10^{15}~{
m GeV}$







- violate the lepton number !

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} [O_5]_{IJ}$ E

But, the SM neutrino masses are bound to be below eV, and at least two masses are non-zero, and at least one must be >= 0.06 eV

$$_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij} H^i L_I^j) (\epsilon_{kl} H^i L_J^j) \qquad I, J = 1$$

$$\rightarrow + \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} \nu_I \nu_J$$
WSB

Majorana mass



 $\Lambda_L/c_5\gtrsim 10^{15}~{
m GeV}$

neutrino mass ~ v/Λ_L ∧L~ GUT scale?







- violate the lepton number !

 $\frac{1}{\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} [O_5]_{IJ}$

But, the SM neutrino masses are bound to be below eV, and at least two masses are non-zero, and at least one must be >= 0.06 eV



$$I_{IJ} = (\epsilon_{ij} H^i L_I^j) (\epsilon_{kl} H^i L_J^j) \qquad I, J = 1,$$

$$\rightarrow + \frac{v^2}{2\Lambda_L} [c_5]_{IJ} \nu_I \nu_J$$
WSB

Majorana mass



 $\Lambda_L/c_5\gtrsim 10^{15}~{
m GeV}$

neutrino mass ~ v/Λ_L ∧L~ GUT scale?

dimension-5 operators in the SM EFT Lagrangian makes them practically unobservable at LHC and foreseeable future colliders!









Operator expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$

- Approximate symmetry protecting the dimension-5 operators!
 - It maskes sense to assume that Λ and Λ_L are different scales in the



Operator expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$
sonable Assumptions: $v \ll \Lambda, \qquad \Lambda^2 \ll v \Lambda_L$

Reas

- Approximate symmetry protecting the dimension-5 operators!
 - It maskes sense to assume that Λ and Λ_L are different scales in the



Operator expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$
sonable Assumptions: $v \ll \Lambda, \qquad \Lambda^2 \ll v \Lambda_L$

Reas

- the even-dimensional operators are much more important than the odd-dimensional operators!

- Approximate symmetry protecting the dimension-5 operators!
 - It maskes sense to assume that Λ and Λ_{L} are different scales in the



Operator expansion

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L} \sum_i c_i^{(5)} \mathcal{O}_i^{D=5} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \sum_i c_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}^{D=6} + \frac{1}{\Lambda_L^3} \sum_i c_i^{(7)} \mathcal{O}^{D=7} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^4} \sum_i c_i^{(8)} \mathcal{O}^{D=8} + \dots$$
sonable Assumptions: $v \ll \Lambda, \qquad \Lambda^2 \ll v \Lambda_L$

Reas

important than the odd-dimensional operators!

- Approximate symmetry protecting the dimension-5 operators!
 - It maskes sense to assume that Λ and Λ_{L} are different scales in the

- the even-dimensional operators are much more

D=6 Operators can be probed in the LHC and HL-LHC!

Bosonic Operators for D=6

Bosonic CP-even

 $(H^{\dagger}H)^3$ O_H $(H^{\dagger}H)\Box(H^{\dagger}H)$ $O_{H\square}$ $\left| H^{\dagger} D_{\mu} H
ight|^2$ O_{HD} $H^{\dagger}H\,G^{a}_{\mu
u}G^{a}_{\mu
u}$ O_{HG} $H^{\dagger}H\,W^{i}_{\mu
u}W^{i}_{\mu
u}$ O_{HW} $H^{\dagger}H B_{\mu
u}B_{\mu
u}$ O_{HB} $| H^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} H W^{i}_{\mu
u} B_{\mu
u}$ O_{HWB} $\epsilon^{ijk}W^i_{\mu
u}W^j_{
u
ho}W^j_{
ho\mu}W^k_{
ho\mu}$ O_W $O_G \int f^{abc} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^b_{\nu\rho} G^c_{\rho\mu}$

Bosonic CP-odd

 $O_{H\widetilde{G}}$ $O_{H\widetilde{B}}$ | $O_{H\widetilde{W}B}$ $O_{\widetilde{W}}$ $O_{\widetilde{G}}$

 $H^{\dagger}H\,\widetilde{G}^{a}_{\mu
u}G^{a}_{\mu
u}$ $O_{H\widetilde{W}} \ \left| \begin{array}{c} H^{\dagger}H \, \widetilde{W}^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{i}_{\mu\nu} \end{array} \right. \\$ $H^{\dagger}H\,\widetilde{B}_{\mu
u}B_{\mu
u}$ $\begin{vmatrix} H^{\dagger} \sigma^{i} H \widetilde{W}^{i}_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \\ \epsilon^{ijk} \widetilde{W}^{i}_{\mu\nu} W^{j}_{\nu\rho} W^{k}_{\rho\mu} \\ f^{abc} \widetilde{G}^{a}_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{G}^{b}_{\nu\rho} G^{c}_{\rho\mu} \end{vmatrix}$



Yukawa				
$[O_{eH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H e^c_I H^\dagger \ell_J$			
$[O_{uH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H u_I^c \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J$			
$[O_{dH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H d_I^c H^\dagger q_J$			

Vertex Dipole $iar{\ell}_Iar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $[O_{eW}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $e^c_I \sigma_{\mu
u} H^\dagger \sigma^i \ell_J W^i_{\mu
u}$ $[O_{H\ell}]_{IJ}$ $[O_{H\ell}^{(3)}]_{IJ}$ $i\bar{\ell}_I\sigma^i\bar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_JH^\dagger\sigma^i\overleftrightarrow{D_\mu}H$ $[O_{eB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $e_I^c \sigma_{\mu
u} H^\dagger \ell_J B_{\mu
u}$ $ie^c_I\sigma_\muar e^c_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $u_I^c \sigma_{\mu
u} T^a \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J G^a_{\mu
u}$ $[O_{uG}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $[O_{He}]_{IJ}$ $i ar{q}_I ar{\sigma}_\mu q_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $[O_{uW}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $u_I^c \sigma_{\mu
u} \widetilde{H}^\dagger \sigma^i q_J W^i_{\mu
u}$ $[O_{Hq}]_{IJ}$ $i\bar{q}_I\sigma^i\bar{\sigma}_\mu q_J H^\dagger\sigma^i\overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $[O_{Hq}^{(3)}]_{IJ}$ $[O_{uB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $u^c_I \sigma_{\mu
u} \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J \, B_{\mu
u}$ $i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar u_J^c H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $[O_{dG}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $[O_{Hu}]_{IJ}$ $d_I^c \sigma_{\mu\nu} T^a H^\dagger q_J G^a_{\mu\nu}$ $i d^c_I \sigma_\mu ar d^c_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$ $[O_{dW}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $d_I^c \sigma_{\mu
u} ar{H}^\dagger \sigma^i q_J \, W^i_{\mu
u}$ $[O_{Hd}]_{IJ}$ $d_I^c \sigma_{\mu
u} H^\dagger q_J \, B_{\mu
u}$ $[O_{dB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$ $i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar d_J^c ilde H^\dagger D_\mu H$ $[O_{Hud}]_{IJ}$

 $(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$ $(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$ O_{ee} $(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(e^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{e}^{c})$ $\eta(e^c\sigma_\muar e^c)(e^c\sigma_\muar e^c)$ $O_{\ell e}$ $(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(u^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{u}^{c})$ O_{uu} $\eta(u^c\sigma_\mu\bar{u}^c)(u^c\sigma_\mu\bar{u}^c)$ $O_{\ell u}$ $\eta (d^c \sigma_\mu \bar{d}^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu \bar{d}^c)$ $(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(d^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{d}^{c})$ O_{dd} $O_{\ell d}$ $(e^c\sigma_\mu \bar{e}^c)(u^c\sigma_\mu \bar{u}^c)$ $(e^c \sigma_\mu \bar{e}^c)(\bar{q} \bar{\sigma}_\mu q)$ O_{eu} O_{eq} $(e^c\sigma_\muar{e}^c)(d^c\sigma_\muar{d}^c)$ $(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)(u^c\sigma_\muar{u}^c)$ O_{ed} O_{qu} $O_{qu}^{(8)}$ $(u^c\sigma_\muar{u}^c)(d^c\sigma_\muar{d}^c)$ $(\bar{q}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}T^{a}q)(u^{c}\sigma_{\mu}T^{a}\bar{u}^{c})$ O_{ud} $O_{ud}^{(8)}$ $(u^c \sigma_\mu T^a \bar{u}^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu T^a \bar{d}^c)$ $(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)(d^c\sigma_\muar{d}^c)$ O_{qd} $O_{qd}^{(8)}$ $(\bar{q}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}T^{a}q)(d^{c}\sigma_{\mu}T^{a}\bar{d}^{c})$ $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$ $(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$

$O_{\ell\ell}$	$\eta(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\ell)(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\ell)$
O_{qq}	$\eta(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)$
O_{qq}'	$\eta (ar q ar \sigma_\mu \sigma^i q) (ar q ar \sigma_\mu \sigma^i q)$
$O_{\ell q}$	$(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(\bar{q}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}q)$
$O'_{\ell\sigma}$	$(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\sigma^i\ell)(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu\sigma^iq)$

(DR)(DR)				
O_{quqd}	$(u^c q^j) \epsilon_{jk} (d^c q^k)$			
$O_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(u^c T^a q^j) \epsilon_{jk} (d^c T^a q^k)$			
$O_{\ell equ}$	$(ar{\ell}^jar{e}^c)\epsilon_{jk}(ar{q}^kar{u}^c)$			
$O_{\ell equ}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \bar{e}^c) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}^k \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} u^c)$			
$O_{\ell edq}$	$(ar{\ell}ar{e}^c)(d^cq)$			



– a lot of operators!! (some of them can be converted) into Bosonic operators via EOP => basis dependent)

Yukawa				
$[O_{eH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H e_I^c H^\dagger \ell_J$			
$[O_{uH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H u_I^c \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J$			
$[O_{dH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H d_I^c H^\dagger q_J$			

Vertex

Dipole

VCIUCA		Dipole		
$[O_{H\ell}]_{IJ}$	$iar{\ell}_Iar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	[O]	$_{eW}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$e^c_I \sigma_{\mu u} H^\dagger \sigma^i \ell_J W^i_{\mu u}$
$[O_{H\ell}^{(3)}]_{IJ}$	$iar{\ell}_I\sigma^iar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_J H^\dagger\sigma^i\overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	[O]	$_{eB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$e^c_I \sigma_{\mu u} H^\dagger \ell_J B_{\mu u}$
$[O_{He}]_{IJ}$	$i e^c_I \sigma_\mu ar e^c_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	[O]	${}^{\dagger}_{uG}]_{IJ}$	$u_I^c \sigma_{\mu u} T^a \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J G^a_{\mu u}$
$[O_{Hq}]_{IJ}$	$iar{q}_Iar{\sigma}_\mu q_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	$[O_n^{\dagger}]$	$[_{\mu W}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$u_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu u}\widetilde{H}^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}q_{J}W^{i}_{\mu u}$
$[O_{Hq}^{(3)}]_{IJ}$	$i \bar{q}_I \sigma^i \bar{\sigma}_\mu q_J H^\dagger \sigma^i \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	[O]	$_{uB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$u^c_I \sigma_{\mu u} \widetilde{H}^\dagger q_J B_{\mu u}$
$[O_{Hu}]_{IJ}$	$i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar u_J^c H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D_\mu} H$	[O]	$_{dG}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$d_I^c \sigma_{\mu u} T^a H^\dagger q_J G^a_{\mu u}$
$[O_{Hd}]_{IJ}$	$i d_{I}^{c} \sigma_{\mu} ar{d}_{J}^{c} H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}} H$	$[O_{a}^{\dagger}]$	${}^{\dagger}_{dW}]_{IJ}$	$d^c_I \sigma_{\mu u} ar{H}^\dagger \sigma^i q_J W^i_{\mu u}$
$[O_{Hud}]_{IJ}$	$i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar d_J^c ilde H^\dagger D_\mu H$	[O]	$_{dB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$d_I^c \sigma_{\mu u} H^\dagger q_J B_{\mu u}$

O_{uu}	$\eta(u^c\sigma_\mu \bar{u}^c)(u^c\sigma_\mu \bar{u}^c)$	$O_{\ell u}$	$(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\ell)(u^c\sigma_\muar{u}^c)$
O_{dd}	$\eta (d^c \sigma_\mu ar d^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu ar d^c)$	$O_{\ell d}$	$(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\ell)(d^c\sigma_\muar{d}^c)$
O_{cu}	$(e^c\sigma_\muar e^c)(u^c\sigma_\muar u^c)$	O_{eq}	$(e^c \sigma_\mu ar e^c) (ar q ar \sigma_\mu q)$
O_{ed}	$(e^c \sigma_\mu ar e^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu ar d^c)$	O_{qu}	$(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)(u^c\sigma_\muar{u}^c)$
O_{ud}	$(u^c \sigma_\mu ar u^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu ar d^c)$	$O_{qu}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}T^{a}q)(u^{c}\sigma_{\mu}T^{a}\bar{u}^{c})$
$O_{ud}^{(8)}$	$\left((u^c \sigma_\mu T^a \bar{u}^c) (d^c \sigma_\mu T^a \bar{d}^c) \right)$	O_{qd}	$(ar q ar \sigma_\mu q) (d^c \sigma_\mu ar d^c)$
		$O_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}T^{a}q)(d^{c}\sigma_{\mu}T^{a}\bar{d}^{c})$
	$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(ar{L}R)(ar{L}R)$
$O_{\ell\ell}$	$\eta(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)$	O_{quqd}	$(u^c q^j) \epsilon_{jk} (d^c q^k)$
O_{qq}	$\eta (ar q ar \sigma_\mu q) (ar q ar \sigma_\mu q)$	$O_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(u^c T^a q^j) \epsilon_{jk} (d^c T^a q^k)$
O_{qq}'	$\eta (ar q ar \sigma_\mu \sigma^i q) (ar q ar \sigma_\mu \sigma^i q)$	$O_{\ell equ}$	$(ar{\ell}^jar{e}^c)\epsilon_{jk}(ar{q}^kar{u}^c)$
$O_{\ell q}$	$(ar{\ell}ar{\sigma}_\mu\ell)(ar{q}ar{\sigma}_\mu q)$	$O_{\ell equ}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{\ell}^j \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \bar{e}^c) \epsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}^k \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} u^c)$

 $O_{\ell edq}$

 $O_{\ell e}$

 $(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$

 $(\bar{\ell}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\ell)(e^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{e}^{c})$

 $(ar{\ell}ar{e}^c)(d^cq)$

 $(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$

 $\eta(e^c\sigma_\muar e^c)(e^c\sigma_\muar e^c)$

 O_{ee}

 $O_{\ell q}^\prime = (ar{\ell} ar{\sigma}_\mu \sigma^i \ell) (ar{q} ar{\sigma}_\mu \sigma^i q)$



– a lot of operators!! (some of them can be converted) into Bosonic operators via EOP => basis dependent)

Yukawa		_		
	$[O_{eH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$H^\dagger H e_I^c H^\dagger \ell_J$		
	$[O_{uH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ} \ [O_{dH}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$		Wars (saw basis Grzadkov
$egin{array}{c} [O_{H\ell}]_{IJ}\ [O_{H\ell}^{(3)}]_{IJ} \end{array}$	Vertex $i\bar{\ell}_I\bar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_J H^\dagger \overleftrightarrow{D}_\mu$ $i\bar{\ell}_I\sigma^i\bar{\sigma}_\mu\ell_J H^\dagger\sigma^i \overleftarrow{L}$	H D,	SILF	I basis (G
$egin{aligned} &[O_{He}]_{IJ}\ &[O_{Hq}]_{IJ}\ &[O_{Hq}^{(3)}]_{IJ} \end{aligned}$	$ie_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu}\bar{e}_{J}^{c}H^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}}$ $i\bar{q}_{I}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}q_{J}H^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}}$ $i\bar{q}_{I}\sigma^{i}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}q_{J}H^{\dagger}\sigma^{i}\overleftrightarrow{D_{\mu}}$	H B Systen	natic m	nethod for (Henn
$egin{aligned} &[O_{Hu}]_{IJ} \ &[O_{Hd}]_{IJ} \ &[O_{Hud}]_{IJ} \end{aligned}$	$egin{aligned} &i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar u_J^c H^\dagger \overleftarrow{D_\mu} \ &i d_I^c \sigma_\mu ar d_J^c H^\dagger \overleftarrow{D_\mu} \ &i u_I^c \sigma_\mu ar d_J^c ar H^\dagger D_\mu \ \end{aligned}$	H H H	$[\sim_{dW}]_{IJ}$ $[O_{dB}^{\dagger}]_{IJ}$	$d_{I}^{c}\sigma_{\mu u}H^{\dagger}q_{J}$



Summary

- and nonperturbative parts)
- treated using perturbative methods
- out all short-distance fluctuations associated with scales $\gg \Lambda_{QCD}$
- the heavy quark
- Systematic way of using HQS: HQET
- Also other EFT, e.g. NRQCD, SCET,...
- Higher Dimensional Operator Expansion for BSM: SMEFT
- Much more!!

• Effective field theory allows separation of different scales (separation of calculable parts)

• Any sensitivity to high scales (including to physics beyond the Standard Model) can be

• For Heavy flavor physics, when there is no heavy particle to integrate out, we can integrate

• Heavy Quark Symmetry (HQS): SU(2n_Q) spin-flavour symmetry: In heavy-quark limit $(m_Q \rightarrow \infty)$, configuration of light degrees of freedom is independent of the spin and flavor of

